


SEG

SUPPLEMENTUM  
EPIGRAPHICUM  
GRAECUM



We dedicate this volume to Arthur Geoffrey Woodhead,  
editor of *Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum* XII–XXV  
(1951–1971)

... es ist mir ein aufrichtiges Bedürfnis, für meine Person zu betonen,  
daß wir alle in einer tiefen Dankesschuld bei Woodhead stehen für das,  
was er in dieser Zeit [scil. seit 1955] geleistet hat, geleistet unter  
Einsatz seiner ganzen Arbeitskraft, in entsagungsvoller Tätigkeit.

G. Klaffenbach,  
*Actes du IV. Internationalen Kongresses für Griechische und Lateinische Epigraphik*  
(Vienna 1964) 182/183

SEG

07 MAI 2008

# SUPPLEMENTUM EPIGRAPHICUM GRAECUM

editors

A. CHANIOTIS – T. CORSTEN  
R.S. STROUD – R.A. TYBOUT

assistant editors

M.B. RICHARDSON – E. SVERKOS

advisory editors

H.W. PLEKET – A. AVRAM  
K. HALLOF – M.L. LAZZARINI – A. MARTIN

VOLUME LIII-1  
2003




BRILL



LEIDEN · BOSTON

2008



The Internet address of SEG:

[www.history.leidenuniv.nl/seg](http://www.history.leidenuniv.nl/seg)

A C.I.P. record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISSN 0920-8399

ISBN 978 90 04 16537 3

© 2008 by A. Chaniotis, T. Corsten, R.S. Stroud and R.A. Tybout  
Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands

Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Hotel Publishing,  
IDC Publishers, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers and VSP.

<http://www.brill.nl>

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Brill Academic Publishers provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Suite 910, Danvers MA 01923, USA.

Fees are subject to change.

Printed and bound in The Netherlands.

## PREFACE

This volume, published in two fascicules with continual numbering but separate indices and concordances, covers the publications of the year 2003, with numerous additions from previous years that we missed in earlier volumes and from studies published after 2003 but pertaining to material from 2002. We do not claim to have seen all the relevant studies published between 2004 and 2007.

As to the abbreviation system (see the Preface of volume XXXIX), we continue our policy outlined in the Preface of *SEG* XLVI. We start from the list of Abbreviations in the Consolidated Index for volumes XXXVI-XLV (1986-1995) pp. 677-688 and from the lists of abbreviations in *L'Année Philologique* 67-70 (1999-2001). Abbreviations not covered by these lists have been included in the lists of Abbreviations on pp. XI-XIV of Fasc. 1 and pp. XIX-XXIX of Fasc. 2.

In the present volume, Stroud and Richardson were responsible for Attica and the Peloponnesos (Fasc. I). For Fasc. II, Chaniotis contributed the lemmata pertaining to Greece (from Boiotia to Thrace), Moesia, Dacia, the North Shore of the Black Sea, and the islands (except Cyprus). Corsten wrote the lemmata on Asia Minor. Tybout contributed the sections dedicated to Sicily, Italy, the other regions covered by *IG* XIV, western Europe, the Near East (the sections from Cyprus to Kyrenaika), and Unknown Provenance. The lemmata in the section 'Varia' were primarily written by Tybout with contributions from his editorial colleagues.

For Fasc. I, Stroud compiled the Indices, which were prepared for publication by Chaniotis. For Fasc. II, Chaniotis, Corsten, and Tybout compiled Indices I-IV for their respective sections, which were then consolidated by Corsten (Index I) and Chaniotis (Indices II-IV). Chaniotis compiled Indices V-VIII. The concordances for both fascicules were compiled by Sverkos and prepared for publication by Chaniotis.

We are deeply indebted to our advisory editors for their valuable assistance. Pleket has submitted many lemmata for Asia Minor, for which he is primarily responsible, and also for other sections, and has carefully read most of the manuscript of Fasc. II, offering valuable comments. Avram has read the sections dedicated to Thrace, Moesia, Dacia, and the North Shore of the Black Sea (nos. 641-808 ter), offering many valuable comments; he has also written several lemmata based on Romanian and Russian publications. Hallof has read lemmata nos. 451-980, making several corrections and additions in particular to the Koan section. Lazzarini and Martin carefully read the lemmata of the sections *IG* XIV and the Near East (from Cyprus to Kyrenaika), respectively, saved us from a number of errors, added many valuable observations, and drew our attention to publications, which had escaped our notice.

Chaniotis would like to express his gratitude to Benjamin Gray (All Souls College, Oxford) for proofreading his manuscript, and to Johannes Bauer (Archäologisches Institut, Vienna), K. Boshnakov (Sofia/Heidelberg), K. Buraselis (Athens), M.B. Hatzopoulos (Athens), C. Kritzas (Athens), A. Matthaiou (Athens), and W. Günther (Munich), who have provided information or publications concerning inscriptions in their areas of expertise. Corsten thanks A. Lichtenberger and P. Weiß for sending him copies of the publications referred to in our lemmata nos. 1418-



1420. In Leiden, Tybout expresses his gratitude to Leah di Segni (Jerusalem), who continued to support him with her valuable advice concerning the interpretation of inscriptions from Palestine and provided publications that were either inaccessible or unknown to him. In Athens, Stroud is grateful to A. Matthaiou and B. Millis; Richardson warmly thanks B. Hartzler for his cheerful and indefatigable computer support.

We acknowledge our gratitude to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, which has funded Corsten's position in Heidelberg (2003-2006); from 1 April 2006 onward, Corsten's position is funded through a generous grant of the Packard Humanities Institute. In 2006, Tybout's position was funded by the University of Leiden, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), and a generous grant made by the Packard Humanities Institute; in 2007, it was entirely covered through the grant provided by the Packard Humanities Institute. The Union Académique Internationale has provided part of the operating expenses. Our publisher Brill covers another part of these costs. The Fédération Internationale des Associations d'Études Classiques (FIEC) and the Hendrik Muller Vaderlandsch Fonds have also made contributions to costs of publication.

Contacts and cooperation with our new publisher proceed smoothly. We thank Irene van Rossum, Brill's acquisition editor responsible for Classical Studies, for her outstanding performance in her work with this project.

We repeat our request to our colleagues around the world to send us copies, offprints, or Xeroxes of their epigraphical publications, particularly those that appear in *Festschriften*, *Acta of Conferences and Symposia*, occasional collections of papers, and other obscure media. Xeroxes and offprints should be sent to R.S. Stroud, University of California, Department of Classics, Dwinelle Hall, Berkeley, 94720, USA; A. Chaniotis, All Souls College, Oxford OX1 4AL, United Kingdom; T. Corsten, Seminar für Alte Geschichte und Epigraphik, University of Heidelberg, Marstallhof 4, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany; and R.A. Tybout, University of Leiden, Department of History, Postbox 9515, 2300 RA Leiden, Holland.

February 2008

A. Chaniotis  
T. Corsten  
R.S. Stroud  
R.A. Tybout

## CONTENTS

	Nos.	pp.
PREFACE		VII-VIII
CONTENTS		IX-X
ABBREVIATIONS		XI-XIV
NOTE ON TRANSCRIPTIONS		XV
ATTICA	1-268	1-100
PELOPONNESOS	269-271	101-102
AIGINA	272-275	102-103
CORINTHIA	276-283	103-105
	276-282	103-104
	282-283	104-105
	284-286	106
	284-285	106
	286	106
SIKYONIA	287-289	106-107
	287-288	106-107
	289	107
KLEONAIA	290-353	107-124
	290-352	107-123
	292	107-108
	353	123-124
ARGEIA	354	124
	355	125
KYNOURIA	356-360	125-126
HERMIONIA	356-357	125
TROIZENIA	358-360	125-126
	361-367	126-128
EPIDAURIA	368-382	128-131
LAKONIA	370-371	128-129
	372	129
	373	129
	374-379	129-130
	380-381	130
	382	131
	383-408	131-136
MESSENIA	383	131
	384	131
	385	131
	386-407	131-136

# CONTENTS

X

	Thouria	408	136
		409-418	137-139
ARKADIA	Alipheira	410	137
	Lousoi	411	137
	Mantineia	412-412 bis	137-138
	Megalopolis	413	138
	Pallantion	414	138
	Stymphalos	415	138
	Tegea	416-418	138-139
		419-438	139-143
ELIS	Olympia	421-438	140-143
		439-448	143-145
ACHAIA		439-441	143-144
	Aigion	442	144
	Ano Mazaraki	443	144
	Keryneia	444	144
	Kleitor	445	145
	Kynaiitha	446-448	145
	Patras	449-450	145-146
MEGARIS		450	146
	Megara		147-174
INDICES			
I.	A. Names of Men and Women		147-151
I.	C. Latin names		152
II.	Kings, Dynasts and their Families		152
III.	Roman Emperors and their Families		152
IV.	A. Geographical Names (except Attica)		152-153
	B. Attic Tribes, Demes, etc.		153-154
V.	Religious Terms		154-158
VI.	Military (and para-military) Terms		158-159
	A. Greek World		158-159
	B. Roman World		159
	Latin Terms		159
VII.	Important Greek Words		159-167
	Latin Words		167
VIII.	Selected Topics		168-174
CONCORDANCE			175-188

# ABBREVIATIONS

XI

## ABBREVIATIONS

(see Preface)

AEMΘ	=	To Αρχαιολογικό Έργο στη Μακεδονία και Θράκη (Thessaloniki 1989→)
Das antike Asyl	=	M.Dreher (ed.), <i>Das antike Asyl: Kulturelle Grundlagen, rechtliche Ausgestaltung und politische Funktion. Akten der Gesellschaft für Griechische und Hellenistische Rechtsgeschichte</i> 15 (Cologne 2003)
Αττικά Ἐπιγραφαί Wilhelm	=	A.P.Matthaiou, G.E.Malouchou (edd.), <i>Αττικά Ἐπιγραφαί. Πρακτικά Συμποσίου εἰς Μνήμην Adolf Wilhelm</i> (Athens 2004)
BAAH	=	Βιβλιοθήκη τῆς ἐν Ἀθήναις Ἀρχαιολογικῆς Ἑταιρείας
Carusi, <i>Isole e peree</i>	=	C.Carusi, <i>Isole e peree in Asia Minore: Contributi allo studio dei rapporti tra poleis insulari e territori continentali dipendenti</i> (Pubblicazioni della Classe di lettere e filosofia, Scuola normale superiore 28; Pisa 2003)
<i>The Cauldron of Ariantas</i>	=	P.Guldager Bilde, J.M.Højte, V.F.Stolba (edd.), <i>The Cauldron of Ariantas. Studies Presented to A.N.Šcegllov on the Occasion of his 70th Birthday</i> (Aarhus 2003)
CAVI	=	H.Immerwahr, <i>A Corpus of Attic Vase Inscriptions (CAVI). Preliminary Edition</i> (n.p. 1998), 5 vols. Part V: <i>Corrigenda, Indices</i> (1998); <i>Corrigenda II</i> (May 1999)
Cybele	=	E.N.Lane (ed.), <i>Cybele, Attis and Related Cults: Essays in Memory of M.J.Vermaseren</i> (Leiden 1996)
Demokratie	=	D.Cohen (ed.), <i>Demokratie, Recht und soziale Kontrolle im klassischen Athen: Schriften des Historischen Kollegs: Kolloquien</i> 49 (Munich 2002)
Dössel, <i>Die Beilegung</i>	=	A.Dössel, <i>Die Beilegung innerstaatlicher Konflikte in den griechischen Poleis vom 5.-3. Jahrhundert v.Chr.</i> (Frankfurt am Main 2003)



- Les élites* = M.Cébeillac-Gervasoni, L.Lamoine (edd.), *Les élites et leurs facettes. Les élites locales dans le monde hellénistique et romain* (Rome 2003)
- Essays William Slater* = E.Csapo, M.Miller (edd.), *Poetry, Theory, Praxis: The Social Life of Myth, Word, and Image in Ancient Greece. Essays in Honour of William J. Slater* (Oxford 2003)
- Foreign Residents* = M.J.Osborne, S.G.Byrne (edd.), *Foreign Residents of Athens* (Leuven 1996)
- Gestures: Essays Boegehold* = G.W.Bakewell, J.P.Sickinger (edd.), *Gestures: Essays in Ancient History, Literature, and Philosophy presented to Alan L. Boegehold on the occasion of his retirement and his seventy-fifth birthday* (Oxford 2003)
- Hyetos* = R.Étienne, D.Knoepfler, *Hyetos de Béotie et la chronologie des archontes fédéraux entre 250 et 171 avant J.-C.* (Paris 1976)
- I.Eleusis* = K.Clinton, *Eleusis: The Inscriptions on Stone: Documents of the Sanctuary of the Two Goddesses and the Public Documents of the Deme I* (BAAH vol. 236; Athens 2005)
- ILGR* = M.Šašel Kos, *Inscriptiones Latinae in Graecia repertae: Additamenta ad CIL III* (Faenza 1979)
- I.Orapox* = V.Petrakos, *Oí ἐπιγραφές τοῦ Ὀρῶπου* (Athens 1997)
- Lettered Attica* = D.Jordan, J.Traill (edd.), *Lettered Attica: A Day of Attic Epigraphy. Proceedings of the Athens Symposium, 8 March 2000* (Toronto 2003)
- LGNP II* = M.J.Osborne, S.G.Byrne, *A Lexicon of Greek Personal Names. Vol. 2. Attica* (Oxford 1994)
- LGNP III.A* = P.M.Fraser, E.Matthews, *A Lexicon of Greek Personal Names. Vol. 3 part A. The Peloponnese, Western Greece, Sicily and Magna Graecia* (Oxford 1997)
- LGNP III.B* = P.M.Fraser, E.Matthews, *A Lexicon of Greek Personal Names. Vol. 3 part B. Central Greece from the Megarid to*

- Thessaly* (Oxford 2000)
- LGNP IV* = P.M.Fraser, E.Matthews, *A Lexicon of Greek Personal Names. Vol. 4. Macedonia, Thrace, Northern Regions of the Black Sea* (Oxford 2005)
- Macedonians in Athens* = O.Palagia, S.V.Tracy (edd.), *The Macedonians in Athens, 322–229 B.C.* (Oxford 2003)
- Migeotte, Souscriptions* = L.Migeotte, *Les souscriptions publiques dans les cités grecques* (Quebec–Geneva 1992)
- Mylonopoulos, Poseidon* = J.Mylonopoulos, *Πελοπόννησος οἰκτίριον Ποσειδῶνος. Heiligtümer und Kulte des Poseidon auf der Peloponnes. Kernos Suppl. 13* (Liège 2003)
- NAGVI* = R.Wachter, *Non-Attic Greek Vase Inscriptions* (Oxford 2001)
- Oikonomou-Laniado, Argos paléochrétienne* = A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne: Contribution à l'étude du Péloponnèse Byzantin. BAR-IS 1173* (Oxford 2003)
- Olympiabericht* = *Bericht über die Ausgrabungen in Olympia* (Berlin 1936→)
- PA* = J.Kirchner, *Prosopographia Attica* (Berlin 1901)
- PAA* = J.S.Traill, *Persons of Ancient Athens*, 15 vols. (Toronto 1994→)
- PAAH* = Πρακτικά τῆς ἐν Ἀθηναῖς Ἀρχαιολογικῆς Ἑταιρείας
- Petrakos, Δήμος τοῦ Ραμνοῦντος* = B.C.Petrakos, *Ὁ Δήμος τοῦ Ραμνοῦντος: Σύνοψη τῶν ἀνασκαφῶν καὶ τῶν ἐρευνῶν (1813–1998), I. Τοπογραφία; II. Οἱ Ἐπιγραφές* (BAAH vols. 181, 182; Athens 1999)
- PIR* = *Prosopographia Imperii Romani* (Berlin 19332→)
- Popular Tyranny* = K.A.Morgan (ed.), *Sovereignty and Its Discontents in Ancient Greece: Popular Tyranny* (Austin 2003)
- Rhodes–Osborne, GHI* = P.J.Rhodes, R.Osborne (edd.), *Greek Historical Inscriptions,*

404-323 B.C. (Oxford 2003)

- A. Rizakis et al., *Roman Peloponnese* = A. Rizakis, S. Zoumbaki, M. Kantirea, *Roman Peloponnese I: Roman Personal Names in Their Social Context: Meletemata* 31 (Athens 2001); A. Rizakis, S. Zoumbaki, C. Lepenioti, *Roman Peloponnese II: Roman Personal Names in Their Social Context (Lakonia and Messenia): Meletemata* 36 (Athens 2004)
- Robert, *Hellenica* = L. Robert, *Hellenica I-XIII* (Paris 1939-1965)
- ΣΕΜΑ = V. Bardani, G. K. Papadopoulos, *Συμπλήρωμα τῶν ἐπιτομῶν μνημείων τῆς Ἀττικῆς* (BAAH vol. 241; Athens 2006)
- Tracy, *A and M* = S. V. Tracy, *Athens and Macedon: Attic Letter-Cutters of 300 to 229 B.C.* (Berkeley 2003)
- Tracy, *ADT* = S. V. Tracy, *Athenian Democracy in Transition: Attic Letter-Cutters of 340 to 290 B.C.* (Berkeley 1995)
- Tracy, *Cutters* = S. V. Tracy, *Attic Letter-Cutters of 229 to 86 B.C.* (Berkeley 1990)
- Wessel, *IGCVO* = C. Wessel, *Inscriptiones Graecae Christianae Veteres Occidentis* (Bari 1989)

## NOTE ON TRANSCRIPTIONS

- [αβ] = letters restored by the editors as once having been inscribed but now lost
- {αβ} = superfluous letters added in error by the inscriber of the text and excised by the editor
- <αβ> = letters added by the editor which the inscriber of the text has either omitted or for which he has by error inscribed other letters
- (αβ) = letters which complete words left in abbreviation in the text
- [[αβ]] = letters or spaces deliberately erased in antiquity  
[.]
- αβ̄ = letters of which sufficient traces remain to print them in the text but not enough to exclude other possible readings
- ~ = ligature
- [. . 5. . .] = lost or illegible letters equal to the number of dots for which no restoration is proposed
- [----] = lost or illegible letters of an uncertain number
- v = one uninscribed letter-space
- vacat = the remainder of the line has been left uninscribed
- ed.pr. = the first editor of the inscription under discussion
- ph. = photograph
- dr. = drawing
- ! = denotes the start of a new line on the stone where we have not printed the text in the same configuration as on the stone
- = denotes the direction of each line in texts inscribed in boustrophedon or retrograde manner

## ATTICA

1. Athens. The Solonian horoi. L.Gallo, *AION(archeol)* 6 (1999) 59–71, rejects the possibility that the ὄροι in Solon fr. 30 (Aristotle, *Ath.Pol.* 12.4) were hypothecation stones similar to the security horoi of the 4th cent. B.C. They were 'pietre di confine', which Gallo interprets in this passage as a metaphor indicating that Solon removed a hitherto immovable object/condition from the black earth when he outlawed the hereditary chains that bound the ἐκτήμεροι to the land of the πλούσιοι.

For a proposal that the horoi in Solon's poem were interpreted by Aristotle (*Ath.Pol.* 12.4) anachronistically as security horoi, that he used the rate of interest of his own day to infer the rate in Solon's day, and that he or the Athidographers 'coined the word hektemoroi,' see T.Ito, *PP* 59 (2004) 241–246.

See also G.E.M.de Ste.Croix, *Athenian Democratic Origins and Other Essays* (Oxford 2004) 109–128, with updated bibliography, and K.-W.Welwei, *Hermes* 133 (2005) 35–43.

2. Athens. The first tragic contests at the City Dionysia. In *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 173–182, A.P.Burnett, in rejecting a Kleisthenic origin for the tragic performances at the City Dionysia (see W.R.Connor, *C&M* 40 [1989] 7–32 [*SEG* XXXIX 190, 862]; republished in W.R.Connor et al. [edd.], *Aspects of Athenian Democracy: C&M Diss.* 11 [1990] 7–32), finds no support for this view in the epigraphical evidence of the Marmor Parium, *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 2318, or *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 2325, nor do these inscriptions contradict the traditional connection with the Peisistratid tyranny and a first victory ca. 528 B.C., which she then defends.

3. Athens. The Peisistratidai and the Academy. D.Marchiandi, *ASAA* 81.1 (2003) [2004] 11–81, presents a long and very detailed analysis of the literary and archaeological evidence for the history, topography, and cults in the Academy, especially under the regime of Peisistratos and his sons. Among the inscriptions that play a part in her discussion are the dedication of a herm by Leokrates, *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 983 and 1199 (35–37); the boundary stone of the Academy, *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 1091 (38–40) (ph.); dipinto of hexα[- - ] on a sherd, Beazley, *ABV* 27 no. 36 (41); the torch race at the Hephaistia, *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 82, II<sup>2</sup> 2974, 3019, 3023 (53–60). Marchiandi maintains that the tyrants had a decisive impact on the topography of Athens by reaching out to the north and establishing cults at this extremity of the δρόμος that ran from the Academy, through the Kerameikos and the Agora, and up to the Acropolis.



4. Athens. Athenian settlement on Lemnos in the 5th and 4th cent. B.C. Proceeding from her analysis of the topography and archaeological remains of two excavated farmsteads of the 5th cent. B.C. with family grave monuments in the territory of Hephaisteia and of the inscriptions in our lemmata nos. 85 (Athenian decree of 387/6 B.C.), 88 (Athenian grain-tax law of 374/3 B.C.), 908 (Athenian-type security horoi), and 179 (accounts of the epistatai of Eleusis, 329/8 B.C.), D. Marchiandi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 487–583, reexamines the evidence for the Athenian settlement on Lemnos in the 5th cent. B.C. (547–554). She finds that, by nature of the Athenian settlement on Lemnos in the 5th cent. B.C. (547–554). She finds that, by pressing the parallel of, e.g., the punitive settlement following the revolt of Mytilene in 427 B.C. and by not adhering closely enough to the primary definition of κληρουχός in the lexiconographers as γεωργός, modern scholars have been misled into unwarranted assumptions about Athenians on Lemnos. She returns to the view that the reduction of the tribute for Lemnos from 9T to 4½T, 452/1–443/2 B.C. (see our lemma no. 61) reflects the sending out of a non-punitive cleruchy to Lemnos by Athens, 'nel quadro della colonizzazione periclea,' possibly accompanied by the dedication of the statue of Athena Lemnia of Phaidias on the Acropolis (Pausanias 1.28.2). It was these Athenians who settled in Attic-like farmsteads with family burial periboloi on Lemnos and who later appear in Thucydides 1.115.3; 4.28.4; 5.8.2; 7.57.2 and in other authors as military contingents fighting together with the Athenians and recorded as Athenian citizens in casualty lists such as *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 1164/1165. They also appear with Athenian demotics in 5th-cent. B.C. security horoi found on Lemnos (see our lemma no. 908). Calling upon the analogy of the recently published catalogue of Athenian bouleutai from Samos (*SEG* XLV 1162 = *IG* XII.6.262) and citing the evidence of Athenians from Lemnos in the accounts of the naval commissioners (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1609 L. 56; 1632 L. 303) and in leasing documents of the poletai for mines at Laureion (*Agora* XIX P9 LL. 32/33), Marchiandi shows that members of the Athenian liturgical class were among the cleruchs on Lemnos. At the same time, she regards the builders of the two excavated farmsteads in Hephaisteia as belonging to 'una classe medio-alta locale' (552). The klerouchoi thus represent a cross-section of Athenian citizens, not all of them resident all the time, who were sent out to exploit both Lemnos and Imbros as prime agricultural territory essential to the food supply of Athens.

Marchiandi notes that in documents found on Lemnos itself, the following terms designate the Athenian settlers: ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων τῶν ἐν Μυτιλήναι οἰκῶν, *IG* XII.8.4; ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων τῶν ἐν Μυτιλήναι, *IG* XII.8.3, 5, 6, 7.

In *A* and *M* 109/110, 115/116, S.V. Tracy has a helpful discussion of the northern Aegean islands as "pawns in the power game that the [Hellenistic] monarchs were always waging," especially the evidence provided by *JG* II<sup>2</sup> 550 and 1222, both of which he assigns now to the period of ca. 280–240 B.C. as products of his Cutter of Agora 1 6664 (see our lemma no. 40). Also part of the discussion is *JG* II<sup>2</sup> 735, with its mention of Hephaisteia on Lemnos (see also our lemma no. 133).

5. Athens. Evidence for the role of the Boule and the Ekklesia in state decrees of the oligarchic period, 321–318 B.C. G.J. Oliver, in *Macedonians in Athens* 40–51, after tabulating 39 state decrees of this period, points to the very prominent role of the Ekklesia vis-à-vis the Boule—reflected in the exceedingly high proportion of non-probouletic (73%) to probouletic

(27%) decrees—compared to the preceding (403–322 B.C.) and subsequent (318–262 B.C.) periods; valuable graph (45). He compiles lists of the proposers of these decrees, including prosopographic notes; examines the identity and function of the ἀναγραφεῖς; and tries to assess the impact on the functioning of Athenian legislative procedure of Antipater's severe restrictions on the franchise. Oliver warns against too readily applying the labels 'democratic' and 'oligarchic' to specific features of the Athenian constitution revealed in these decrees.

6. Athens. The end of the classical democracy of Athens. Inscriptions are inevitably prominent in B.Dreyer's attempt to answer the question, 'Wann endet der klassische Demokratie Athens,' *AncSoc* 31 (2001) 27–66. Like most such questions, much depends on what criteria one adopts for defining 'the end'. On 32–41, Dreyer stresses how unreliable the evidence of some inscriptions can be when powerful Athenians who worked with the Macedonians or with foreign kings are praised in decrees for their loyalty to the democracy, and when one cannot verify equations between secretary cycle and democracy, breaks in the secretary cycle and oligarchy, ο ἐπὶ τῇ διοικήσει and democracy, and ο ἐπὶ τῇ διοικήσει and oligarchy (see our lemma no. 10). On 41–44, he assembles evidence for Kontinuität; on 44–52, for Veränderungen. Veränderungen include financial crises that made the polis much more dependent on wealthy citizens with close ties to foreign monarchs; the decline of the ephebeia; 'eine Elitisierung in der Politik'; stronger emphasis on wealth for service in the cavalry; specialization in the commissions of military commanders; and increase in the power and influence of the Areiopagos. On 52–58, Dreyer chronicles the vicissitudes of Athens after the Chremonidean War, concluding that the classical democracy did not survive this military defeat and the subsequent long period of Macedonian domination. On 58–64, he takes up the impact of the Mithradatic War and Sulla and the growing influence of Rome on the running of the Athenian state.

7. Athens. Archives. P.Valavanis, *MDAJ(A)* 117 (2002) 221–255, presents a new reconstruction of the Metroon in the Athenian Agora, with emphasis on how the building fulfilled its main function as the archives of classical and Hellenistic Athens. 'I think that there should be no doubt that for every one of the copies [of documents] on stone, there was an original document of perishable material in the archive and, furthermore, that all the originals of the decisions of the Boule and the Ekklesia, the *autographa*, were written down on papyrus and filed in the archives. It appears, moreover, that they were filed in absolute chronological order, not just by the year but by the month and the day the decision was taken. That is, they were calendared far more precisely than the copies made in stone.' (234)

In E.M.Harris, L.Rubinstein (edd.), *The Law and the Courts in Ancient Greece* (London 2004) 93–109, J.Sickingen presents 'The Laws of Athens: Publication, Preservation, Consultation.' He explores the several ways in which laws were published: axones, kyrbeis, stone and bronze stelai, wooden tablets. Physically, many were set up in proximity to the office of the supervising magistrate, e.g., homicide laws at the Stoa Basileios, headquarters of the Basileus. Many more were available in the archives, especially the Metroon. He cautions



against some modern assumptions that the laws were largely inaccessible, haphazardly arranged, very difficult to find, and seldom invoked. Contrasting the relatively small number of surviving Athenian νόμοι on stone with the numerous citations of legal documents in forensic speeches, Sickinger questions whether the Athenians ever aspired to the publication of all their laws on stone and remains skeptical that they did so in any complete manner in the well-known revision and codification of the laws in the last decade of the 5th cent. B.C. 'If they did manage to complete publication, the inscribed code soon became obsolete. At least nothing is heard of it in the fourth century.' (100) On 109 note 63, a helpful list of forensic speeches that include requests for laws to be read out.

In *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 339–350, Sickinger covers some of the same territory in arguing that many of the archon dates in Aristotle, *Ath. Pol.* 22–26, concerning internal Athenian history, were available to researchers such as the Athidographers through surviving documentary sources. He rejects the oral tradition as a viable and accurate source for much of this constitutional information.

For discussion of the role of public and family archives in the preservation of decrees and other documents, with particular focus on those cited in [Plutarch], *Vitae X Orat.* (Mor. 852C/D), see our lemma no. 41.

For stamped tiles from the Metroon, see our lemma no. 260.

On archives in the Greek world, see also our lemma no. 2148.

**8. Athens. Publication on σανίδες/σανίδα.** In *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 237–250, J.E. Fischer examines the literary and epigraphical evidence in Athens for the form and functions of σανίδες/σανίδα, writing-boards or planks made of wood, for temporary display of public notices or for storage in an archive. Among the many public functions of this mode of publication, Fischer discusses indictments (γραφὴ παρανόμων, γραφή λιποταξίου), confiscations (ἀπογραφαί) and lists of property, δίκαι (παραγραφὴ), new νόμοι, lists of military personnel, and lists of state debtors. He appends (248/249) a list of 37 references to these objects in inscriptions from throughout the Greek world. For sanides at Thasos and Chalkedon, see SEG LIV.

**8 bis. Athens. Erasure of inscriptions.** E. Culasso Gastaldi, *CCG* 14 (2003) 241–262, collects evidence for the destruction and erasure of inscriptions in Athens (καθαίρειν, ἐξαλείφειν, ἀφαιρῶν, ἐκκολλάπτω) in an effort to delete the memory of particular historical events and as opposition to political ideas. Among the texts she adduces, too numerous to be listed here, we single out IG I<sup>3</sup> 46, 101, 229; II<sup>2</sup> 6 + add., 43, 52, 98, 111, 116, 448, 2336; XII.6.262.

**9. Athens. Eponymous archons in the 3rd cent. B.C.** SEG XLIX 8; L. 1. Building upon his last two contributions to this vexed topic (cf. SEG XLIX 8; L. 1), M.J. Osborne has published 3 more papers, which we present here.

In 'The Archons of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1273,' in *Attikai 'Επιγραφαι Wilhelm* 199–211, delivered orally in 2000, Osborne publishes a ph. of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1273, which contains 2 thiasotic decrees honoring officers who served in the archonship of Nikias (see our lemma no. 155). The archon date, partly preserved on the epistyle, refers to the year of Nikias' immediate successor. After reviewing all previous attempts to restore this line (SEG XLIV 58\*), Osborne favors [ἐν Εὐβούλου ἄρχοντος, who becomes in 265/4 B.C. the successor of Νικίας III Ὀτρυνεύς of 266/5 B.C. He is to be distinguished from Euboulos (I), archon in 274/3 B.C. Euboulos (II) is known only from IG II<sup>2</sup> 682, the honorary decree for Phaidros of Sphettos, which Osborne places in 259/8 B.C. (see our lemma no. 127). 'Arising from this location for the archon Euboulos (II), that of Phanomachos is virtually assured as 263/2 and the constituents if not the exact order of the archon list for the period 268/7 down to ca. 238/7 become reasonably secure.' (210) On 205/206, Osborne prints a revised version of the archon list from 268/7 to 234/3 B.C., which overlaps considerably with—but is in fact superseded by—the list he prints in *Macedonians in Athens*, which we reproduce below.

In 'Shadowland: Athens under Antigonos Gonatas and His Successor,' in *Macedonians in Athens* 67–75, delivered in 2001, Osborne has 3 aims: (1) to clarify the chronology by relocating 4 individual archons; (2) to reconsider the thiasotic decree of the year of Kydenor, SEG II 9; and (3) 'to sketch out some historical implications.'

Taking the 4 archons in turn, he first discusses Ἀριστίων (67/68), attested in a thiasotic decree from Eleusis, SEG XXIV 156 (see our lemma no. 154), previously dated in the 230s B.C. but now assigned by S.V. Tracy to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1262, active ca. 320–ca. 290 B.C. Osborne suggests that the 'new' archon Ambrosios in SEG XLIX 141, dated 290/89 B.C. by G. Steinhauer, should more plausibly be dated later (see our lemma no. 146). Accordingly, Osborne proposes the following new schema for the archons of the second half of the 290s.

Date	Archon	
295/4	Νικόστρατος	secretary tribe XI
294/3	[Ὀλυμπιόδωρος]	[anagrapheus]
293/2	Ὀλυμπιόδωρος	anagrapheus
292/1	Φίλιππος	anagrapheus
291/0	Χαρίνος	secretary tribe XI
290/89	Τηλοκλῆς	(?)
289/8	Ἀριστίων	(?)

Osborne deals second with Ἀθηνόδωρος (68/69), citing the unpublished decree SEG L 146 as evidence that he served in an Ordinary year and was the immediate predecessor of Lysias, who served in an Intercalary year. Osborne places Athenodoros in 239/8 and Lysias in 238/7 B.C., and presents intricate speculation about a possible secretary cycle recommending in or just before 239/8 B.C., which we cannot reproduce here. His new schema for the archon list of 239/8–228/7 B.C. is incorporated in the list reproduced below.

For the third archon he discusses, Διομέδων (69/70), Osborne adduces the evidence of a decree from Diomedon's archonship found at Rhamnous, SEG XLVII 151, which praises the strategos Archandros for his activities against Alexander son of Krateros. Since Alexander died

in 245 B.C., Diomedon must be placed earlier, i.e., 248/7 B.C., for which Osborne has previously argued. He rejects the attempt of D. Knoepfler, A.G. Woodhead, and others to date Diomedon to 245/4 B.C. and adds the point that after the crisis referred to in *SEG XLVII* 151, Aristomachos, tyrant of Argos, effected a truce with Alexander that obviously postdated the archonship of Diomedon, 'which cannot thus be 245/4. In such circumstances the case for 248/7 for Diomedon becomes irresistible.'

Osborne fourth takes up *Λυσιάδης* (70–72), in whose archonship fall *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 775 + 803, two decrees praising a priest of Asklepios (see our lemma no. 40); *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 774, an honorific decree for Aristomachos of Argos; and *SEG XLIV* 60, a thiasotic decree proposed by Βάτραχος, who had earlier moved the thiasotic decree in honor of the epimeletai of the years from Polyuktos to Theophemos, *SEG II* 9 (see our lemma no. 159). Osborne finds, after a very intricate discussion of the relationship of Lysiaides to Diomedon and his successors, that 'the attribution of Lysiaides to 241/0 becomes irresistible' (71). This is because, according to the 'expectations of the Metonic Cycle,' Lysiaides' year must be Intercalary. Rejecting Meritt's attempt to restore Lysiaides' year as Ordinary (*SEG XXXI* 96), Osborne maintains that, in the calendar equation of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 775 + 803 LL 3/4, 'only one restoration is plausible,' i.e., the one that yields an Intercalary year.

On 74, Osborne briefly outlines some of the historical consequences of his revised sequence of eponymous archons. The 240s were clearly 'a very turbulent period for the Athenians as captive allies' of Antigonos Gonatas. There were troubles from Alexander son of Krateros, whose operations around Rhamnous required extraordinary measures from the Athenian general Archandros in 248/7 B.C., the archonship of Diomedon. A general epidosis was decreed early in 247 B.C. *εἰς τὴν σωτηρίαν τῆς πόλεως καὶ τὴν φυλακὴν τῆς χώρας*, *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 791. There may have been further troubles, perhaps reflected in the abandonment of the secretary cycle after Diomedon. In 245 B.C., Aristomachos, tyrant of Argos, secured a truce with Alexander but it was short-lived, for Alexander died almost immediately thereafter. Then Athens had to face a new threat from Aratos of Sikyon and eventually the Demetrian War.

On 75/76, Osborne prints the following revised list of eponymous archons 268/7–228/7 B.C.

Date	Year (Meton) (Attested)	Archon	Tribe of Secretary
268/7	I	Πειθίδης	(10)
267/6	O	Μενεκλῆς	XI
266/5	O	Νικίας III	XII
265/4	I	Εὐβουλος II	(1)
264/3	O	Διογρητος	(2)
263/2	I	Φανόμαχος	(3)
262/1	O	Ἀντίπατρος	(4)
CYCLE X			
261/0	O	Ἀρρενιδῆς	--
260/59	I	Φιλόστρατος	I(?) (or VI)
259/8	O	Φιλίνος	II
258/7	O	Ἀντιφῶν	--

Date	Year (Meton) (Attested)	Archon	Tribe of Secretary
257/6	I	Θυμοχάρης	--
256/5	O	Ἀντίμαχος	V
255/4	O	Κλεόμαχος	VI
254/3	I	Φανόστρατος	--
253/2	O	Καλλυμῆδης	IV
252/1	I	Φειδόστρατος	V(?) (or III or VIII)
251/0	O	Θερσίλοχος	VI
250/49	O	Πολύευκτος	VII
249/8	I	Ἰέρων	VIII
248/7	O	Διομέδων	XII
247/6	O	Θεόφωμος	--
246/5	I	Φιλόνεως	VI
245/4	O	Κυδῆνωρ	VI
244/3	I	Εὐρυκλείδης	--
243/2	O	(?)	--
CYCLE XI			
242/1	O	(?)	--
241/0	I	Λυσιάδης	--
240/39	O	(?)	--
239/8	O	Ἀθηνόδωρος	X
238/7	I	Λυσίας	XI
237/6	O	(?)	(12)
236/5	O	Κίμων	(1)
235/4	I	Ἐκφαντος	II
234/3	O	Λυσανίας	III
233/2	I	(?)	(4)
232/1	O	Μησειδῆς	(5) (or 1 year earlier or later)
231/0	O	(?)	(6)
230/29	I	Ἰάσων	(7) (or 1 year earlier)
229/8	O	(?)	(8)
228/7	O	Ἡλιόδωρος	IX

In *ZPE* 143 (2003) 95–100, Osborne eschews the reliability of the secretary cycle in the period 248/7–234/3 B.C. and places his confidence much more firmly in the 19-year Metonic cycle (Diodoros 12.36). Using the requirements of 12 Ordinary and 7 Intercalary years in each cycle and the impossibility of two successive Intercalary years, he draws heavily upon *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 774 + *SEG XXXIX* 131; *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 776 + *SEG XXI* 392; *SEG II* 9; and *Agora XVI* 216/217. His results match the sequence of archons printed above.

In *A* and *M* 165–168, as Appendix I, S. V. Tracy cautiously and with full awareness of the volatility of the chronology, presents the working list of eponymous archons 261/0–234/3 B.C. that he used in the compilation of the volume. 'I harbor no illusion that it is more than



approximately correct. That is, I hope that the archons are in the correct order and, for the most part, in the correct decade.' (165)

Date	Archon	Date	Archon
261/0	(?)	247/6	Φιλόνεως
260/59	Φιλόστρατος	246/5	Θεόφημος
259/8	Φιλίνος	245/4	Κυδίνωρ
258/7	Ἀντιφῶν	244/3	(?)
257/6	Θυμοχάρης	243/2	Εὐρυκλείδης
256/5	Ἀντίμαχος	242/1	(?)
255/4	Κλεόμαχος	241/0	(?)
254/3	Φανόστρατος	240/39	(?)
253/2	Καλλιμήδης	239/8	(?)
252/1	Φειδόστρατος	238/7	Ἀθηνόδωρος
251/0	Θερσίλοχος	237/6	Λυσίας
250/49	Πολύευκτος	236/5	Κίμων
249/8	Ἰέρων	235/4	Ἐκφαντος
248/7	Διομέδων	234/3	Λυσανίας

10. Athens. Administrators of the διοίκησις. In *A and M* 14, S.V. Tracy briefly registers his support for the view that the variation in the number of the article in the formula *ὁ/οἱ ἐπὶ τῇ διοίκησει* in Attic decrees of the Hellenistic period is without chronological or constitutional significance. 'Scribes and letter-cutters could refer to the plural board [οἱ] or to the head of it—[i.e. the single officer [ὁ]]—without signifying any difference.'

For the διοίκησις, see also our lemmata nos. 6 and 40.

11. Athens. Curses and social control in the law courts of classical Athens. Under this title, C.A. Farone, in *Demokratie* 77–92, briefly adduces and translates Audollent, *DefixTab* 49; *IG* III 2, appendix, nos. 94, 107, in a discussion of the role of lead curse tablets and voodoo dolls (e.g., *SEG* XLIX 315–317) in the law courts of classical Athens. He withdraws his earlier suggestion (*Magika Hiera* [New York 1991] 20) that it was primarily 'perennial underdogs' who commissioned these spells. '[I]t seems abundantly clear that the wealthy and powerful in Athens [cf. Plato, *Republic* 2.364C] employed professional sorcerers to curse rival litigants just as they hired talented speech writers like Lysias to write speeches for them.' (89)

12. Athens. The Boule under Hadrian. In *BE* (2003) 286, S. Follet charitably notes our 'lapses' in *SEG* XLVI 3, where we erroneously attributed an augmentation of the number of members of the Boule from 500 to 600 to the reforms of Hadrian, whereas there was a reduction in numbers from 600 to 500, 'exactement 520 (13 tribus, 40 bouleutes par tribu).'

She now prefers a date of 124/5 A.D. for this reform on the basis of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1075 + III 55, the decree of Synnada, and George Syncellus, *Chronographie* I p. 659.

13. Athens. Inscriptions on the Acropolis. In his beautifully illustrated synthesis of monuments, cults, history, and art, *L'Acropole d'Athènes* (Paris 2003), B. Holtzmann quotes some of the texts of the following inscriptions, with translations, brief discussion, and many photographs.

<i>IG</i> I <sup>3</sup>	Holtzmann	<i>IG</i> II <sup>2</sup>	Holtzmann
4	85–87, ph.	1	191/192, ph.
35	154–157, ph.; see below	49	192/193, ph.
36	154–157	101	192/193, ph.
52	145/146, ph.; 156	120	194
78	207/208	334	230–240
375, 377	194/195, ph.	1424	197
435	99	1425	160/161
436–451	107/108, 121	1514–1531	181
453–460	110/111, ph.	2087	236, ph.
474–476	166–175	2311	235–240
505	99	3025	234/235, ph.
506	177/178, ph.	3134	235, ph.
596	70	3474	185/186
728	56	3818	230/231
784	68/69, dr.	3838	187
880	96/97	4423	210/211, ph.
895	187	4960/4961	207/208, dr.
1098	209, ph.	6320	232/233
1382	204	<i>SEG</i>	Holtzmann
		XII 80	224
		XXXIII 115	205

On *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 35, Holtzmann states: 'A cause de la forme de la lettre sigma, ce texte ne peut être postérieure à 446/445.'

On 294, an Index des Inscriptions, which includes many additional texts mentioned only briefly.

13 bis. Athens. Inscribed stone dedications from the Acropolis. C.M. Keesling, in *Lettered Attica* 41–54, points out that dedications, the largest category of Athenian inscriptions in the period before 403 B.C.—a total of 510 dedications in *IG* I<sup>3</sup>, including 415 from the Acropolis: cf. gravestones (232 entries) and decrees (229 entries)—have been almost entirely ignored in recent debates about ancient literacy. Focusing on the 330 inscribed stone sculpture bases from



- A. Altar of Aphrodite Hegemone of the Demos and the Charites, 197/6 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 2798. See our lemma no. 214.
- B. Honorary decrees for Eumarides of Kydonia, 229/8–193/2 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 844. Monaco 120/121 (ph.).
- C. Base of the Thriasioi, ca. 200 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 3864. Monaco 115, 121/122 (dr.).
- D. Honorary decree for Timarchos of Salamis on Cyprus, 190–180 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 909. *SEG* XLV 113, 115. Monaco 123/124 (ph.).
- E. Honorary decree for Nikeratos of Alexandria, 181–170 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 908. *SEG* XXIX 118.\* Monaco, 124/125 (ph.), reprints the text of *IG* II<sup>2</sup>; no changes except for  $\Delta\lambda\epsilon$  at the end of L. 1.
- F. Honorary decrees for Euelthon and Leontiskos of Patara, ca. 150 B.C.? *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 987/988. *SEG* XXX 79. See our lemma no. 141.
- G. Decree of the Kerykes and Eumolpidai in honor of Philonides of Laodikeia in Syria, 170 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1236. Monaco 127, 131 (ph.).

On 130–134, Monaco collects the evidence for other cults in the temenos, such as Roma (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 3404, 3547, 3571, 4775, 5047), Demokratia? (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1011, 2791, 4676 + 5029), Ptolemy III Euergetas and Berenike (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4676 + 5029); cf. also the εἰσπληρία of the Hipparchoi (*SEG* XXI 526; *Agora* XVI 270).

Representation in sculpture (see our lemma no. 214), on the New Style Coins and on a lead token (see our lemma no. 259), 134–138 (ph., dr.); extensive bibliography.

17. Sounion. Cape Sounion and the Macedonian occupation. In *Macedonians in Athens* 152–161, H.R. Goette revisits some of the topographical, historical, and archaeological evidence outlined in greater detail in his book *Ὁ ἀξιώλογος δῆμος Σούνιον. Landeskundliche Studien in Südost-Attika* (*SEG* L 17) [see J. Mylonopoulos, *EBGR* (2004) no. 95 (*Kernos* 20 [2007] 271)]. On 159, ph. and dr. of *SEG* XLV 134.

18. Athens. The sanctuary of Aphrodite Pandemos. F. Frost, *SyllClass* 13 (2002) 34–46, collects the literary and epigraphical evidence (*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 832; II<sup>2</sup> 659, 4596) for the location of this shrine, and rejects its dubious association with Solon and prostitution.

19. Athens. The Leokoreion. In an exhaustive discussion of the testimonia, physical remains, pottery and other finds, and particularly the cult practices, at this shrine, which she accepts as being the Crossroads Enclosure excavated by the American School east of the Stoa Basilikos, S. Batino, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 55–82, assembles the epigraphical evidence that could lend support to this identification (65–67), i.e., *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1008, 1742, 1963; *SEG* XXI 525; XLVI 148, 167; and the archive of the Athenian cavalry (*SEG* XXVI 163; cf. also G.R. Bugh, *The Horsemen of Athens* [Princeton 1988] [*SEG* XXXVIII 12, 276]).

20. Athens. Kynosarges and the sanctuary of Herakles. S. Privitera, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 51–66, reviews the literary, epigraphical, and archaeological evidence for the position, functions, and history of the gymnasium of Kynosarges and the sanctuary of Herakles in southeast Athens on the banks of the Ilissos. Among the inscriptions studied are *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 257; II<sup>2</sup> 1102, 1665 (see our lemma no. 178); *SEG* III 115–117. Privitera maintains that there was not an interruption of over three centuries in the history of the gymnasium but that there was a single building on the east side of the road to Sounion that was restored by Hadrian. The building west of the road, excavated by the British School in 1896 and 1897, cannot be the building destroyed by Philip V in 200 B.C. because it had already been abandoned no later than 225 B.C.

21. Peiraieus. The sanctuary of the Διονυσιασταί. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1325/1326, 1368, 2948, and 11674. See our lemma no. 2225.

22. Athens. Magistrates' headquarters in the archaic and classical periods. See our lemma no. 2201.

23. Athens. The places of publication of Athenian state decrees, 5th cent. B.C.–3rd cent. A.D. Under this title, P. Liddel, *ZPE* 143 (2003) 79–93, collects and comments on the publication formulas preserved in 533 Attic decrees, with discussion of those erected on the Acropolis, in the Agora, in other locations, and outside Attica; 7 annotated tables.

24. Athens. Μὴ μνησικακεῖν in Athenian inscriptions. E. Carawan, *JHS* 122 (2002) 5/6, in the context of his examination of the Athenian amnesty of 403 B.C. and the scrutiny of the laws, maintains that this provision—which is found in the Athenian decrees concerning the Bottiaioi, *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 76 (422 B.C.); Keos, *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 111 (362 B.C.); and in the Exiles Decree of Alexander at Tegea, *Syll.*<sup>3</sup> 306, Rhodes–Osborne, *GHI* 101 (see our lemma no. 417)—does not in itself result in pardon or convey immunity from prosecution; 'rather, it enforces the agreed restrictions on how far retribution can go' and prohibits 'resurrecting old issues that have been resolved by stipulations in the agreement.'

25. Athens. Philosophers as 'élites' in late Hellenistic Athens, 229–88 B.C. In *Les élites* 383–400, E. Perrin-Saminadayar adduces some inscriptions and numerous literary sources to bolster his view that there did not exist at this time an Athenian local intellectual elite. Based on an examination of the leaders of the philosophical schools and their students, he argues that the vast majority of these men were foreigners who were deeply integrated into Athenian society and civil life without aspiring to an elevated status of intellectual exclusion. Many received citizenship, honorary statues, state funerals, subventions, right of ἔχρησις, etc. Among the inscriptions cited are *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 791, 896, 1006, 1046, 1938, 3513, 3781; *SEG* XXIII



98. *I. Delos* 1891. The author frequently draws upon his Paris thesis, 'Un tout petit monde. Les acteurs de la vie culturelle athénienne, de la Libération d'Athènes à la crise mithridatique' (publication forthcoming). [See also M. Hanke, *Der Philosoph in der Stadt* (Munich 2007) 13–170.]

26. *Athens. Italians in Athens and Attica. SEG LII 501, 587, 754, 762, 1050, 1950.* S. Follet, in C. Müller, C. Hasenohr (edd.), *Les Italiens dans le monde Grec: BCH Suppl. 41* (Athens 2002) 79–88, surveys the evidence, primarily epigraphical, for Italians in Athens ca. 200 B.C. – 100 A.D. She first lists natives of Magna Graecia and Romans attested on gravestones (80–82). As an indication of integration into Attic society, more helpful evidence is that of citizenship decrees, participation in new foreign cults, and victor lists at Athenian festivals—although the yield from these three groups of documents is negligible—and in particular the evidence of ephebic lists and lists of prytaneis and magistrates. On 82/83, Follet compiles a list of 41 Italians attested in Attic ephebic documents ca. 125–13 B.C. and 23 who are listed by tribes, having received Athenian citizenship; on 84, a list of the names of 45 Italian prytaneis and 7 magistrates. Most other Italians paid short visits to Athens. Those who settled seem to have been drawn more by Athens' artistic, cultural, and intellectual appeal; on 85/86, lists of artists, actors, philosophers, etc. As a free city and ally of Rome, Athens seems to have attracted few negotiatores, businessmen, officials, bankers (T. Pomponius Atticus is an exception). 'L'image culturelle d'Athènes est plus forte que son pouvoir d'attraction comme pôle économique.' (87) Summary in *An.Ép.* (2002) [2005] 1322.

A. Spawforth, *op.cit.* 103, explores the possible early ties between the family of Herodes Atticus and its Italian ancestors, primarily through Herodes' mother, Vibullia Alcia Agrippina, a member of the Corinthian family of Vibullii, who can probably be traced back to Pompey's *praefectus fabrum* L. Vibullius Rufus. A link between Athens and Corinth may have been forged by Lucius Vibullius Hipparchus: eponymous archon; kinsman of Herodes' father Atticus, also from Marathon; possibly a Corinthian who obtained Athenian citizenship through Atticus. Spawforth points out also that the cognomen of Herodes Atticus' mother Agrippina 'would suit origins in Corinth, where colonial Agrippae are well-attested, as would her wealth, said to rival her husband's (Philostratus, *V.Soph.* 547–8). . . . If Atticus married into a rich Italian settler-family . . . his senatorial aspirations seem less surprising.' For these, see *Corinth* 8.2.58; *An.Ép.* (1990) 763. 'One might add that if Herodes, their son, was already part-Italian, his own marriage to an Italian wife (the patrician Annia Regilla) seems less remarkable.' For the Corinthian Vibullii, see also our lemma no. 278. For a prominent Messenian family with Italian connections, see our lemma no. 386.

27. *Athens. Roman citizens.* In *Studia Hellenistica* 40 (Leuven 2003), S.G. Byrne publishes *Roman Citizens of Athens*, a detailed compendium of ca. 550 pages (with 16 stemmata) of all Athenians and residents of Attica who bear nomina gentilia 'as expressed explicitly in the sources or strongly implied'. The arrangement is alphabetical by nomen, family members are grouped together and marked off by gray shading. A two-part Appendix follows the Register. Part 1 of the appendix (501–510) covers the chronological problems of the period and presents

a list of eponymous archons 75–267/8 A.D. based on the results achieved by S. Follet, *Athènes au II<sup>e</sup> et au III<sup>e</sup> siècle* (Paris 1976) and by recent 'attention to the functioning of the Metonic cycle and appreciation of its continued application through the Hellenistic and Roman periods' (501). Primarily on the basis of the calendric evidence of ephebic documents, Byrne finds 'a probability of 99.5% that in the Imperial period the Metonic cycle operated as it had since 432 B.C.' In Part II of the appendix (511–545), entitled 'Index of Inscriptions', Byrne lists, often with discussion, 381 inscriptions from Attica and elsewhere '(a) which differ in date from those given by the editions referred to in the Register and are not found *ad loc.* . . . ; (b) for which joins or associations have been made that are not apparent from the reference given in the Register, or for which there is further important bibliography; (c) or for which new restorations or observations are suggested (these are signalled with an asterisk)'. This section is a miniature goldmine of important data on the inscriptions of Roman Athens and, since we cannot record all these observations here and, in the absence of a general overall index of inscriptions in this work, we append the following listing of those inscriptions studied. Since Byrne lists these texts in numerical order under each subheading, we have not indicated page references.

AE	Agora XV	Agora XV
(1895) 121 no. 34	375	459
(1971) 131 no. 27	381	466
(1971) 132/133 no. 29	382–385	468
(1973) 90	387/388	469
Agora XV	390/391	472
285 + 289 (see our lemma no. 187)	394/395	473
290 + 292	398/399	482–484
297	400–402a	491
299 + 285	405–407	Agora XVI
307	410	337
310	411–414	Agora XVII
312	420	78
313	423–426	926
317	428	F. Delphes
321–323	429	III.2.65/66
329	432	III.3.68
334–337	437	Hesperia
339/340	440	3 (1934) 58/59 no. 64
350	441	Hesperia Suppl.
358–360	445	6 (1941) 109
363–366	446	8 (1949) 217 no. 8
368	449	I. Delos
372	450–453	1628/1629
374	455	2535/2536
	458	2538

<i>IG II<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>IG II<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>IG II<sup>2</sup></i>
1043	2063	2179
1069	2066	2191
1072	2073	2193-2197
1086	2075	2199-2203
1099	2077	2205-2208
1108	2079	2210
1118	2081	2211
1119	2083	2214
1351	2085	2217
1368	2086	2219
1713	2087	2220-2224
1723	2089	2226-2228
1736	2090	2232-2235
1739	2094	2237-2239
1945	2096	2241-2243
1947/1948	2098	2245
1950	2103	2247
1965	2104	2248
1973	2106	2253
1975	2109	2254
1989	2110	2274
1992	2111/2112	2280
1995	2113	2281
1996/1997	2115	2291
1998/1999	2116	2302
2001	2118-2120	2339
2002	2124	2340
2014	2125	2361
2015	2127-2130	2461
2017	2131-2133	2471
2018	2136	2472
2020-2024	2140	2473
2026	2145	2483
2029-2033	2147	2486
2037	2150-2152	2773
2038	2155	2776
2041-2043	2159	2893
2046	2160	2898
2050-2052	2163	2963
2054	2167	3009
2056	2169	3011
2058-2060	2176	3112

<i>IG II<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>IG II<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>IG II<sup>2</sup></i>
3114	3734	5302
3185	3737	7006
3194	3739	7447
3198	3745	9687
3266	3762-3764	10106
3416	3769	10680
3524	3786-3789	12350
3531	3798	12431
3535	3801	12432
3542	3802	12501
3543	3804	12568
3558	3805	12569
3590	3812	12880
3593	3813	12887
3609	3815	<i>IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1</i>
3610	3935	83
3618	3945	<i>IG XII.6</i>
3621	3952-3954	306
3623	3962	307
3632	3968	<i>IvO</i>
3642	3981	627/628
3643	3989	<i>SEG</i>
3647	3992	XVIII 61
3656	4043	XIX 211
3658	4054	XXI 499
3659	4069-4071	XXI 801
3681	4075	XXIV 200
3691	4083	XXIV 230
3694	4099	XXVIII 164
3704	4193	XXVIII 170
3705	4481	XXVIII 182
3707	4497	XXXI 132
3708	4752	XXXIV 136
3715	4813	XXXVI 213
3732	4949	XLIV 168

28. Eleusis. Relations with the Sanctuary of Demeter on Kythnos. C. Mitsopoulou suggests a connection between the temenos whose rent is recorded in *IG I<sup>3</sup>* 386/387 (403/7 B.C.), 392 L. 10 (restored), and 394 L. 7, and the surface remains of a probable sanctuary of Demeter on the acropolis of Βρυόκαстро on Kythnos. In support of this connection, she provides a detailed analysis and preliminary report on the pottery, terracotta figurines, lamps,

and fragments of keroi from this site, on the basis of which she postulates close ties with Eleusis. She collects earlier references to the income derived by Athens from leasing of land on the island, before the discovery of the Sanctuary of Demeter on Kythnos (see especially M. Hörter, *Landbesitz griechischer Heiligtümer in archaischer und klassischer Zeit* (Berlin 2004) 147–164); *Πρακτικά Β' Συνεδρίου Κρητολογικού Συνεδρίου, Θήρα 31 Αυγούστου–3 Σεπτεμβρίου 1995*, Μέρος Β. Έπετηρίς Έταιρείας Κυκλαδικών Μελετών (Annuaire de la Société d'Études de Cyclades) 18 (Athens 2005) 331–335.

29. Athens. The cult of Apollo Delios. After adducing the literary and epigraphical evidence for sanctuaries of Apollo Delios at Marathon, Prasias, and Phaleron, A.P. Matthaiou, in *Lettered Attica* 85–93, speculates on the basis on the priest's throne in the Theater of Dionysos (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 5052), the location of the Python, and the finding places of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1635, accounts of the Delian Amphiktyōnes, and *SEG* XXXIX 170 that the worship of Apollo Delios in the city of Athens was closely tied to the Python. For Matthaiou's exclusion of *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 130 from the evidence concerning Apollo Delios, see our lemma no. 53.

30. Vacat.

31. Pelraieus and Laureion. The foundation of the cult of Thracian Bendis. L. Beschi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 13–19, presents a brief summary of the literary, epigraphical, and archaeological evidence for the sanctuaries and cults of Bendis in the 5th cent. B.C., citing *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 136, 383; II<sup>2</sup> 1256, 1283, 1317; *SEG* X 64; XXI 52; and XXXIX 210.

32. Attica. The goddess Themis. For brief remarks, with citation of relevant inscriptions, on the cult of this goddess in Attica (Rhamnous and Eleusis), see I. Berti, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 289–298.

33. Attica. The cults of the healing heroes. M.E. Gorrini, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 299–315, cites copious epigraphical evidence in this summary of her Naples dissertation. She studies the cults of the Ασκληπιάδαι, Αμφιάραος, Αμφίλοχος, Ἡρακλῆς Παγκράτης and Ἡρακλῆς Ἀλεξίκακος, ἥρωες ἰατροί, δηλοῖτες, and Καλλιστέφανος, and concludes that 'there is no distinction between the worship of gods and that of healing heroes as far as sacrifice practices and votive offering typology are concerned.' See also our lemmata nos. 2229 and 2224.

34. Athens. The Imperial cult under the Julio-Claudian emperors. F. Lozano, *La religión del poder. El culto imperial en Atenas en época de Augusto y los emperadores Julio-Claudios*. *BAR* 15 1087 (2002). This work is prefaced by a detailed summary in English and ends with 5

appendixes, also in English: 1. cult places: buildings; 2. cult places: altars, by emperor; 3. Imperial priests; 4. identification of emperors and members of the Imperial family with traditional divinities; 5. Imperial visits. Among the Roman predecessors, continuing the tradition of the Hellenistic kings, was Antony νέος Διόνυσος at Athens. Lozano also sees the cult of the divine Augustus as partaking of this same tradition through immediate recognition of his divinity after the victory at Actium. The pattern was reinforced by observance of Augustus' birthday with monthly celebration and assimilation to Apollo (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1071). Lozano also explores the proliferation of new priesthoods for the emperors and for members of the Domus Augusta and he examines the leading role played by Athenian ephebes in rituals expressive of worship of the Imperial family that inculcated these practices and beliefs in the Athenian aristocracy from an early age. The Imperial cult was also actively supported and visible at extra-urban sanctuaries such as Eleusis, Delos, and Rhamnous. Under Claudius and Nero, the cult grew in strength and received massive material and moral encouragement from leading Athenians, e.g., Tiberius Claudius Novius of Oion, high priest of the Domus Augusta. Activities of Novius and men like him demonstrated the leadership of the Athenian oligarchy in the dissemination of the Imperial cult as well as its remarkable potential for social and political advancement. Another important topic is the introduction of gladiatorial contests and the transformation of the theater of Dionysos to accommodate them.

While acknowledging his heavy reliance on inscriptions and recognizing that 'this work is mainly an epigraphical assessment of the imperial cult,' Lozano presents a balanced analysis of potential distortions in this kind of evidence. Full *indice epigráfico*.

For a resumé, see *An.Ép.* (2002) [2005] 1323, where it is noted that the author confuses Hipparchos and Atticus, respectively grandfather and father of Herodes Atticus (64/65).

35. Athens. The sculptor Kephisodotos the younger, son of Praxiteles, ca. 345–293 B.C. Accepting the identification by J.K. Davies, *APF* no. 8334, of the six-time trierarch Κηφισόδοτος Πραξιτέλους Συβρίδης (from 334/3 B.C., *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1632 LL. 100/101, to 325 B.C., *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1629 L. 674) as the son of the famous sculptor, P. Schultz, in *Macedonians in Athens* 186–193, examines the sculptural and epigraphical evidence for his career, which he assigns to the above dates. Among the significant inscriptions he adduces are *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 3089, Praxiteles as choregos; *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4390, 344/3 B.C., base of a statue of Asklepios on the south slope of the Acropolis; *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 3777, after 293/2 B.C., portrait statue of Μένανδρος; *SEG* XLIV 136, 340s B.C., statue base of Φιλουμένη daughter of Λεοσθένης; *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4025, 330s B.C., portrait of Φίλυλλα daughter of Φιλοκλῆς of Sounion; *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4608, late 330s/early 320s B.C., dedication by Χαίρεφάνης at Eleusis; *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 3455, ca. 300 B.C., portrait of a priestess of Athena Polias from the Acropolis. Schultz notes that although Kephisodotos lived and worked in Athens while it was under Macedonian control, his artistic activity is never connected with Alexander and his successors. In fact, the literary, epigraphical, and archaeological evidence 'supports what seems to be a quiet series of connections between the artist and the ranking anti-Macedonian elements in Athens.' (192)



36. Athens. Representations of Demos on Attic document reliefs. In publishing for the first time an uninscribed marble document relief depicting Demos crowning an honorand, found on the North Slope of the Acropolis in 1937, K. Glowacki, *Hesperia* 72 (2003) 447–466 (ph.), collects and illustrates several inscribed comparanda and presents in an appendix (462–466) a catalogue of all representations of Demos on Attic document reliefs 432 to 318/7 B.C., with references to publications in *IG* and elsewhere.

On this topic, see also our lemma no. 94.

37. Athens. Anti-Macedonian sentiment and democratic ideology in Athenian document reliefs, 357/6–320s B.C. C.L. Lawton, in *Macedonians in Athens* 117–127 (ph.), through an analysis of the sculptural style and iconography of document reliefs from 357/6 to the 320s B.C., traces a 3-phase development from (I.) traditional motifs limited to securing the aid of allies in the north to (II.) a larger format in the 340s B.C. with a more original and aggressive attempt to flatter foreign kings, who might serve the anti-Macedonian cause, to (III.) post-Chaironeia emphasis on personification of Athenian democratic institutions reflecting radical democratic ideology driven by anti-Macedonian sentiment. The sculptured reliefs decorate the following inscriptions, which we present in the 3-phase arrangement advocated by Lawton.

- I. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 127, alliance with Thrace, 356 B.C. (Lawton, *ADR* no. 27)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 128, alliance (?) with Thracian Neapolis, 355 B.C. (*ADR* no. 28)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 130 + *SEG* XIX 49, honors for Sochares of Apollonia, 355 B.C. (*ADR* no. 29)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 133, honors for Philiskos of Sestos, 354 B.C. (*ADR* no. 30)
- II. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 212, honors for Spartokos II, Pairisades I, and Apollonios of the Crimean Bosphoros, 346 B.C. (*ADR* no. 35)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 369 + 414/b/c (*SEG* XXI 298), honors for Bosporans, 323/2 B.C. (*ADR* no. 50)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 226, honors for Arybbas the Molossian, after 342 B.C. (*ADR* no. 122)
- III. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 239, honors for Alkimachos, 336 B.C. (*ADR* no. 37)  
*SEG* XII 87, Eukrates' law against tyranny, 336 B.C. (*ADR* no. 38) (see our lemma no. 94)  
*LIMC* III.1.146 no. 1, personification of Boule, 330s B.C. (*ADR* no. 142)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 349, honors for Rheboulas, son of the Odrysian king Seuthes III, 331/0 B.C. (*ADR* no. 46)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 367, honors for Asklepiodoros of Phokis, 323 B.C. (*ADR* no. 49)  
*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 448, honors for Euphron of Sikyon, 318 B.C. (*ADR* no. 54) (see our lemma no. 95)

38. Athens. Private inscribed reliefs from the Macedonian period. In *Macedonians in Athens* 128–139 (ph.), I. Leventi publishes a series of illustrated notes on dedicatory reliefs of this era, some of them inscribed with the names of deities and dedicators. Brief discussion of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4589, 4627, 4644, 4671, and *SEG* XXI 738, among others.

39. Athens. 'The Cutter of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 17'. In *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 350–363, S.V. Tracy studies 'A Major Athenian Letter-Cutter of the Late 5th and Early 4th Centuries B.C.: The Cutter of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 17 (Dates: 409/8–386/5)', to whom he attributes 43 separate inscriptions, all of them stoichedon and almost all of them on white marble. The cutter inscribed a large number of inventories, as well as honorary decrees, and represents the earliest major cutter yet known and one of the most prolific. After describing the peculiarities of the individual letters of this cutter, Tracy presents a dossier of inscriptions that he attributes to him; photos of 10 of the texts, which we indicate below; reference to other photos where available; and 'adnotationculae' containing important observations on readings in some of the texts, which we mark with an asterisk, below.

<i>IG</i> I <sup>3</sup>	<i>IG</i> II <sup>2</sup>
106	54 (ph.)
117	80
125*	85*
179*	91*
237	153*
314	168*
315	186
341 (ph.)	1370 + 1371 + 1384
342 (ph.)	1373
379*	1376
380	1380*
382	1399*
406	1400
410B	1688*
470 (ph.)	1693
515	1743*
<i>IG</i> II <sup>2</sup>	1952b, c*
2 (ph. of b)	2311* (see our lemma no. 192)
17* (ph.)	<i>SEG</i>
24 (ph. of c)	XV 114 (Agora inv. no. I 727) (ph.)
31	XVII 19
50* (ph.)	<i>Agora</i> XVI
51* (ph. of a)	50 (on the date, see Tracy 354 note 9)
52	

40. Athens. Letter-cutters, 300–229 B.C. S.V. Tracy continues his detailed analysis of letter-cutters in late classical and Hellenistic Athens with a third volume, *Athens and Macedon: Attic Letter-Cutters of 300 to 229 B.C.* (Berkeley 2003) [A and M]. For the two earlier volumes, see *SEG* XL 295 (*Cutters*) and *SEG* XLV 221 (*ADT*).

After brief discussions (1–25) of, inter alia, chronological problems in the archon list of the 3rd cent. B.C. (see our lemma no. 9), inscribing habits, Macedonian intervention in the democratic constitution especially under Antigonus Gonatas, sporadic abandonment of the secretary cycles, appointment of some magistrates and strategoi by the king, iteration in the membership in the Boule, *τύποι ἰσθῆτοι* for Antigonus Gonatas, and administration of the *διοικήσεις* (see our lemma no. 10), Tracy presents the annotated dossiers of 8 individual cutters. On 29–37, 'List of Inscriptions Assigned,' ca. 258 inscriptions. This invaluable list both serves as an index for Tracy's book and makes it unnecessary for us to provide a *comparatio numerorum* here.

On 38–55, Tracy inserts addenda to the dossiers of the following 3 cutters studied in *ADT*: Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 1262 (38–48, ph. on 41; see our lemmata nos. 98, 99, 101, 109, and 154); Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 650 (49); Cutter of *Agora I* 4266 (50–55; see our lemmata nos. 101 bis and 160).

The 8 cutters studied in *A* and *M* are as follows:

- Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 478 (56–61, ph. on 57/58), 305/4–302/1 B.C.  
8 inscriptions; ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 541 (59) and *Agora XVI* 114 (60).
- Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 657 (62–73, ph. on 63), ca. 305–ca. 275 B.C.  
18 inscriptions and 3 'in the style of'; ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 1163 (66) and *Agora XV* 72 (67). See our lemmata nos. 100, 102, 115, 128, 169, and 184.
- Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 689 (74–79, ph. on 75/76), 305/4–ca. 270 B.C.  
4 inscriptions; ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 690 and 797 (78).
- Cutter of *Agora I* 3238 and 4169 (80–98, ph. of *Agora I* 3238 on 81), 286/5–ca. 239 B.C.  
78 inscriptions and 3 'in the style of'; ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 668 (159), 698 (84), 780 (161), 784 (85), 792 (159), and *Agora XVI* 216 (160). Useful discussion (94–98, 154–164) of this cutter's inscribing habits and techniques, especially his use of blank spaces, wide interlinear spaces, and 'near stochedon'. See our lemmata nos. 111–114, 118, 120, 121, 124, 129, 138, and 189.
- Cutter of *Agora I* 6664 (99–111, ph. on 100), 281/0–ca. 240 B.C.  
16 inscriptions; ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 550 (102), 706 (103), 775 (104), and 1222 (105). See our lemmata nos. 116, 119, and 125.
- Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 776 (112–117, ph. on 113), ca. 255–ca. 240 B.C.  
5 inscriptions. See our lemma no. 137.
- Cutter of *SEG II* 9 (118–127, ph. on 119, 122), 251/0–ca. 240 B.C.  
5 inscriptions. This cutter seems to have been localized on Salamis and at Peiraeus (127). See our lemmata nos. 156–159 and 162.
- Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 788 (128–149, ph. on 129), ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C.  
58 inscriptions and 9 'in the style of', ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 683 (130), 779 (131), and 781 (162). Detailed discussion of how this cutter deployed blank spaces, preferred stochedon, and developed the 'perfect design' and the 'modified perfect design' (144–149, 154–164). See our lemmata nos. 126, 130, 131, 134, 136, 139, 161, 169 bis, and 190.

On 150–153, Tracy includes the inscriptions he assigns to three small separate groups probably representing the work of three different cutters:

*IG II<sup>2</sup>* 524, 580, and *Agora XVI* 119, ca. 300 B.C. (150/151). See our lemmata nos. 105 and 106.

*IG II<sup>2</sup>* 643 + *Agora XVI* 162; *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 748; *Agora XVI* 163, ca. 300 B.C. (152). See our lemma no. 107.

*IG II<sup>2</sup>* 1253 and *Agora XV* 91 (153/154). See our lemma no. 110.

On 154–164, general conclusions in which Tracy compares and characterizes the work of two of the most prolific inscribers of the years 300 to 229 B.C., the Cutter of *Agora I* 3238 (81 inscriptions) and the Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 788 (65 inscriptions). For about 15 years, 260–245 B.C., their careers overlap and they exercise a virtual monopoly in inscribing long documents on stone. Tracy warns against reading political overtones into this dominance. 'They dominated, it appears clear to me, because they were outstanding craftsmen who produced superior-looking inscriptions.' (157) He also is inclined to believe that they may have 'worked in the same shop and that the *II<sup>2</sup>* 788 Cutter was the assistant or apprentice of the *I* 3238 Cutter' (160). In *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 780 and 781, Tracy studies an instance where they both inscribed decrees passed at the same meeting of the assembly (161/162). Brief discussion of the Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 1706, 'the first major cutter of the years after 229' B.C., the apprentice of the Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 788; ph. of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 794 and 833 (163).

In Appendix I (165–168), Tracy discusses his working list of archons 261/0–234/3 B.C.; see our lemma no. 9.

As Appendix II (169–177), Tracy and J.D.Morgan publish a new edition of *Agora XV* 79 + *SEG XXXIX* 132; see our lemma no. 121.

Index to Greek texts, Index of Passages Cited, Index of Persons, General Index, *Comparatio Numerorum* of Inscriptions Assigned in *Agora XV* and *XVI* with *IG II<sup>2</sup>* and *Agora I* Numbers, and (by M.B.Richardson) *Comparatio Numerorum* to *SEG*.

41. Athens. Documents in [Plutarch], *Vitae X Orat.* (Mor. 852C/D). *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 457. *SEG XLIX* 107. \* M.Faraguna, in A.M.Birnšchi et al. (edd.), *L'uso dei documenti nella storiografia antica* (Naples 2003) 481–503, examines the nature and genre of the documents cited in this work and the circumstances in which they came to be preserved—in public and family archives—and available to the author. He particularly discusses the procedure of requesting an honorary decree from the βουλή and ἐκκλησία (αἵτησις) in the case of Demochares for Demosthenes (850F) and Laches for Demochares (851D), using the decree in honor of Kephisodoros (*SEG XXV* 112; *ISE I.33*) as a model. (484–487) On 487–491, he takes up the honorary decree for Lykourgos (852C/D), comparing it to the fragmentary surviving inscription *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 457. In the *Life of Antiphon* (833D–834A), Faraguna considers the κατάδικη that led to the prosecution of Archeptolemos, Onomakles, and Antiphon in 411/0 B.C. and how it came to be preserved in a public archive. (491–494) He concludes by urging that the Athenians developed 'una mentalità documentaria' early in the 5th cent. B.C. with the consolidation of the democratic constitution, the organization of the empire, and the recording of tribute.

In a study of public archives in Greek poleis, M. Faraguna, *QUCC* 80 (2005) 61–86, briefly returns to a comparison of the text of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 457 with that preserved in [Plutarch], *Vitae X Orat.* (Mor. 852C/D) (75).

See also our lemmata nos. 7, 2148.

42. Athens. Pausanias and Attic inscriptions. After an introductory discussion of Pausanias' use of oral, literary, and documentary sources, with helpful notes on his diction in describing and quoting inscriptions, N. Modenesi, *Acme* 54.2 (2001) 3–37, observes that Pausanias records 223 inscriptions; the highest number in a single book are the 61 in book 1. On 16–36, she quotes, translates into Italian, and comments on the following inscriptions.

*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 3464. Pausanias 1.27.4, statue of Syeris (16–18).

*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 501. Pausanias 1.28.2, bronze quadriga dedication (27–30).

*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 511. Pausanias 1.22.4, dedication of hippeis (21–23).

*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 835, 876. Pausanias 1.23.1, statue of Aphrodite by Kalamis dedicated by Kallias (18/19).

*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 847. Pausanias 1.23.9, statue of Epicharinos (25–27).

*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 883. Pausanias 1.23.3, statue of Diitrephes (19/20).

*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 892. Pausanias 1.23.7, statue of a boy holding a perirrhanterion (24/25).

*IV* O 143. Pausanias 6.9.4/5, dedication of a chariot by Gelon, son of Deinomenes (32–34).

*IV* O 158. Pausanias 6.6.3, victory monument of Damoxenidas (31/32).

*IV* O 166. Pausanias 6.1.4, victory monument of Troilos (30/31).

Modenesi's overall assessment is that Pausanias was a careful, often meticulous reader of inscriptions, sometimes fully aware of artistic and historical background information that could not necessarily be derived or inferred from the text. For Pausanias' readings of votive inscriptions on the Acropolis, see our lemma no. 13 bis.

For a defense of Pausanias 1.25.8 against the charge that the author expressed a negative judgment on Philopappos, see M. Steinhart, *Klio* 85 (2003) 171–188.

43. Eleusis. The inscriptions on stone. Documents of the Sanctuary of the Two Goddesses and public documents of the deme. In *BAAH* 236 (Athens 2005), under the above title, K. Clinton has published vol. 1A Text and 1B Plates, which we will analyze in a later volume of *SEG*. Abbreviation, *I. Eleusis*.

44. Attica. The publication of *ΣΕΜΑ*. *SEG* XLI 244; XLII 106, 113; XLIV 220. We here signal in a preliminary manner the publication of this invaluable resource for all students of Attic epigraphy, prosopography, onomastics, and many other topics: *Συμπλήρωμα τῶν ἐπιταφίων μνημείων τῆς Ἀττικῆς*: *BAAH* 241 (Athens 2006) (*ΣΕΜΑ*), compiled by V. N. Bordanis and G. K. Papadopoulos with a preface and a contribution on the gravestones from Rhamnous, both by B. C. Petrakos. We have followed the progress of this project in the lemmata

in *SEG* listed above, mostly brief reports by Petrakos in *Mentor* and other publications of the Archaeological Society at Athens over the years. In a later volume of *SEG*, we will present a full analysis of the scope, contents, format, and method of *ΣΕΜΑ*, but we wish here in the meantime to bring it to the attention of our readers who will be encountering references to *ΣΕΜΑ* both in *SEG* and elsewhere. More than 3458 gravestones published since *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 5220–13247 (1940), many of them never included in *SEG*.

45. Athens. 'E-Epigraphy. Reflections on Three Decades of Computing Attic Inscriptions.' Under this title, J. Traill, in *Lettered Attica* 113–129, presents a series of autobiographical reminiscences on his electronic experiences—e.g., 'I have spent more than 30,000 hours electronically connected' (113)—and other experiences in preparing a new edition of *Agora* XV; founding, developing, and continuing *PAA*; creating a computerized topographical database of Attica; the implications of now locating the deme of Oe near Koropi (see our lemma no. 186); the relative probability of prosopographical identifications; computer-generated stemmata; a join of two fragments of *SEG* XXIII 86 (see our lemma no. 183); new readings on *SEG* XXVIII 148 (see our lemma no. 185); homonymy of fathers and sons; deme representation in *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1700 (see our lemma no. 182); increased availability and use of digitized photographs of inscriptions and squeezes; and 'the use of colour in presenting data' (127/128), closing with a quotation of Vergil, *Ec.* 4.42–45 on 'technicolour sheep.' [On 128, correct the URL of the 'attica' website search screen of *PAA* to [www.chass.utoronto.ca/attica](http://www.chass.utoronto.ca/attica), Richardson.]

46. Athens. The papers of Euthymios Mastrokostas, *SEG* XLIX 35. C. B. Kritzas, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 34, gives a more extended description of these papers, now in the Epigraphical Museum, and their lamentable condition after a flood in the home of this late ephor. [Mastrokostas, though he published little, made remarkable discoveries, including many inscriptions, in his numerous excavations in different parts of Greece over a long career. Stroud.]

47. Athens (now lost). Decree concerning Erythrai, 452–449 B.C.? *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 14. *I. Erythrai* 4. *SEG* XXXVI 5, \* 301; XXXVII 1782; XLII 19; XLVIII 103, 1040; LI 2312; LII 6. A. Dössel, *Die Beilegung* 41–53 (see our lemma no. 2202), reprints the *IG* text, with German translation, copious bibliography, and commentary, primarily on the constitutional changes mandated for Erythrai and the provisions for dealing with fugitives and exiles. Dössel adopts the *ATL* date of 452–449 B.C. for this decree, placing the text after a revolt of Erythrai whose footprints she detects in the tribute-quota lists for 448–446 B.C.

48. Athens. Decree concerning Chalkis, 447/6 or 424/3 B.C. *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 40. *SEG* LII 6, 37, \* 44. M. Ostwald, *JHS* 122 (2002) 134–143, stresses the distinction made in this decree between of Ἀθηναῖοι (LL 3, 18, 25, 26, 27, 43, 55, 73, 79) and ὁ δῆμος ὁ Ἀθηναίων (LL 9/10, 15/16, 22,



29, 30/31, 31/32, 37, 40, 41, 48, 52), speculating that the reason is 'possibly because the revolt [of Euboea] may have been instigated by elements hostile to the democrats who ruled Athens, and the Athenians now thought that they had most to fear from them' (138). Conversely, no distinction is made in referring to the people of Chalkis, who are merely called οἱ Χαλκιδεῖς throughout. Ostwald infers from this, and from the lack of reference to the Chalkidian constitution, that 'there is no evidence that the Athenians imposed a new form of government on Samos at this time. Ostwald stresses that τελέω has been too narrowly political motivation behind these provisions. Ostwald stresses that τελέω has been too narrowly taken in this passage as the equivalent of 'taxes', whereas it covers all civic contributions and services expected from a group. On 140-143, he argues that the ξένοι in LL. 52-57 are divided into three groups: (1) those who perform obligations to Athens, who are exempted from obligations to Chalkis, (2) those who have been granted exemption from public duties at Athens, who are similarly exempted from public duties to Chalkis, and (3) all alien residents not falling into these two categories, who must fulfill their public duties to the Chalkidians. 'The objection that "an Athenian decree would not call Athenians ξένοι" [Meiggs-Lewis, *GH*<sup>2</sup> p. 143] has only limited validity once we assume that the present Athenian decree echoes the language of the original Chalkidian request for a ruling about the obligations of aliens in their midst.' Athenians, thus, cannot be excluded from categories (1) and (2) though they may not have made up all members of both groups. A likely identification of such ξένοι from the Chalkidian point of view might be Athenians who were allowed to settle on or even to buy the land in Chalkis seized by Perikles from the Hippobotai (Plutarch, *Perikles* 23.4). All others had to fulfill their civic obligations to the Chalkidians. 'Chalkidian political autonomy is conceded.'

49. Athens. Decree, ca. 450-445 or 432/1 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 45. SEG LII 38.\* G.Thur. in *Das antike Asyl* 26/27, doubts that the archers positioned as guardians at the wall on the Acropolis could distinguish between a δραπετής or a λωποδύτης and legitimate visitors to the sanctuary.

50. Athens. Decree concerning the water supply, 440-432 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 49. SEG XXIX 10.\* XXXIV 10; XL 294, XLVI 127, XLVIII 55. L.Kallet, in *Popular Tyranny* 129, finds resonance between this decree, in which the demos rejects the offer of private individuals (members of Perikles' family) to help finance the construction costs of the springhouse, with the story in Plutarch, *Perikles* 14, in which Perikles, in response to outrage in the Assembly over the expense of (undefined) building projects, offers to pay for the structures himself and to put his name on the dedication. In both cases, the demos' decision to pay out of its own public sources leads Kallet to speculate that it 'may have rejected the offer because it wanted no threats to its own power and prestige. It is remarkable that the demos' refusal was inscribed on stone, itself reflecting its power.' She finds 'expression of its sole power at home' in the demos' numerous inscribed marble stelai relating to public building projects on the Acropolis and elsewhere.

51. Athens. Decree concerning the settlement following the revolt of Samos, 439 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 48. SEG XLIV 5; \* XLIX 2458. L.Gallo, in an examination of relations between Athens and Samos in the 5th cent. B.C. (see our lemma no. 74) 247-258, is inclined to the view that this document does not provide evidence that the Athenians imposed a democratic constitution on Samos at this time.

52. Athens (now in Paris). Financial decree of Kallias, date? IG I<sup>3</sup> 52A. SEG L 13, 38.\* LI 6; LII 6. J.J.Kennelly, in *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 284-286, briefly urges that the traditional date of 434/3 B.C., with the 3,000T being brought up to the Acropolis, cannot be reconciled with Perikles' assessment of Athenian resources in 431 B.C. as recorded in Thucydides 2.13.3 and must be rejected. 'The decree must be temporally distanced in both directions from 2.13.3's date of 431 B.C. A date either before 437 or appreciably subsequent to 431 must be considered the only possibilities for Kallias A.'

53. Athens. Decree concerning harbor taxes and a sanctuary, ca. 430 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 130. SEG LI 31.\* After a discussion of the restorations proposed in L. 4 by D.M.Lewis, τὸς Δελί[ος], and by H.B.Mattingly, τὸς δὲ λί[θος]. A.P.Matthaiou, in *Lettered Antica* 86/87, observes that autopsy of the stone rules out iota as the letter following lambda; the correct reading is probably another lambda. There is no reason to connect IG I<sup>3</sup> 130 to Delian Apollo at Phaleron. In *Horos* 14-16 (2000-03) 45-49 (ph.), Matthaiou publishes a revised text of the inscription, incorporating this reading (already reported in SEG LI 31). See our lemma no. 29.

54. Athens. Decree: treaty with Egesta, 418 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 11. SEG LII 4, 44.\* In reflecting upon the dating of the alliance between Athens and Egesta to 418 B.C., archon Antiphon, M.H.Chambers, in *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 192-194, suggests that since there is now no evidence of an earlier alliance, the Egestans will not have been described in Thucydides 6.6 as 'pre-existing allies' of Athens, προγεγεννημένους συμμαχοῖς, but as προσγεγεννημένους (the variant reading in ABCF), allies in addition to those whose claim rested on kinship, συγγενέσι. Thus the dating of this inscription to 418 B.C. favors the reading προσγεγεννημένους in Thucydides 6.6. As an explanation for why the Egestans, in making their appeal to Athens for aid in 416 B.C., do not cite the alliance of 418 B.C., Thucydides 6.6.2, Chambers offers two explanations, neither of which he finds ideal: 1) the Athenians already knew that the Egestans were their allies and did not need to be reminded of the fact, 2) Thucydides, in exile, had no knowledge of the alliance of 418 B.C. and hence no reason to include it in his appeal of the Egestans in 6.6.

55. Athens. Reassessment decree, 425/4 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 71. SEG L 13, 35/36, 41.\* LI 6, 55, 2312. C.Carusi, *Isole e perieci* 21-52, 87/88, 203-205 (ph. dr.) (see our lemma no. 2200), presents a detailed analysis of the identity, location, resources, and ancient sources pertaining

to the poleis in the peraia of Mytilene. Her starting point is the panel of Ἀκταῖ(αι πόλεις) in Col. III LL. 124–141 of this inscription, compared with the [Ἀκ]ταῖ(αι πόλεις) listed in Col. IV LL. 14–27 of IG I<sup>3</sup> 77, the Assessment Decree of 422/1 B.C. Both lists are heavily restored in IG I<sup>3</sup> and Carusi argues that especially in IG I<sup>3</sup> 71 fr. 34–36, the restorations, the disposition of the fragments, and the assumptions about unscripted space on the stone as printed in IG I<sup>3</sup> are open to serious doubts and are by no means inevitable. The poleis she studies are Ἀντινόρος, Ποίτειον, Νέσος Πορδοσελένε, Ἀμαχοντός (no mention of SEG LI 55, fragment of IG I<sup>3</sup> 1453, Athenian decree regulating the use of Athenian coins, weights, and measures. Stroud.), Λάρισα, 1453, Athenian decree regulating the use of Athenian coins, weights, and measures. Stroud.), Κολωναί, Ὀφρύονιον, Ἰλιον, Πέτρα, Θύμβα, Παλαμέδειον, and Ἀχιλλεῖον. On the basis of the aforementioned epigraphical uncertainties and evidence from other sources for poleis in the aforementioned region, Carusi urges that the list of Aktaian cities as restored in IG I<sup>3</sup> 71 Col. III LL. 124–141 is probably too short.

56. Athens. Law, late 5th cent. B.C. In *Hesperia* 72 (2003) 273 note 23, J. McK. Camp II briefly notes the discovery in the Agora Excavations of 'I 7577, a fragment of the revision of the law code of Nikomachos at the end of the 5th century B.C., . . . being prepared for publication by Laura Gawlinski.' The ed.pr. is now published; L. Gawlinski, *Hesperia* 76 (2007) 37–55, which we will cover in a subsequent SEG.

57. Athens. The state sacrificial calendar, 410/9–405/4 and 403/2–400/399 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 236–241, II<sup>2</sup> 1357, SEG LII 48. \* In T.W. Hillard et al. (edd.), *Ancient History in a Modern University I: The Ancient Near East, Greece and Rome* (Grand Rapids 1998) 114/115, N. Robertson in a discussion of the Συνοικία festival (focusing on SEG LII 48 Face A, fr. 3, Col. II LL. 44–58) emphasizes that this celebration 'honours Zeus phratrion and Athena phratrion, the pair of deities who preside over all Attic phratreries. The "combined houses," οἶκοι, of the festival name are therefore a reunion of phratry lodges.' The source for this festival is cited as ἐκ τῶν φυλοβασιλικῶν, LL. 45/46, and Robertson points out that in SEG LII 48 Face A, fr. 1, Col. III LL. 3–22 they are also associated with the Skira festival and, in IG I<sup>3</sup> 241 Col. II LL. 10–17, with the Dipolieia, two days after the Skira. 'Finally they were called upon again at the Panathenaea, if we subscribe to the conjecture made long ago that the four oxen and four sheep of the Parthenon frieze are the respective offerings of the Ionic phylai.' This evidence of their concern with three or four old festivals at the turning of the year is adduced by Robertson, inter alia, as an accurate image of early Attica available to Thucydides, '[Aristotle]', and Philochoros, who drew the right inferences from it.

58–62. Athens. Tribute-quota lists, 454/3–414/3 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 259–290, SEG LII 50. \*

58. F. Maffre, *ZPE* 142 (2003) 119–126, examines the payments of the Hellespontine cities in IG I<sup>3</sup> 281 Col. III LL. 5–39 with special attention to the restorations in LL. 33–35, where the stone is not preserved. Among the candidates for the

positions in these 3 lines, he finds the most plausible solution in the restoration of only 2 names in the Hellespontine district, not 3; i.e., Χερρονεσῖται ἰὰν Ἀγορᾶς and Αἰμυναῖοι ἐν Χερρονήσῳ. The sums of their payments are not preserved.

59. W. Tietz, *op.cit.* (our lemma no. 1670) 33–55, examines the evidence concerning Lykia and the identity of the συντελεῖς in these lists. He concludes that the term συντελεῖς has the same meaning as Λύκιοι alone, and that it comprised—besides, presumably, Milys and Kibyratis—the members to the east and west of Phaselis (which, as a Rhodian colony, is listed separately), but not Telmessos (listed separately). The συντελεῖς made their payment through Xanthos. On 46–51, Tietz reflects on the effects in Lykia of Athenian rule and the coinage decree IG I<sup>3</sup> 1453. See our lemma no. 74. On 51–55, he argues that the communities around the Gulf of Fethiye ceased to be members sometime between 421/0 and 412/1 B.C.

60. For discussion of the varying levels of tribute of Φύγελα and Μαροθήσιον 446–415 B.C. and their relationship to Ephesos, see C. Carusi, *Isola e perie* 139–142.

61. On the tribute-paying status of Lemnos 452/1–443/2 B.C. and a possible motive for the reduction of 9T for Lemnos (IG I<sup>3</sup> 261 Col. I L. 3) to 4½T, of which Hephaisteia paid 3T and Myrina 1½T (IG I<sup>3</sup> 268 Col. V LL. 30/31), see D. Marchiandi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 548, with our lemma no. 4. Marchiandi is prepared to revisit the larger question of the liability of Athenian klerouchoi to tribute (553/554).

62. C.W. Fornara, in *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 251–257, argues that a discrepancy between modern estimates of how much tribute was collected in 433/2 B.C., based on the surviving fragments of the tribute-quota lists (ca. 390T) and the annual figure of income from φόρος stated by Perikles in Thucydides 2.13.3 (ca. 600T), is to be explained by the supposition that the latter is the total of assessment for this year, not a record of what was received. For a similar, but much larger, discrepancy, and evidence that the Athenians were in the habit of using 'projections, not accounts received' (255), he cites the enormously inflated assessment of 1460T in the assessment decree of 425 B.C., IG I<sup>3</sup> 71. Fornara also speculates that Thucydides' total of 460T for the initial year of tribute collection, 477 B.C. (1.96.2), 'the assessment of Aristides,' may similarly have been the assessed figure. (Note the choice of the verb εἰσῆλθε in Thucydides 1.96.2, ἦν δ' ὁ πρῶτος φόρος ταχθεῖς; Aristotle, *Ath. Pol.* 23.5 and Plutarch, *Aristides* 24.3–4, τὸν ἐν Ἀριστίδει φόρον . . . ὃν μὲν γὰρ Ἀριστίδης ἔταξεν. Stroud.)

63. Athens. Accounts of the logistai, 426/5–423/2 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 369, SEG L 3, 13, 38, 61; \* L 6, 8. In S. Deacy, A. Villing (edd.), *Athens in the Classical World* (Leiden 2001) 38, N. Robertson explores the possible meanings and etymology of the epithet of the Palladium in





in its collocation of the visible and the virtuous; its invitation to the viewer to "know" the deceased's *andreia* from "seeing" the monument.' This link between appearance and *ἀνδρεία*, though rare in contemporary inscriptions, is frequently made in the literary tradition by poets from Homer to Pindar.

73. Marathon. Epitaph of Smikrias(?), ca. 500–480 B.C. IG I<sup>3</sup> 1260. SEG X 463; XLII 66.\* CEG I.72. Once EM 12809; now in the Marathon Museum. J. Lougovaya, *ZPE* 144 (2003) 109/110, interpreting the first letter of the third word as sigma, not iota, on the basis of the published ph. and dr., reads σέμο: τόδε Σμικρί[ι] Τελέγο Αφιδναίο. She believes that it is a prose epitaph, probably of Σμικρίας, a name found in Aphidna in IG II<sup>2</sup> 1927 and 5767. 'Usage of the word *sema* with both the demonstrative pronoun and the first person verb (σέμα τόδ' εἶμι) is unparalleled.' Pace J. Svenbro, *Phrasikleia* (Ithaca 1993) 32, who, without citing parallels, finds it 'perfectly normal syntax.'

74. Athens. Decree regulating the use of Athenian coins, weights, and measures, date? IG I<sup>3</sup> 1453. SEG LII 65.\* After an analysis of T. Figuera, *The Power of Money* (Philadelphia 1998) (SEG XLVIII 58), on this decree, S. von Reden, *Klio* 84 (2002) 154–156, concludes, 'Figuera's reading of numismatic and epigraphical material is sound, the argument of the competitive advantage of Athenian coinage in the Mediterranean markets is compelling, and so is his contention that the impact of the decree must have been slight. . . . Moreover, it offers an example of state interference into economic practice which had at the same time economic, political, and fiscal implications.' She finds a date ca. 440 B.C. 'plausible'.

For the possible impact of this regulation on the cities of Lykia, see W. Tietz, *op.cit.* (our lemma no. 1670) 46–51. See also our lemma no. 59.

L. Gallo, in L. Breglia, M. Lupi (edd.), *Da Elea a Samio: Filosofi e politici di fronte all'impero ateniese: Atti del Convegno di Studi Santa Maria Capua Vetere, 4–5 giugno 2003* (Naples 2005) 249/250, rules out the interpretation of a continuous series of silver coinage at Samos in the second half of the 5th cent. B.C. as representing an anti-Athenian policy in violation of this decree on the grounds, among others, that the date and provisions of this legislation are too uncertain and controversial.

75–77. Athens. Ostraka, SEG LII 67–73.\*

75: In *Gestures: Essays Boegehold* 183/184, J. McK. Camp II briefly notes that among the roughly 150 ostraka found recently in the Agora Excavations (SEG XLIX 69), all 34 for Themistokles exhibit the same preference for the spelling Θεμισθοκλῆς as do 90% of the examples excavated before 1990.

76: In arguing against the tradition that the musician and sophist Δάμων Δαμωνίδου 'of the deme Oa' was ostracized, K. Raftlaub, in *Gestures: Essays Boegehold*

317–331, rejects the 4 surviving ostraka bearing this man's name as arguments for or against such an event—which must 'be made entirely on literary and historical grounds.' (322) [We retain the author's formulation of the demotic; the Greek form and the choice between Oa and Oe are not points of discussion in his paper. Richardson.]

77: For an examination of the literary evidence for the 'Ende und "Nachleben"' of ostracism in Athens, see H. Heftner, *Historia* 52 (2003) 23–38: 'im Zuge der auf den Lamischen Krieg folgenden politischen Umwälzungen.'

78. Akragas. Commercial graffito on an Attic vase, 5th cent. B.C. See our lemma no. 988.

78 bis. Gela. Attic vase inscriptions. See our lemma no. 995.

79. Athens. Trade graffiti on Attic neck-amphoras, ca. 500–early 5th cent. B.C. D. Vanhove, D. Constaes, *AC* 71 (2002) 166–169 (dr.), examine the graffiti on the bottom of two Attic neck-amphoras in Göteborg and in the Hermitage in St. Petersburg—Π(έντε) Ε(ἓς) Κ(οτύλαι) ('6 kotylai') and Π(έντε) Ε(ἓς) Κ(οτύλαι) Κ(οτύλη) ('7 kotylai')—and relate them to trademarks discussed by A. W. Johnston, *Trademarks on Greek Vases* (Warminster 1979) 144, 217. They suggest that these decorated pots were filled in the emporia of Etruria and were marked by a Greek trader to indicate what 'was in the jar and not . . . what it could contain.' On 167–169, intricate mathematical formulas and computer algebra employed in estimating the volume of these vases.

80. Athens. Vase inscriptions. A corpus of drinking inscriptions on Attic Little Master cups, ca. 550–530 B.C. R. Wachter, *Kadmos* 42 (2003) 141–189 (ph.), presents a brief introduction discussing the subject matter of the paintings on the outside of the lip and the tondo of these vessels: animals, hunting, sirens, sphinxes, centaurs, a few heroic scenes with Herakles, Theseus, 3 kalos-inscriptions Εὔροιβος καλός, but no sex or war scenes; comments on the varying dimensions of the cups. All this is followed by a detailed catalogue of 131 specimens arranged according to the variations in the formula of the diptinti. Each entry contains a numbered reference to H. Immerwahr, *Corpus of Attic Vase Inscriptions (CAVI)* (see SEG XLVI 12); location and inventory number; type of cup and diameter; provenance; artist(s); date (if not ca. 550–530 B.C.); bibliography (especially Beazley and CVA); description of figured decoration; text of inscription in minuscules without accents, breathings, or word divisions (e.g., Χαῖρεκαίπειν) followed by texts printed in the conventional manner. The main formulas include Χαῖρε καὶ πῖε εὖ, addition of σὺ at the beginning and τοὶ at the end, Χαῖρε καὶ πῖε τένδε, Χαῖρε καὶ πῖε με, the merchant's formula Χαῖρε καὶ πῖο με, Χαῖρε καὶ πῖε. 14 pages of ph.

In J.H.W. Penney (ed.), *Indo-European Perspectives: Studies in Honour of Anna Morpurgo Davies* (Oxford 2004) 300–322. Wachter publishes a twin article, which we will cover in SEG LIV.

81. Athens. Decree of Theozotides concerning Athenian orphans, 403 B.C.? SEG XXVIII 46. SEG XLV 41.\* A.Dössel, *Die Beilegung* 133/134 (see our lemma no. 2202), after a brief summary of the contents of this inscription, finds 'unsicher' the case for a date after 403 B.C., rather than after 411 B.C.

82. Athens. Decree and catalogue; rewards for those who fought for democracy, 401/0 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 10 + 2403. SEG XLIV 34,\* 89; XLVII 1028 app.crit.; XLIX 8; LII 86. Rhodes–Osborne, *GHI* 4. A.Dössel, *Die Beilegung* 128–132 (see our lemma no. 2202), briefly sets this decree into its historical context and the dispute over citizenship after the democratic victory at Mounychia. She also relates it to A.E. Raubitschek's reconstruction of the decree and catalogue in honor of those who returned from Phyle, SEG XXVIII 45 (on which, however, see now SEG LII 86, new fragment, Stroud).

83. Athens. Decrees concerning Dionysios I of Syracuse, 393–367 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 18 (SEG XXXVII 66; XXXIX 324; XLV 231). IG II<sup>2</sup> 103 (SEG XXXII 56; XXXIV 259; XXXVI 442; XLV 49). IG II<sup>2</sup> 105 (SEG XL 63; XLVII 2313; XLVIII 98). Rhodes–Osborne, *GHI* 10. G. Vanotti, in M.G. Angeli Bertinelli, A. Donati (edd.), *Usi e abusi epigrafici: Atti del Colloquio Internazionale di Epigrafia Latina (Genova 20–22 settembre 2001). Serta Antiqua et Mediaevalia VI* (Rome 2003) 43–52, analyzes the expression ἄρχων τῆς Σικελίας, by which the Athenians here identify this dynast, against the background of the literary sources where he is regularly called τύραννος, βασιλεύς, or στρατηγὸς αὐτοκράτωρ. A similar practice can be seen with the Bosporan tyrants Leukoneus and Perisades, 389/8–309 B.C., who are called ἄρχων in inscriptions (CIRB 6a, 9, 18, 75, 113, 825, 974, 1036, 1043, and 1046) but τύραννοι and δυνάσται by Strabo (7.4.4). Vanotti suggests that since the Athenians used the term ἄρχων over a period of 30 years, it was chosen, with care, as a diplomatic courtesy without juridical/institutional implications. She finds suggestive the contrast drawn by the Athenian Euphemos in 415/4 B.C. at Kamarina (Thucydides 6.85.1) between an ἄνθρωπος τύραννος and a πόλις ἀρχὴν ἔχουσα.

84. Athens. Decree in honor of Klazomenai, 387/6 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 28. SEG XLII 23, 250; XLV 231; XLVIII 1135 app.crit. Rhodes–Osborne, *GHI* 18. For an analysis of the political, economic, and topographical relationship of the island of Klazomenai with its territory on the mainland, and discussion of the identity and location of Χυτών (LL 9/10), see C. Carusi, *Isole e pene* 237–244.

85. Athens. Decree concerning Lemnos, 387/6 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 30. SEG L 134,\* 139; LII 39, 1894. Agora XVI 41; Agora XIX L3. D. Marchiandi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 543–554, in a topographical and historical examination of the agricultural resources of Lemnos (see our lemmata nos. 4 and 179), finds the current interpretation of this decree unsatisfactory both on historical grounds and on the basis of method. Despite its fragmentary nature, it is clear that this is a document of confiscation (L. III ἀπογραφὴ) of land inscribed in a list (L. 7), but Marchiandi argues that the land confiscated is not that of private individuals (Spartans or Spartan sympathizers) as most previous editors have maintained, but is public land that had been improperly expropriated by Athenian klerouchoi who remained on the island 404–387/6 B.C. The decree does not call for new klerouchoi to come to settle in Lemnos nor is this a document for the leasing of public land on Lemnos. It seeks to recover public land and to adjudicate in court (LL 23–27) disputes arising over public ownership of such land. And it sets up procedures to prevent similar abuses in the future. Marchiandi rejects the theory that Lysander captured Athenian klerouchoi on Lemnos in 404 B.C. (see our lemma no. 241). On 545 note 295, Marchiandi doubts that the cross-reference to Salamis in L. 34, [καθὰ] περ τοῖς ἐς Σαλαμῖναι, concerns IG I<sup>3</sup> 1, the Salamis decree of more than a century earlier; it more likely concerns some roughly contemporary regulations. In the references in L. 10 τρεῖς κατὰ τὸ δ[...], L. 11 [παρὰ τῷ Θεσείῳ ἐν ἡνίκαι ἀνὴρ ἡμέραι], and L. 12 πεντακοστομεδίωνων, she detects a tax, a public building, and a term evoking the 500 medimnoi of the μερίδες in the grain-tax law of 374/3 B.C. (see our lemma no. 88). These conjectures lead her to explore the possibility that already in the last quarter of the 5th cent. B.C., Lemnos was also subject to a produce tax on grain and that the island specialized in growing wheat and barley; see our lemma no. 179.

86. Athens. Decree, 4th cent. B.C. SEG XVI 55. SEG XXIX 88; XLII 229. In *Epigraphica* ... Guarducci 91–106 (ph.), D. Peppas-Delmouziou ties this decree and its possible institution of a new festival for Εἰρήνη closely to the iconography on the great cylindrical altar from Brauron, IG I<sup>3</sup> 1407 bis (see our lemma no. 211). 'Infatti, nel mio nuovo testo dell'iscrizione, sulla l. 8 restituisco ... [τὰ Βραυρώνια] (al posto di [τὰ Παναθήναια]).'

87. Athens. Nikophon's law on silver coinage, 375/4 B.C. SEG XXVI 72. SEG XLIX 93; L 141; LII 799. C. Feyer, *RPh* 77 (2003) 37–65, with the subtitle 'Remarques sur le sens de δόκιμος, δοκιμάζειν, δοκιμασία,' focuses on the text and the interpretation of LL 8–13. He reviews the previous scholarship and provides critical commentary on all restorations of the end of L. 9, concluding that the supplement ἐἶναι καλόν, in the ed. pr. is preferable. In support, he cites the parallel of Xenophon, *Oikonomikos* 19.16: Ἄρ' οὖν, ἐφ' ὃ ἰσχύομαι, καὶ περὶ ἀργυρίου ἐρωτῶν ἂν σε, πότερον καλὸν ἢ οὐ, δυναίμην ἂν σε πείσαι ὡς ἐπίστασαι διαδοκιμάζειν τὰ καλὰ καὶ τὰ κίβδηλα ἀργύρια; Feyer next turns to the proposition, διαδοκιμάζειν τὰ καλὰ καὶ τὰ κίβδηλα ἀργύρια (SEG XXIX 87), that the verb δοκιμάζειν means 'to maintain by, e.g., T.V. Buttrey (SEG XXIX 87), that the verb δοκιμάζειν means 'to approve' or 'to consider something δόκιμον, approved.' After an examination of numerous examples in a wide variety of literary and epigraphical passages, Feyer concludes that δόκιμος,

δοκιμάζειν, and δοκιμασία do not always have the sense of approbation, approval, etc., but often indicate 'examen préliminaire,' vel sim. Merchants in the Athenian market could not have expected from the δοκιμαστής 'une simple approbation, une certification, mais bien un contrôle préalable.' The examination that the δοκιμαστής conducted of the coins brought to him was not definitive, but was one that could always be susceptible of revision; his scrutiny (δοκιμασία) of a coin, however, had the advantage of going right to the essential point. 'En cela, elle s'avérait tout à fait adaptée aux transactions commerciales, dont la loi de Nicophon entendait garantir le bon déroulement.' (65)

88. Athens. Agyrthios' law on the grain-tax in the islands, 374/3 B.C. *SEG XXXVI* 146. *SEG L* 137.\* For useful comments on the provision in L. 25 that the grain must be free of danel, καθαρὰς αἰρῶν, see S. Amigues, *RPh* 77 (2003) 17–22.

A. Moreno, *ZPE* 145 (2003) 97–106, observing that the tax of 100 medimnoi of wheat and 400 of barley is 'simply 100% of the taxable capital of a Solonic πεντακοσιομέδιμνος,' identifies the δωδεκάτη in Agyrthios' law as a tax exclusively imposed on the Athenian klerouchoi in Lemnos, Imbros, and Skyros belonging to this top Solonian class. 'The law was a democratic masterstroke not only because it provided a public supply of grain for the Athenian *demos*, but also because it turned a tax on the wealthy cleruchs of Lemnos, Imbros, and Skyros from a δωδεκάτη in cash (a relatively painless yearly payment of 500 drachmas) into a much more onerous δωδεκάτη in grain, equaling a yearly payment of five hundred medimnoi from each cleruch.' There was only one tax-collector, for 'the sale of the tax is evidently a winner-take-all contest between him and other similar shipping-tycoons, each striving to outbid the rest in bringing back to Athens the greatest amount of the asked and predetermined tax. The successful buyer would return to Athens with this contracted amount, and would keep the difference between it and the full asked amount. This difference, minus the expenses incurred in collecting the tax, would be the tax collector's profit.' (100) The pentakosiomedimnoi were arranged in symmories to pay the tax (LL. 31–33). Moreno estimates the total grain-tax in the three islands at ca. 270,000–300,000 medimnoi, which would have required 90–100 ships to convey it to Peiraeus. He suggests that only 'say, half of 270,000 medimnoi would be stored' in the Aiakion, thus ruling out Stroud's identification of this temenos with the 'Rectangular Peribolos' in the Agora and requiring a structure 'at least four times' its size. On 103–105, Moreno speculates on the strong likelihood that there was an earlier δωδεκάτη in grain applied in the 5th cent. B.C. to Athenian klerouchoi at Hestiaia, Chalkis, Eretria, and Karystos.

U. Fantasia, *MedAnt* 7 (2004) 513–540, noting that the difficulty in interpreting this law is not from the epigraphical point of view but is due to the technical language employed and the lack of parallels for many of its provisions, studies its provisions in detail under the following headings: 'Una "cinquantesima" in natura o in denaro?' (514–518); 'Le città e gli appaltatori' (518–528); 'Misurare e pesare il grano' (528–536); 'Grano pubblico e finanze cittadine' (536–540). Excellent bibliography (513/514 note 2) to which add *SEG L* 137). Fantasia makes a distinction between the πεντηκοστή, which was collected in cash, and the δωδεκάτη, which was a produce tax. The six men in LL. 31–36 are a company of πριόμνοι, not payers of taxes.

D. Marchiandi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 542/543 (see our lemma no. 4), briefly summarizes the provisions of this law as applied to her examination of the agricultural productivity of Lemnos in the 4th cent. B.C. (see our lemmata nos. 85, 179, and 241). She identifies the συμπορία in LL. 31–33 as consisting of six tax farmers (πριόμνοι) and is attracted to Faraguna's theory (*SEG XLVIII* 96) that a μερίς corresponded to 'un distretto territoriale'. From the provisions in *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 30 LL. 10–12 (see our lemma no. 85), she deduces (545/546) that there may have been an analogous Athenian produce tax on grain in Lemnos in the 5th cent. B.C.

On June 1, 2006, at the Scuola Normale Superiore in Pisa, a Tavola Rotonda was held on this inscription, 'Nuove ricerche sulla legge granaria ateniese del 374/3', with ten speakers on various aspects of the law and related problems. Publication of these papers is in process.

89. Vacat.

90. Athens. Decree concerning Ioulis on Keos, 362 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 111. *SEG XXXII* 60;\* *XXXIV* 259; *XXXIX* 73; *XLVI* 119; L. 449. *Staatsverträge* II.289. Rhodes–Osborne, *GHI* 39. A. Dössel, *Die Beilegung* 147–158 (see our lemma no. 2202), reprints the text, with German translation, copious bibliography, and commentary dealing with the causes and the course of the stasis in Ioulis and Athenian reprisals for the murder of their proxenos.

91. Athens. Decree. Prospecting contract between Athens and Sokles? 338–325 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 411. *SEG XL* 73.\* G. Thür, in M. J. Schermaier et al. (edd.), *Iurisprudentia universalis. Festschrift für Theo Mayer-Maly zum 70. Geburtstag* (Cologne 2002) 779–784 and in *Antikaei Enypaiaai Wilhelm* 175–189, reprints the text, with app. crit. and German translation. He concludes that 'Sokles ist in den 25 Jahren nicht "Verpächter" parallel zur Polis, sondern nur der "Gläubiger des Pachtzinses".'

92. Athens. Decree in honor of priests and hieropoioi, 338 B.C. after Chaironeia. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 410. *SEG LI* 76.\* In *Lettered Attica* 56–67 (ph.), S. Lambert returns to this inscription, printing a new text from autopsy, full commentary on readings, and expanding his ideas on the personnel honored in this decree, providing much more prosopographical data; the nature of the erasure in LL. 39/40; the date and circumstances in which the decree was originally enacted; and its final destination and find-spot at the Theater of Dionysos in Athens, cf. the summary in *SEG LI* 76. In addition to Lambert's new readings registered in *SEG LI* 76, we note here that his text differs from that in *IG II<sup>2</sup>* in the following respects: L. 1 [- -] το Σ[α]μωβονίδ[η]ς εἶπεν], L. 24 τ[η]ς ἀρχα[γ]ίας, L. 30 [Α]ν[τ]ισ[θ]έν[η]ν.

93. Athens. Decree proposed by Demades concerning Lemnos, 337/6 B.C. *SEG XXXV* 63. *Agora XVI* 72. *SEG XXXVI* 150.\* E. Arena, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 309–325 (ph.), reprints the



text, restoring LL 5/6 as [ι]ζεν Ἐργλέας Ἰαθεν· ἔδοξεν τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ. He rejects the identification of τὸν βασιλέα in L. 21 as either the Athenian magistrate or Philip II, in favor of the king of Persia, and proposes the following restorations in LL 19–23, interpreting the document as an honorary decree for Athenian klerouchoi on Lemnos who aided the Hellenic League's expedition sent to the coast of Asia Minor in the spring of 336 B.C.

- 20 [..... 2! ..... τῶν ἐν Ἀθήνῃσι κ[ατοικ]-  
[ούτων ἐκινεῖσαι τοὺς κληρούχους τοὺς (χρησ]-  
[ίμους ὄντας περὶ τὸν πόλεμον πρὸς] τὸν βασι[λέα]  
[..... 16 ..... καὶ στεφανῶσαι] ἕκαστ[ον αὐτ]-  
[ὸν χρυσῶν στεφανῶναι ..... 3 .....] δὲ τοὺς [..... 4 .....]

20/21. Or [βοηθήσαντας κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον]. Athena.

94. Athens. Law of Eukrates on tyranny, 337/6 B.C. SEG XII 87. SEG L 8, 141/142.\* LI 1886. In J. Roisman (ed.), *Brill's Companion to Alexander the Great* (Leiden 2003) 118–120, M. Paraguna briefly argues against viewing this law in the context of a struggle between pro- and anti-Macedonian factions rather than as part of a democratic reaction against recent actions of the Aetolians that were perceived as contrary to the spirit of the constitution, i.e., support of Phokion, execution of citizens who had fled Athens.

J. Ober, in *Popular Tyranny* 222–226 (ph.), sees the iconography of the relief at the top of this stele and the context of its text as suggesting 'that the overthrow of the *demokratia* and the *demos* and the establishment of a tyranny would not terminate the legitimate authority of the *demos* or the instrumental capacity of the Athenian *demos* to reward and punish the political behavior of individual Athenians. . . . And so, personified *Demos* (and the political order he represents) will still sit on his metaphorical throne even if "the *demos*" is (momentarily) overthrown.'

Arguing that in 337/6 B.C., 'the authors of the publication clause of the law of Eukrates apparently believed that they would get the text of the law to the Ekklesia by sending an inscribed copy to the Pnyx,' i.e., ἐν τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ LL 26/27 = at the Pnyx, M.B. Richardson, in *Gestures: Essays Borgehold* 332–337, draws the inference that Pnyx III was expected to be in use by the Ekklesia at this time. Attempting to date the construction of Pnyx III still more precisely, she further proposes that 'if we can presume that Pnyx III cannot have been built from start to finish between the battle of Chaironeia and the ninth prytany of 337/6 B.C., then the initial stages of construction, which included the prominent retaining wall, were underway before the battle.'

A.J.L. Blanshard, *JHS* 124 (2004) 1–15: 'The decision to adorn this stele with a relief is a deliberate and marked choice. It seems most likely that we should see Chairestratos [the *nomothetes*] as the instigator behind its presence.' (3) 'It is impossible to escape the gaze of these full-frontal faces. Again, we are in uncharted territory. There is no precedent for a full-frontal *Demos* in document reliefs.' (5) 'It is inevitable that whenever we encounter *Demos*, politics is not too far away.' (7) 'Any attempt at critical engagement with the representation

inevitably results in political expression. Whether you think the depiction of the People is too old, too young, too rich, too poor, too plain or too good-looking, too kind or too cruel—you have implicated yourself in a political view.' (8) 'In looking at *Demos* you saw yourself reduced to your bare essentials, the sculptural shorthand of citizen masculinity.' (9) He ends on 12/13 with 'a final gesture, a moment of discontinuity: the law of Eukrates as a monument of the gulf that separates Athens and Western democratic culture.'

On representations of *Demos*, see also our lemmata nos. 36 and 37.

95. Athens. Two decrees in honor of Euphron of Sikyon, 323/2 and 318/7 B.C. IG 112 448. SEG XXXII 90.\* XXXV 239; LI 17; LI 1894. G.J. Oliver, in *Lettered Attica* 95–110 (ph.), establishes the correct finding place of this stele, probably reused in the construction of the Great Drain, in the cut for the Athens–Peiraeus railway east of the Stoa of Zeus Eleutherios, Agora grid J:5. The two stelai containing the original first decree in honor of Euphron, passed in 323/2 B.C., were destroyed by the oligarchs in 321/0–319/8 B.C. (LL 57–63). The decree of 318/7 B.C., authorizing the replacement of these two stelai, states (LL 69–72) that they were now to be set up on the Acropolis and next to the statue of Zeus Soter καθάπερ ὁ δῆμος ἐψηφίσατο [πρότε]λερον; this suggests that the stelai of 318/7 B.C. are to be set up in the same locations as the two stelai that had been torn down. But in LL 27–29 of the decree of 323/2 B.C., editors have proposed readings and restorations placing one of the stelai next to Zeus and the other on the Acropolis, i.e., in reverse order to that in LL 69–72, and C. Schwenk has proposed in L. 29 ἐτέραν ἐν ἀγορᾷ παρ[ί] τὴν βουλὴν Σ.κ[ι]. . . .<sup>9</sup> . . . εἰς], which she restored as Σ[ι]κ[υωνιά]ν . . . εἰς], *Athens in the Age of Alexander* (Chicago 1985) no. 83. Pointing out that this restoration 'is one letter too long: 42 as opposed to 41 letters' (101), Oliver (103), after autopsy, offers the following new text of LL 26–30.

- [Διονυσίῳ μετὰ]-  
λων τῷ ἀγωνί· ἀναγράψαι δὲ τὸ ψηφισμ[α] ἐν στήλαις Ἀ-  
28 θηναῖς καὶ στήσαι τὴν μὲν μίαν ἐν ἀκ[ροπόλει τὴν δ']  
ἐτέραν ἐν ἀγορᾷ παρ[ί] τ[ὴν] τοῦ Δ[ι]ὸς τοῦ Σωτῆρος· εἰς]  
δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῶν στήλων δ[ι]οῦν[αι] τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ]

Oliver assumes 'an elliptical reference to an altar' with τ[ὴν] in L. 29; the word βωμόν is omitted. He accepts the view that the altar east of the Stoa of Zeus Eleutherios was this altar.

See also our lemma no. 37.

96. Athens. Decree honoring a citizen of Priene, before 321 or 307/6 B.C. IG 112 564 + SEG XVIII 18. SEG XXXIII 103.\* Agora XVI 111. E. Culasso Gastaldi, *ZPE* 144 (2003) 111–122, reprints the text of Agora XVI 111, but after study of the two fragments—inv. no. EM 7157 in the Epigraphical Museum of Athens and inv. no. Agora I 5295 in the Stoa of Attalos—she proposes a completely new disposition of these two non-joining pieces to yield the following revised text, in which she has incorporated a number of important new readings.



101. Athens. Alliance with Sikyon, 303/2 B.C. SEG XXXI 86; XLI 50; XLV 91.\* *Agora* XVI 115. J. McK. Camp II, *Hesperia* 72 (2003) 273/274 (ph.), presents the ed.pr. of a new fragment (*Agora* I 2636n) of a stele of 'Hymettian' marble found in the *Agora* Excavations, now shown to be pedimental. The fragment preserves parts of the opening lines (1–15) of the inscription and joins the top of *Agora* I 2636b to form the text printed below. Camp assigns the inscription and joins the top of *Agora* I 2636b to form the text printed below. Camp assigns the lettering to Tracy's 'Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1262' (*ADT* 136–147). The text of the fragment confirms the date of 303/2 B.C. and the line length of 50 stoichoi, both proposed by E. Schweigert in *Hesperia* 15 (1939) 35–41. The decree was passed on the same day as IG II<sup>2</sup> 493 and 494.

b + n. [- - - Συμ]μαχία[- - -]  
[ἐπὶ Λεωστράτου ἀρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς Αἰαντίδος δωδεκάτης πρυτανείας, ἥτις διόφαντος διονυσσοδάρου Φηγοῦσιος (ἔγρα)μμάτευε· Σ[κ]-  
4 [ι]ροφοριῶνος δεκάτει ὑστέραι, τρίτει καὶ εἰκοστῇ τῆς πρυτανείας· ἐκκλησία κυρία τῶν προέδρων ἐπεψήφισεν Λεξι[μ]βροτος  
[Ἀρχεσίλου Παιανιεύς καὶ συμπρόεδροι]· ἔδοξε[ν] τ[ῶ]ι δ[ι]ήμωι vacat  
[- - - - -] πρόποσις name [- - - - -] εἰπεν· περὶ ὧν οἱ πρέσβεις [- - -]  
8 [- - - - -] 32 [- - - - -] . [ων] [ἀ]π[α]ν[α]γγέλλουσιν α[ - ] .  
[- - - - -] 30 [- - - - -] Σ[κ]ικωνίωι ἐν τετ[ - ] . [ον] γ[ - ] .  
[- - - - -] 25 [- - - - -] τὴν εὐνοίαν οἰκείαν ἐνδεικν[υ]-  
[- - - - -] 29 [- - - - -] . [ν] ἀφικόμενων τῶν πρέσβε[ω]-  
12 [- - - - -] 28 [- - - - -] . [του] γινομέ[ν]ου τῆς πόλεως [ - ]  
[- - - - -] 27 [- - - - -] . [ον] ἀπάγειν τὴν πόλιν τὴν Σικωνίων  
[- - - - -] 17 [- - - - -] . [φιλ]ί[α]ν [ - ] καὶ οἰκειότητα κοινὴν [ - ]  
[- - - - -] 27 [- - - - -] . [ - ] πόλεσιν τὴν τε συμμαχίαν ε[ - ]  
16 [- - - - -] 31 [- - - - -] . [κα] . [ - ] . [οι] εἰς τη[ - ] . [ - ] . [α]  
[- - - - -] 34 [- - - - -] . [ν]ηφ[ - ] . [ε]νοίει[ - ] . [ - ]  
[- - - - -] 39 [- - - - -] . [ων] καὶ α[ - ] . [ - ]  
[- - - - -] 39 [- - - - -] . [ς] Ἀθήνησιν κα[ - ]  
20 [- - - - -] 36 [- - - - -] . [σὺ]ν κασιν ἐπαίνε-  
[σαι] τὸν δῆμον τὸν Σικωνίων καὶ στεφανώσαι χρυσῶι στεφάνωι  
[- - - - -] 47 [- - - - -] . [της]

101 bis. Athens. Two copies of an honorary decree, ca. 304–271 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 479/480. SEG XXXIII 93; XXXIV 1690; XLVII 59. In commenting on these two identical copies of the same decree, S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 52–55, assigns IG II<sup>2</sup> 479 to the Cutter of *Agora* I 4266, active ca. 304–271 B.C., and IG II<sup>2</sup> 480 to 'a hand as yet unknown to me' (52). Since it is clear from the text that only one stele was commissioned by the state, Tracy proposes that the other stone 'was privately commissioned,' although it is impossible to tell which is which. He suspects that IG II<sup>2</sup> 479, with its more widely spaced lettering and larger format, may have been the private copy, and speculates on the basis of the parallels of other doublets from this period—IG II<sup>2</sup> 622/623 (see our lemma no. 113); 666/667, honors and citizenship for Strombichos, 672/*Hesperia* 10 (1941) 338/339, honors for Komeas of Lamptraí, Hipparch of

Lemnos—that since all of these enactments focus on actions that secured the safety and freedom of the Athenians, it may be that 'the right to set up a private copy was not inherent, but was reserved for individuals whose actions were considered crucial to the continued existence of the *demos*.'

102. Athens. Honorary decree, before 302/1 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 513. SEG XXXI 84; XLIX 12, 107. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 70–72 (ph.), in classing this inscription as 'in the style of' his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 657, active ca. 305–ca. 275 B.C., rejects the reading of L. 1 as [Αυκοῦρ]ον Α[υκοῦρ]ον reported by M.J. Osborne, *ZPE* 42 (1981) 172–174 (SEG XXXI 84). After autopsy of the stone in Athens and squeezes in Princeton, Tracy reads [- - -] IONT[- - -]; the first letter could also be H or N, the last I or Y. 'The payment official on this text, (τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ) δήμου, indicates a date not later than 302/1' (167 note 4).

103. Brauron. Law of the nomothetai, ca. 300 B.C.? SEG XXXV 83; LII 104.\* G. Ekroth, *Kernos* 16 (2003) 59–118, in a long, detailed analysis of the topography, monuments, and finds from the excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens, 1948–1963, argues that there is no supporting archaeological evidence for a cult of Iphigeneia at this site and that the association between Agamemnon's daughter, Artemis, and Brauron in *Iphigeneia in Tauris* 1462–1467 is an invention of Euripides. On 102–118, she presents a chronological summary of 'The Development of the Sanctuary of Brauron,' which includes a short section (113–116) on this inscription. Referring to it as 'an Athenian state decree, issued by the *nomothetai*,' she reprints an unrestored text of LL. 2–7 and briefly discusses the identity and location of ὁ νεῶς, [Πα]ρθενών, οἱ οἴκοι, and τὸ ἀμφιπολεῖον, providing a helpful and revealing table of 'Suggested identifications of the buildings mentioned in the 3rd-century inscription' by 13 different scholars. In a very cautious discussion, Ekroth seems attracted to the view that the νεῶς and the Παρθενών are two separate structures; the οἴκοι refer to the stoa with its dining rooms; the ἀμφιπολεῖον with its upper floor may have housed religious officials or the arktai; the gymnasium, palaistra, and stables are still to be discovered.

On 87–93 and 109, Ekroth suggests that in the north end of the large stoa, the long, narrow courtyard was used for the display of wooden panels mounted in the 37 slots in the row of stones running the length of the corridor inside the columns. She rejects the view of Papademetriou and others that votive offerings of women's clothing were mounted on these boards and argues instead that the wooden panels were inscribed with the names of the arktai, ca. 600–700 names, every 4 years at the time of the festival.

G. Despinis, *MDAI(A)* 119 (2004) [2005] 265/266, 280, 291–302, identifies the ἄγαλμα in the temple of Artemis with the homonymous statue in IG II<sup>2</sup> 1523 Col. II LL. 27–29, 343/2 B.C., a 3-times-life-size acrolithic seated cult statue in the cella of the large temple, with which he associates some fragments of 'Pentelic' marble from Papademetriou's excavations; see our lemma no. 174. He identifies the large temple with the ἀρχαῖος νεῶς of L. 3 of this law and the Παρθενών with the smaller structure (a *sekos*?) beside it, under the remains of the chapel of Agios Georgios. In L. 3, Despinis prefers to read ὁ νεῶς ὁ τε ἀρχαῖος καὶ ὁ Παρθενών τε.



SEG XLVI 133). He rejects any possible connection between Παρθενών and the young 'bears' and with Iphigeneia. The maiden's building belongs to the maiden Artemis.

104. Athens. Treaty and decree. IG II<sup>2</sup> 236 and 641. For the title of the Macedonian king in these two inscriptions, see our lemma no. 582.

105. Athens. Decree, ca. 300 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 524 + 580. In *A and M* 150/151, S.V. Tracy associates these two non-joining fragments of identical marble and prints the following new text from autopsy. Date on the basis of the payment officer in L. 16. Restorations in LL. 10–12 on the basis of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1013 L. 16; 847 L. 26; 1303 L. 17 (ISE 31); 660 L. 42; 686 LL. 17/18. *Agora* XVI 119 is by the same hand; see our lemma no. 106.

[-----τ]-  
[ὄν δῆ]μον τὸν Ἀθηναίων· εἶναι δὲ καὶ πρό]-  
[ξε]νον αὐτὸν [καὶ εὐεργέτην καὶ ἐκγόνο]-  
4 [ν] αὐτοῦ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων, ὅπως ἂ]-  
[ν] καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι φιλο[τιμῶνται εἰς τὸν δῆ]-  
[μον εὐεργετῆν ὅ, τ] [ἂν δύνονται ἀγαθὸ]-  
[ν εἰ]δότες ὅτι χάριτας ἀπολήφονται πα]-  
8 [ρά] τοῦ δήμου ἁξία[ς τῶν εὐεργετημάτων].  
[ἐπ]ιμελεῖσθαι δὲ ἑάν του δέηται τὴν βο]-  
[υλ]ήν τὴν αἰ βου[λευσασαν τοὺς ἑξακοσ]-  
[ious]· καλ[έ]σαι [δὲ αὐτὸν καὶ ἐπὶ ἑ]ν[ι] αἰ]-  
12 [ς τὸ πρυτανεῖον εἰς αὐρίον· ἀναγ]ράψ[αι]  
[δὲ τὸδε τὸ ψήφισμα ἐν στήλῃ λι]θί[ν]ει [τ]-  
[ὸν γραμματεῖα τοῦ δήμου καὶ στ]ῆσαι ἐν ἁ]-  
[κροπόλει· εἰς δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῆς στή]-  
16 [λης δοῦναι τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ δήμου : ΔΔ : [δ]-  
[ραχμῶς ἐκ τῶν εἰς τὰ κατὰ ψηφί]σματα ἁ[ν]-  
[αλισκομένων τῶι δήμῳ] traces of a crown

Stoich. 31

106. Athens. Fragment of a decree, ca. 300 B.C. SEG XXXIX 104; XL 83.\* *Agora* XVI 119. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 150/151, assigns this inscription to the same cutter as IG II<sup>2</sup> 524 and 580; see our lemma no. 105. He contests the view of M.B. Walbank, *Hesperia* 58 (1989) 90/91 (SEG XXXIX 104) that 'the hand is very close to that of IG II<sup>2</sup> 484.' Tracy urges that, 'aside from being in the Greek alphabet, the handwritings on these two pieces have very little in common.'

107. Athens. Decree, ca. 300–295 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 748. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 152, assigns this inscription to the cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 643 + *Agora* XVI 162 and *Agora* XVI 163. He rejects the suggestion of M.B. Walbank, *ZPE* 69 (1987) 261–265 (SEG XXXVII 90) and *ABSA* 85 (1990) 447 (SEG XL 86) that these three fragments belong together. Tracy regards IG II<sup>2</sup> 748 as a separate text with larger lettering and different spacing. There is no join between *Agora* XVI 162 and 163. A possible join between *Agora* XVI 163 and IG II<sup>2</sup> 643 has yet to be tried.

108. Athens. Decree granting citizenship to Aristolas and Sostratos, 299/8 B.C.? IG II<sup>2</sup> 643. SEG XL 86;\* XLV 143. C.B. Kritzas, *BCH* 127 (2003) 689, briefly announces that two fragments of this inscription have been moved from the Stoa of Attalos (inv. nos. *Agora* 1 4812, 6844) to the Epigraphical Museum (now EM 13506a/b). Cf. *Agora* XVI 162, 163.

109. Athens. Decree in honor of Posidippos, 299/8 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 818. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 38, in assigning this fragment to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1262, active ca. 320–ca. 290 B.C., notes that the text suggests that this inscription is the upper right part of IG II<sup>2</sup> 641 and not a separate inscription.

110. Athens. Two decrees, 300–250 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1253. SEG XIV 82; XLIX 159, 220 and *Agora* XV 91. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 152/153, urges that these two inscriptions were the work of the same cutter and that they should both be assigned the above date. He identifies the *tamias* honored by *Agora* XV 91, Ἐπίχαρμος Καλλιστρατίδου Κολωνήθεν, as the proposer of IG II<sup>2</sup> 650 (286/5 B.C.) and of IG II<sup>2</sup> 685 (276/5 B.C.). Since both decrees are probouleutic, Epicharmos becomes yet another Athenian who served thrice in the Boule, probably under Antigonos Gonatas' control of Athens. Since Tracy thinks that his service as *tamias* of the *prytaneis* would probably have come toward the end of Epicharmos' career, he suggests a date soon after 263/2 B.C. for *Agora* XV 91. Pace Kirchner ad IG II<sup>2</sup> 1253, Tracy does not believe that the lettering of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1253 is similar to that of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1252.

111. Athens. Decree, 286/5–ca. 239 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 525 + 675d. SEG XXXVIII 90;\* XLV 2299. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 80–82 (ph.), in assigning this inscription to his Cutter of *Agora* I 3238 and 4169, active 286/5–ca. 239 B.C., notes that the only way to reconcile the date of the decree—which must belong to the late 4th cent. B.C. on the basis of the payment official, the treasurer of the people—with the career of this cutter is to suppose that the copy we have is a later reinscription of an enactment of 306/5 B.C. For the date of 306/5 B.C., see W.K. Pritchett, *AJPh* 58 (1937) 329–333.

112. Athens. Decree, 286/5–ca. 239 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 564. SEG XVIII 18; XXIV 112. *Agora* XVI 110 and 111. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 98 note 18, in assigning these two fragments to his Cutter of *Agora* I 3238 and 4169, active 286/5–ca. 239 B.C., raises the possibility that they may

be part of the same inscription. 'The former is described in the publications as "Hymettian" and the latter as "Pentelic" but both are gray marble. The color of I 4943 [Agora XV 111] is definitely lighter than I 202 [Agora XV 110], but I do not think that they are so different that it is impossible that they originated from different parts of the same slab of marble.' [N. Herz and W. K. Pritchett, *AJA* 57 (1953) 71-83, showed long ago that the terms 'Hymettian' and 'Pentelic' in the hands of epigraphists are basically unreliable, which is one reason we avoid them or print them in quotation marks in *SEG*. Tracy, too, avoids them throughout *A and M*, except on p. 169. Snoud.]

113. Athens. Honorary decree for Artemidoros of Perinthos, 286/5 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup> 662/663*, *SEG XXXVIII 71\**; XXXIX 308; XLII 96; XLVIII 906. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 52-55, 96 (ph.), after study of these two copies of the same decree, assigns *IG II<sup>2</sup> 662* to the Cutter of Agora I 3238, and *IG II<sup>2</sup> 663* to the Cutter of Agora I 4266. He proposes that the larger of the two monuments, *IG II<sup>2</sup> 663*, was probably commissioned as a private copy by the honorand, and *IG II<sup>2</sup> 662* by the state. For parallel decree doublets from this period and speculation on the circumstances in which private copies were authorized, see our lemma no. 101 bis.

On 95/96, Tracy discusses the use of blank spaces in the disposition of the text of *IG II<sup>2</sup> 662*, noting that the 'vacat of thirty spaces in line 4 is unique' in the work of this cutter. Since this blank space is not large enough to accommodate the name of the chairman and the phrase *καὶ συμπρόεδροι*, Tracy concludes that 'this has the appearance of a beginner's mistake and we may be dealing here with one of the first decrees that he ever inscribed.'

114. Athens. Citizenship decree, early 3rd cent. B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup> 717*, *SEG XXXI 271*. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 93/94 no. 4 (ph.), presents the ed.pr. of a tiny fragment of white marble, inscribed surface only preserved, found in 1938 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. I 5466. He attributes the fragment to his Cutter of Agora I 3238 and 4169, active 286/5-ca. 239 B.C. Although he regards this piece as 'most unpromising in every way,' he proposes to find a home for it in *IG II<sup>2</sup> 717*, whose letters and spacing it matches in all respects. 'Spacing suggests that the letters on this fragment are the tau of τὸν in line 16 (Osborne's [Naturalization D83] line numbers), the eta phi of φήγων in 17 and the nu of εἰσαγαγεῖν in 18.'

115. Athens. Fragment of a decree, 285/4 B.C. Ed.pr. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 68/69 no. 1 (ph.). of a fragment from the flat top of a stele of gray marble with a moulding above L. 1; found in 1936 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. I 3825. Tracy assigns it to his Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup> 657*, 305-ca. 275 B.C.

[Εὐὶ Διοτ[ίμου ἀρχ[οντος, ἐπὶ . . .]

Since eta cannot be ruled out as the first letter, the archons Μνησιόδημος (298/7 B.C.) and Πειθίδημος (268/7 B.C.) remain candidates, 'but they are much less likely' (68), ed.pr., hence the assigned date.

116. Athens. Decree granting citizenship, 281/0-ca. 240 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup> 806*, *SEG XXXI 271*; XXXIV 260; XXXIX 308. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 103, 110, briefly notes that since the Cutter of Agora I 6664, to whom he assigns this inscription, was active 281/0-ca. 240 B.C., the date of 303/2 B.C. proposed by M.J. Osborne, *Naturalization* D48, is at least 20 years too early. Since this cutter also exhibits a strong predilection for syllabification at line end and normally avoids leaving blank spaces in the middle of the line, Tracy rejects Osborne's restoration of LL. 7-9 and suggests the following revised text.

8      καὶ σ[τήσαι ν ν]  
[ἐν Ἀκροπόλει· εἰς δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῆς στή[ν ν]-  
[λης μερίσαι τὸν τ[αμίαν τῶν στρατιωτικῶν ν

117. Athens. Decree, ca. 280-270 B.C. *Agora XVI 183*. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 114-116 (ph.), clearly demonstrates that this inscription is not stoichedon despite the claims of the ed.pr. and *Agora XVI*.

118. Athens. Decree, 278/7 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup> 673 + 741*. In assigning this inscription to 'in the style of' his Cutter of Agora I 3238 and 4169, active 286/5-ca. 239 B.C., S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 94, points out that the last letter in L. 8 is not omega, but squared omicron, and that 'one might very hesitantly suggest φανερά εἰ ἢ τε τοῖ[υ δήμου ὠφερέα . . .]' in LL. 8/9.

119. Athens. Decree, ca. 275/4 B.C. Fragment of gray marble, right side preserved, with traces of moulding above L. 1; found in 1971 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. I 7290. Ed.pr. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 107-109 no. 2 (ph.), who assigns it to his Cutter of Agora I 6664, active 281/0-ca. 240 B.C. and, in his commentary, goes through many possible restorations of the number of letters in the name of the chairman with his demotic, in the name of the archon, and in the name of the tribe. He finds the available space in L. 7 of his reconstruction too short to accommodate the well-attested formula that opens prytany decrees, ἐπειδὴ οἱ πρυτάνεις τῆς [e.g.] Αἰγείδος ἐπαινέσαντες καὶ στεφανώσαντες. 'I am unable to suggest a plausible restoration or point to a useful parallel.' (109)

[ἐπὶ - - ca. 8 - - ἀρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς - - ca. 8 - - τετ[άρτης πρυ-      Stoich. ca. 43  
[τανείας ἡ - - - - - ca. 24 - - - - - ἐργ[αμάτων  
[εν - - - - - ca. 31 - - - - - κο]στὴ τῆς [ν]

4      [πρυτανείας· τῶν προέδρων ἐπεμήριζεν - ca. 3 - - - - - ν Χωρήμο[ν]-  
[ος - - - ca. 12 - - - καὶ συμπρόεδροι· ἔδοξεν τ[ῇ] βουλῇ[ι]  
[καὶ τῷ δήμῳ - - - - - ca. 26 - - - - - ]ς εἶπεν [ν]  
[ - - - - - ca. 33 - - - - - στε]φανώσα[ι].  
- - - - -

[Tracy's numerous variables for the missing number of letters and his candid admission that there is not enough preserved on this fragment to justify further restoration illustrate once again the perils of reconstructing these complex preambles to Athenian decrees—and he forbears even to touch the calendar equation in L. 3. Cf my comments in *SEG LII* 96. In an earlier age, this Agora inscription would probably have been published with full restorations. Stroud.]

120. Athens. Decree in honor of sitonai, 275/4 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 792. SEG XXXIX 120.\* S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 89–91 no. 2 (ph.), presents the ed.pr. of a non-joining fragment of gray marble from the right side of LL. 6–11 of this decree; found in 1934 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. 1 1904. He assigns the inscription to his Cutter of Agora I 3238 and 4169, active 286/5–ca. 239 B.C.

- αὐτοῖσι αὐτῶν ἑκάστον χρυσῶν στεφάνων· κατὰ τὸν νόμον φιλο[τ]-  
 8 μίας ἕνεκα τῆς πρὸς τὸν δῆμον· εἶναι δὲ αὐτοῖσι καὶ προεδρ[ί]αν ἐ-  
 μ πᾶσι τοῖς ἀγῶσιν οἷς ἡ πόλις τίθησι καὶ τὸν ἀρχιτέκτο[ν] αὐ-  
 τῶν ἀεὶ καθιστάμενον κατανέμειν αὐτοῖσι τὴν θῆαν· ἐπαινέ[σαι] δὲ  
 καὶ τὸν γραμματεῖα αὐτῶν Χαίρε[σ]τρατον καὶ στεφ[α]ν[ο]ῦσι θαλλο-  
 ῦ στεφάνων· ἀναγράψαι δὲ τὸδε τὸ ψήφισμα καὶ τὰ ὀνόματα τῶν σι-  
 12 τῶν κτλ.

121. Athens. Decree in honor of the prytaneis of Hippothontis, 278/7–262/1 B.C. *Agora* XV 79 + *SEG* XXXIX 132. *SEG* XLVII 165. In S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 169–177, Tracy and J.D.Morgan report a join between these two fragments (*Agora* I 5392 and 3855) of a prytany decree inscribed by Tracy's Cutter of *Agora* I 3238 and 4169. Their proposed text takes up a suggested identification of the decree's proposer by C.Habicht, *Studien zur Geschichte Athens in Hellenistischer Zeit* (Göttingen 1982) 201/202. On 173, ph. of the front and back surfaces of the joined fragments. On 176/177, discussion of the date.

- ἐπὶ ...<sup>6</sup>... ἀρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς Ἱπποθωντίδος ἑνδεκάτης πρυτανείας, ἡ]-  
 [.....<sup>2,4</sup>..... ἐγραμμάτευν· Θαρ[γ]ηλ[ί]ωνος ... ? ... ]  
 [.....<sup>2,8</sup>..... τῆς πρυτανείας· ἐκκλη[σ]ία· τῶν προ]-  
 4 [έδρων ἐπεμήριζεν ..... ! 5 ..... [κ]λ[ε]ῖδο[ν] Φα[λ]ληρεὺς καὶ [σ]υμ[μ]πρόε]-  
 [δ]ροι· ἔδοξεν τοῖς δῆμοι· Φίλιππος Ἀστυγένης [Θ]υμιατῆδος εἶπ[εν]· περὶ  
 [ὧν ἀπαγγέλλουσιν οἱ πρυτάνεις τῆς Ἱπποθωντίδος ὑπὲρ τῶν ἱερῶν ὧν]  
 [ἔθυσαν τὰ πρό των ἐκκλησιῶν καὶ τῶν ἁλ[λ]ων θυσίῳ ὧν ἔθυσαν ὑπὲρ τῆς β]-  
 8 [ουλήs καὶ τοῦ δήμου· ἀγαθῇ τύχῃ δεδο[χ]θαι τῶν δῆμων· τὰ μὲν ἀγαθὰ δέ]-  
 [χεσθαι ἅ φασιν γεγενῆσθαι ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς ἐφ' ὅ γε γίγνεται καὶ σωτηρία· τῇ]-  
 [ς βουλῆs καὶ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων καὶ τῶν ἁλ[λ]ων] ὅσοι εὐνοὺς εἰ[σ]ιν]  
 [τοῖς δῆμοι· ἐπειδὴ δὲ οἱ πρυτάνεις τῆς Ἱπποθωντίδος τὰς] τε θυσί[ας τὰ]-  
 12 [ς καθηκούσας τεύχεσσι καλῶς καὶ φιλοτιμίῳs κτλ.]

\*Near-STOICH. 55'; line length of 55 letters indicated by LL. 5–8, Tracy.

122. Athens. Decree, 271/0 B.C. *SEG* XIV 64. *SEG* XXV 94. *Agora* XVI 187. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 111, shows with an excellent ph. that in L. 27 the initial sigma is inscribed over an upsilon. 'Probably the cutter was thinking masculine genitive singular and so by mistake inscribed TOY, the most common ending.' In L. 28, the letters NXPYΣ are inscribed in *rasura*.

123. Athens. Decrees of the Boule, 267/6 and 184/3 B.C. *SEG* XXI 372. *Agora* XV 81, 154. *SEG* XLII 100. N.D.Robertson, in *Cybele* 272–277, in a discussion of the Kronia festival at Athens, restores *Agora* XV 154 LL. 9/10 καὶ τοῖς ἁλλοῖς θεοῖς οἷς πάτριον ἦν· ἔθυσαν· δὲ καὶ τῇ Μητρὶ τῶν θεῶν τὰ Κρόνια] and reprints his restoration (*SEG* XLII 100) of *Agora* XV 81 LL. 5/6 τοῖς [θεοῖς] οἷς π[ά]τριον ἦν· ἔθυσαν δὲ καὶ τῇ Μητρὶ τῶν θεῶν τὰ Κρόνια], rejecting Meritt's suggestion (*Agora* XV 81 L. 6) [τοῖς δὲ Διὶ]. Robertson urges that Zeus and Kronos and Rhea have nothing to do with this festival, which honors exclusively the Mother of the Gods. She occupies her sanctuary in *Agora*: *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 234 L. 5; 138 LL. 11/12; 369 L. 91; 383 L. 50; II<sup>2</sup> 1817, 4595, 5134; and Kleidemos, *FGrH* no. 323 F 9, 29, 97. For a possible foundation *aition* of this sanctuary, see our lemma no. 367 (*IG* IV<sup>2</sup>.1.131).

124. Athens. Decree, ca. 265 B.C. Fragment of white marble, preserved at left, broken on all other sides; found in 1934 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. 1 1458. Ed.pr. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 89/90 no. 1 (ph.), who assigns it to his Cutter of *Agora* I 3238 and 4169, active 286/5–ca. 239 B.C. Tracy identifies the fragment as 'the bottom left citation from either a prytany or ephobic decree.'

[- - - σ]-  
 τράτ[ου] Λαμ]-  
 πτρέ[α]

vacant to bottom ca. 0.18 m

125. Athens. Decree, ca. 260 B.C. Fragment of gray marble, inscribed surface only preserved; found in 1935 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. 1 2581. Ed.pr. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 104–107 no. 1 (ph.), who assigns it to his Cutter of *Agora* I 6664, active 281/0–ca. 240 B.C. For the name of the chairman in L. 2, Tracy offers three possible identifications (107).

[- - -]Ν[- - -]  
 [- - -] Τιμανδρ[ο]s - - -  
 [- - -] ἔδοξεν [- - -]

Stoich.







- 20 {κκ}λησίαι, γνώμην δὲ ξυμβάλλεσθαι τῆς βουλῆς ε]-  
[ις] τὸν δῆμον [δ]τι δοκεῖ [τ]ῇ βουλῇ ἐπαινέσαι Αἰ]-  
[σχ]ίαν Ἀκροτ[ί]μον Περγαμηνὸν εὐνοίας ἔνεκεν τ]-  
[ῆς] πρὸς τὴν β[ου]λὴν καὶ τὸν δῆμον τὸν Ἀθηναίων κ]-  
24 [αἰ] δεδοσθαι [αὐ]τῶν καὶ ἐγγ[ό]νοις ἰσοτέλειαν κα]-  
[ι] ἔνκτησιν [οἰκ]ία[ς] τιμήμα[τος] . 4 . . εἰσαγαγεῖν]  
[δὲ] αὐτῶν [τὴν] δοκ[ι]μασίαν [τῆς] δωρεᾶς τοὺς θεσμο]-  
[θ]ε[τ]ία[ς] εἰς τὸ δι[κ]ία[ς] στήριον ὅταν ἀναπληρῶσι δικ]-  
[αστήρια· ἀναγ]ρ[ά]ψαι [δ]ε τὸ δὲ τὸ πῆψισμα ἐν στήλῃ]-  
28 [ι λιθίνῃ καὶ] σ[τ]ῆσαι ἐν [ἀκροπόλει, εἰς δὲ τὴν ἀν]-  
[άθεσιν μερίσαι] τὸν ἐπὶ τῇ διοικήσει τὸ γένδι]-  
[ενον ἀνάλωμα] vacant

9 [6. ἐπ[ε]λ[υ]θ[ι]ζεν], Tracy, a typographical slip. Richardson.]

On 137–141, Tracy reviews the complex textual history of IG II<sup>2</sup> 768 + 802, reviving some of the readings in A.R. Rangabé, *Antiquités helléniques* 2 (Athens 1855) 154–156 no. 461.

On the basis of this similarity in text, letter size, dimensions of the stele, stoichedon order, length, and the fact that the two decrees were both products of the same cutter, Tracy suggests that they were probably proposed by the same speaker at the same assembly. The fact that they are virtual twins, however, does not mean that they are duplicate copies of the same decree, for the honorands are different and they were praised for slightly different services to Athens.

131. Eleusis. Decree honoring [Philo]k[lo]mos of Eleusis, ca. 255–235 B.C. *I Eleusis* 185 (ed.pr.) S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 133, attributes this inscription to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C.

132. Athens. Decree concerning Antigonos Gonatas, 253/2 B.C. *SEG* XXI 380. *Agora* XVI 197. I. Kralli, in *Macedonians in Athens* 64, hesitates to connect this decree with the war against Alexandros, governor of Corinth and Buboia, pointing out that Meritt's reading 'Ἐλλήνων] in L. 3 is 'very uncertain'. The only clearly visible letter is the omega; this was also the view of Dr. J. Curbera and Dr. D. Jordan. See also our lemma no. 148 bis.

After briefly reviewing the possible occasions for this decree, S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 19/20, concludes that any time after his victory in the Chremonidean War 263/2 B.C. would have been appropriate for the Athenians to have voted divine honors for Antigonos Gonatas, although 'given the evidence for his strong direct control at the beginning of his rule, an early date appears very likely.'

133. Athens. Decree in honor of Parians and Olynthians, ca. 250 B.C.? IG II<sup>2</sup> 735. *SEG* XL 295. In *BCH* 127 (2003) 689, C.B. Kritzas briefly announces that a new fragment of this inscription has been moved from the Acropolis Museum (inv. no. MA 13785) to the

Epigraphical Museum (now EM 13507) and will be published by G. Malouchou. This same information is given by S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 115/116 (pb.), who assigns IG II<sup>2</sup> 735 to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 776, active ca. 255–ca. 240 B.C.; for this inscription and Lemnos, see our lemma no. 4.

In *Ἀττικάι Ἐπιγραφαὶ Wilhelm*, 185–198 (ph.), G.E. Malouchou publishes the ed.pr. of this new fragment of a stele of 'Hymettian' marble, found in 1988 in the emptying of the reservoir in the northeast colonnade of the Propylaea. She joins it to IG II<sup>2</sup> 735 to produce the following new text, in which the demos of the Athenians in Hephaisteia on Lemnos awards Athenian citizenship and other honors to four foreigners and secures ratification of these grants by sending an embassy to the Boule in Athens; cf. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1223 LL. 19–22. Malouchou suggests that the honorands may have been involved in the grain trade in Lemnos and that the most probable date would be between 281 B.C. and the Chremonidean War. On 196, she publishes a ph. of IG II<sup>2</sup> 776.

- a. [ . . . 7 . . . ] ΕΞ[ . . . ca. 15 . . . ]  
[ . 4 . . ] καὶ συμ[π]ρόεδροι· δεδοχθ[ . ]  
[αἰ τῶ]ι δῆμω[ι] . . . ca. 13 . . . ]  
4 [ . . ] ράτου Λαμ[π]τρεὺς εἴπειν· ν]  
[ὅ]περ ὅν οἱ τε σ[τ]ρατηγοὶ λέγο]-  
[υ]σιν καὶ ὁ δῆμος ὁ ἐν Ἡφαιστεί]-  
[α]ι· πρεσβεῖαν ἀποστείλας ἀξι]-  
8 σ[τ] τοῖς εὐεργετ[η]κόσιν καὶ χ]-  
ρεῖας παρεσχ[η]μένους, ὅπως]  
ἀν κύρια αἰ δωρεαὶ ᾧσιν· vacat]  
ἀγαθῇ τύχῃ δεδοχθαι τῇ βα].  
12 υλῇ τοὺς προέδ[ρ]ους οὔτινες]  
ἀν λάχωσιν πρό[ε]δρεῦν εἰς <τὴν πρῶ>]-  
τὴν ἐκκλησίαν [χρηματ]ίσαι]  
περὶ τούτων, γνώμην δὲ ξυμβά]-  
16 λλεσθαι τῆς β[ου]λῆς εἰς τὸν]  
δῆμον ὅτι δοκεῖ τῇ βουλῇ ἐπ]-  
αινέσαι ΜΕ[- . . . ca. 12 . . . -ἀν]-  
του Παριαν[ὸν καὶ τὸν Ἀδελφῶ]-  
20 ν αὐτοῦ Στ[ε]φ[αν]ο[ν] . . . ca. 8 . . . ] ἀν[τ]ου] b.  
Παριανὸν καὶ . . . 5-6 . . . ] ἐν[τ]ιν Ἀν[τ]ι[ο]χ[ί]τι]-  
δότου Ὀλυνθ[ίου καὶ] Νί[κ]ιω[να] Νί]-  
κοδρόμου Σ[- 3-4 -] ἑα καὶ σ[τ]εφαν]-  
24 [ῶ]σα[ι] ἑκάστων· αὐτῶν θ[ε]α[ν]τα[ν] αὐτῶν σ[τ]-  
εφάνων ἀρετῆς ἐνε[κ]ία καὶ εὐν]-  
[ο]ίας ἣν ἔχο[ν]τες διατε[λ]ουσιν]  
[εἰς τε τὸν δῆμον τὸν Ἀθ]ηναι-  
28 [ων καὶ Ἀθ]ηνα[ίων] τοὺς ἐν [τ]ῇ νῆ-  
[σ]ωι κατοικ[ο]ῦντας· καὶ εἶναι αὐ[τ]ο-

- 32 [οἷς κυρίας τ]ᾶς [δ]ωρ[εὰς τὰς δεδο[μ]-  
[έναις ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ ἐν Ἡφ]αι[-  
[στίαι· καὶ] γράψασθα[ι] φ[ι]ν[η]λῆς καὶ[ι]  
[δήμου καὶ φ]ρατρία[ς]. ἥς ἀ[ν] β[ι]σού[λ]-  
[ωνται· ἀναγρά]ψαι δὲ τότε τὸ [ψή]φ-  
[ισμα τὸν γραμμα]τέα τὸν κατ[ὰ] π-  
36 [ρυτανεῖαν ἐν σ]τήλῃ λιθινῇ[ι]  
[καὶ στήσαι ἐν ἀκρο]πόλει· εἰς δ[ε]  
[τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῆς σ]τήλης [μερί]-  
[σαι τοὺς? ἐπὶ τῇ διοι]κ[ή]σει [- 3-4 -]  
40 [- - - 16-18 - - -] [ITΩ] [- 2-3 -]  
[- - - 17-19 - - -] [ΔΗ] [- 2-3 -]  
[- - - - - - - - - - -]  
[- - - 17-19 - - -] [Δ] [- 3-4 -]  
-----

3/4. Perhaps [ἀείνων δεινὸν ν ν (στ)ράτου λαμ[πρὲς εἶπεν ν], C.Habicht *per ep.* ad Malouchou; cf. *Agora* XV 86 L. 27 || 13/14. Wilhelm; [εἰς] ἰ τὴν ἐκκλησίαν. Koehler II 1718. [ἐπ]ανέσσαι Με[- -]. K.; [ἐπ]ανέσσαι μέ[- -]. W. II 1920. W. II 23. e.g., Σ[ιγεί]α, Σ[ιων]ιά, Malouchou II 39/40. (τοὺς δὲ πρυτάνεις δοῦναι τοῖς δῆμοις τὴν ψήφον?). M. At the end, perhaps the demos in Athens honored the ambassadors from Lemnos, M.

134. Athens. Decree honoring ephebes, 249/8 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 681 + *SEG XL* 93. *SEG XLIX* 112; *SEG L* 144. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 21 note 20, 128, 133 note 5, 143, in assigning this decree to his Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C., observes that *SEG XL* 93 (*Agora I* 7160; Tracy, *Hesperia* 59 [1990] 543–547) and an unpublished fragment in the Epigraphical Museum of Athens, EM 12801, both belong to the decree that appeared above *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 681 and listed the ephebes from the year of Polyektos, 250/49 B.C. This invalidates Tracy's argument in the ed.pr. of *SEG XL* 93, that *Agora I* 7160 is to be dated to the time of the Chremonidean War. He states that EM 12801 is to be published by D.Peppas-Delmouzou.

135. Vacat.

136. Athens. Decree honoring Penteteris, priestess of Athena Polias, ca. 245 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 928. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 133, 141, assigns this inscription to 'in the style of' his Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C., which is roughly 50 years earlier than the date given in *IG II<sup>2</sup>* for this inscription and for *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 2800, 3470–3472, 3864, and 4285–4288, which are tied to it through prosopography. Tracy proposes the above date for this whole ensemble. In *F. Delphes* III.2.23 Col. II L. 21, the ephebe Εὐμαρείδης Εὐφάνου of 138/7 B.C. will, therefore, not be the son of the general Εὐφάνης Ἐπιγέου of Eponymon honored by the Salaminians in

*IG II<sup>2</sup>* 2800, but a more distant relative. The same is true for Ἀλκίδαμος Εὐφάνου (*F. Delphes* III.2.33), probably his brother. Both served as mint magistrates in 113 B.C. (C.Habicht, *Chiron* 21 [1991] 9).

137. Athens. Decree, ca. 240 B.C. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 776. *SEG XLVII* 33; L. 18, 1716. In this namepiece of his Cutter of *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 776, active ca. 255–ca. 240 B.C., S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 112–117 (ph.), notes that in L. 16 the beta of the archon's name is incomplete; only iota stands on the stone. The nu of τὴν in L. 22 is inscribed over sigma; the cutter originally wrote ΤΗΣ.

138. Decree in honor of sitophylakes, 238/7 B.C. *Agora XVI* 194. *SEG XXXIII* 117. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 86 (ph.), assigns this inscription to his Cutter of *Agora I* 3238 and 4169, active 286/5–ca. 239 B.C., and notes that 'a significant new fragment of this text will be published by C.B. Kritzas.' Cf. *Agora XVI*, p. 283.

139. Athens. Decree honoring ephebes, ca. 235 B.C. Two joining fragments of 'Hymettian' marble found in 1937 in the Agora Excavations; *Agora inv.* no. I 4495. Ed.pr. W.K. Pritchett, *Hesperia* 16 (1947) 185–187 no. 92 (ph.), who suggested the above date on the basis of the prosopography of Dromeus of Erchia, L. 8 (*Hesperia* 11 [1942] 290 L. 36; *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 787 L. 23, cf. *PA* 4023) and Euthykrates Euthymachou, L. 10 (*IG II<sup>2</sup>* 678 L. 27).

- vacat 0.07 m  
οἱ ἐφηβεύς[αντες ἐπὶ - - - ἀρχοντος]  
[- - -]  
4 Καλλ[ίας . . .] νανος [- - -]  
[Ε]ρεχθε[ίδ]ος  
Ἀντίδωρος Ε[ὐ]δήμου Ε[ὐ]ωνυμεύς]  
Ἀλκίτης [Δι]ονυσίου Κ[η]φισιεύς]  
Αἰγεῖδος  
8 [Διοκλ]ῆ[ς] Δ[ρο]μέου Ἐρχι[εύς]  
[. . . ? . . .] μος Φιλίππου [- - -]  
Εὐθυκράτης Εὐθυμάχο[υ] Ἀλαιοεύς]  
Πανδιονίδος  
12 Λεωδάμεις Ἀριστομάχο[υ] - - -]  
[. . . ? . . .] μος Διοκλεῖου[- - -]  
Κάλλ[ι]ππο[ς] Δε[ξ]ίθρο[ς] [- - -]  
Α[ε]ων[ι]δ[ος]  
16 [ . . . . . 13 . . . . . ] ο[- - -]  
[ . . . . . ] πε[- - -]  
[Ἀκαμα]ντίδης]  
-----







145. Athens. Decree concerning Julius Nikanor, date? IG II<sup>2</sup> 1119 + SEG XXXI 108. SEG XLIX 133.\* *Agora XVI* 337. C.B.Kritzas, *BCH* 127 (2003) 689, briefly notes that 5 fragments of this inscription have been moved from the Stoa of Attalos (inv. nos. Agora I 6132a, 6132b, 1059, 179, 6387) to the Epigraphical Museum (now EM 13504, 13501, 13504a, 13504b, 13504y). The whole will be published by S.Follet; cf. *BE* (1999) 211.

146. Halai Aixonides. Deme decree, 290/89 B.C. or 270–250 B.C. SEG XLIX 141. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 1, 45, 168, in discussing the archonship of Aristion (SEG XXIV 156; see our lemma no. 154), prefers a date for the 'new' archon Ambrosios between 270 and 250 B.C. and not in 290/89 B.C. where the ed.pr. G.Steinbauer placed him.

M.J.Osborne, in *Macedonians in Athens* 67/68, in proposing a new arrangement of Athenian archons in the 290s (see our lemma no. 9), also suggests that Ambrosios should more plausibly be dated later in the 3rd cent. B.C.

147. Athens. Deme decree of Aixone honoring the hieropoloi of Hebe, 320/19 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1119. SEG XXXII 143; XLVI 154. A.Makres, in *Lettered Attica* 78–84 (ph.), reprints the IG text (no changes) and focuses on the identity of the three σωφρονιστῆς in LL 17–22. Rejecting the possibility that these are state officials connected with the Athenian ephebeia, she suggests that they may have 'presided over a local institution concerning the youths of Aixone, an institution that may have preceded the establishment of the central ephebeia.'

148. Rhamnous. Honorary decree, 3rd cent. B.C. SEG LI 130. P.Gauthier, *BE* (2004) 169, doubts the validity of the restoration in LL 4/5. He suggests that the upsilon at the end of L 4 is uncertain (no ph.) and proposes ὅπως ἂν οὖν ἐπ' αὐτῷ μίλλον εἰ πᾶσι τοῖς τεταρταίοις.

148 bis. Rhamnous. Decree in honor of Antigonos Gonatas, before 236/5 B.C. SEG XLI 75. Petrakos, *Δήμος τοῦ Ραμνουῦνος* II no. 7. SEG XLVI 159.\* 1137; XLVIII 708; XLIX 4; L 1716. I.Krall, in *Macedonians in Athens* 61–66, examines the political and military relations of Antigonos Gonatas with Athens and with the deme of Rhamnous, suggesting that the most likely occasion for the divine honors awarded to Antigonos Gonatas in this decree would have been the end of the war with Alexandros ca. 245 B.C. See also our lemma no. 132.

149. Rhamnous. Decree of the deme of Rhamnous in honor of the general Dikaiarchos, 235/4 B.C. SEG XXV 155; XL 145; XLIX 4, 147. Petrakos, *Δήμος τοῦ Ραμνουῦνος* II no. 17. In *Macedonians in Athens* 52–55, C.Habicht points out that in his Hamburg thesis of 1998, 'Die Garnison der Festung Rhamnos in Attika im dritten Jahrhundert v.Chr.' (non vidimus). R.Oetjen demonstrated that this inscription refers to the period between 261 and 255 B.C. and

cannot be used as evidence that the Macedonians under Antigonos Gonatas maintained control over the garrison at Rhamnos 255–229 B.C.

150. Athens. Decree of the klerouchoi on Lemnos, 148/7–134/3 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1224. SEG XLIII 45.\* 584; XLIV 1732 bis; XLVII 7, 13, 1325; L 1685. L.Beschi, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 195, in a summary of the decrees found on Lemnos in the sanctuary of Artemis near Myrina (IG XII.8.2–11), cites IG II<sup>2</sup> 1224 LL 23/24 ἀναγράφει τὸ ὅδε τὸ ψήφισμα [εἰς] στήλας λιθίνας διετέτα [καὶ] στήσαι τὴν μὲν μίαν Ἀθήνησιν ἐν] ἀκροπόλει, τὴν [δὲ] ἑτέραν ἐν Μυρίνῃ ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ] τῆς Ἀρτέμιδος[ς] as evidence for the identification of this shrine. See also our lemma no. 4 and SEG XLIII 584 (Lemnos).

151. Athens. Decree of Athenian volunteers in honor of Demetrios Poliorketes, 303/2 B.C.? SEG XXV 149. SEG XLI 85.\* ISE 1.7. T.M.Brogan, in *Macedonians in Athens* 194–205, reviews the epigraphical evidence (IG II<sup>2</sup> 1011 and 2791) and the archaeological, architectural, and sculptural remains relating to the nature and position of the bronze portrait statue of Demetrios authorized by this decree. After examining several previous theories, he concludes that it stood on the square conglomerate base in front of, i.e., east of, the Royal Stoa.

152. Rhamnous. Decree of the kryptoi in honor of a general of the paralia, 250–200 B.C. SEG LI 119. In *BE* (2004) 169, P.Gauthier points out that the proposed restoration in LL 14/15 results in a line significantly longer than the rest and suggests διετέλεσε [δὲ] χρεῖαν vel χρεῖας παρεχόμενος].

153. Athens. Two documents of the *genos* of the Salaminioi, 363/2 and 250/49 or 247/6 B.C. SEG XXI 527. SEG LII 134.\* *Agora XIX* L4. In M.Dillon (ed.), *Religion in the Ancient World: New Themes and Approaches* (Amsterdam 1996) 440–444, N.Robertson traces the sacrifice to Apollo *paraos*, Leto, Artemis, and Athena *agelae* in *Agora XIX* L4a LL 89/90 back to Sounion, to the ancient sanctuary of Athena, the role of Sounion in Leto's travail, and the Salaminians' observance of this ritual. These four deities shared an altar at Zoster; cf. also the association of Ἀπόλλων Ζωστήρ and Ἀθηνᾶ Ζωστήρια in IG I<sup>3</sup> 369. On 445–450, he analyzes the legends, rituals, and sacrifices at Phaleron associated with Ποσειδῶν ἱπποδρόμιος, Φαίαξ, Τεύκρος, and Νάυσειρος in Boedromion (LL 90/91) (Kybernesia = Oschophoria) and those for Ἀθηνᾶ Σκιδράς and Ἑκίρος in Maimakterion (LL 93/94).

In *ASAA* 81.1 (2003) [2004] 83–152, S.Batino strives to present a study on this topic that does not privilege merely one aspect of the sources—historical, epigraphical, or archaeological—'ma punti invece a tenere in considerazione le diverse prospettive, nell'ottica di una visione più completa e per questo maggiormente attendibile sul piano della possibilità ermeneutica' (83). Her epigraphical focus is on IG I<sup>3</sup> 972; IG II<sup>2</sup> 1232, 2345; SEG XXI 527 (= *Agora XIX* L4a); *Agora XIX* L4b; SEG XXXIII 115. She divides her study into the



following sections: I, the origin of the *genos* of the Salaminioi (85–95). Definition of Ἀρχαιοσαλαμινίων, γένος, οἶκος, and Εὐπατρίδαι. Batino places the origin of the *genos* of the Salaminioi in the city of Athens itself. II, the cults. II.1, the urban cults: Eurysakes (97/98), the Aglauros (98–100), Zeus Phratrion, Apollo Patroos, and Athena Agelaa (100/101). II.2, the cults at Phaleron: Athena Skira, Theseus, Oskophoria (101–115). Poseidon and the Kybermasia, SEG XXI 527 (= *Agora* XIX L4a LL. 90/91) (115–119), and the Herakleion ἐν Περθμῷ, for which Batino does not believe there is sufficient evidence to decide in favor of a location at Sounion or at Salamis (119–124). III, the *genos* in the physical setting of the city (124–130).

Analysis of the evidence in the *poletai* accounts for members of the *genos* of the Salaminioi engaged in the leasing of mines (130); speculation that the Alkmeonidai belonged to the *genos* of the Salaminioi and had close ties to Salamis ('un solido tritico Salamina-*genos* Salaminioi-Alkmeonidi') (130–139). [In the end, there is not a great deal of substantive analysis of specific inscriptions in this very long article. Surround.]

• See also our lemma no. 1980.

154. Eleusis. Decree of a *thiasos* in honor of Paidikos, 291/0 B.C. SEG XXIV 156; XXXII 149, 348. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 38–43 (ph.), in assigning this inscription to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1262, active ca. 320–ca. 290 B.C., rejects the date of 238/7 B.C. and the Demetrian War for the archonship of Aristion and does not find a suitable place for him, and for the war mentioned in LL. 2–4, in the Athenian revolt against Demetrios Poliorketes 288/7 B.C. Tracy favors the date 291/0 B.C. for the archonship of Aristion, at a time when the Aitolians seized Delphi and attacked Attica and when the Athenians and the Macedonian garrison at Eleusis were working together. For the archonship of Ambrosios, possibly in 290/89 B.C., SEG XLIX 141, see our lemma no. 146.

For M.J.Osborne's discussion of this inscription and his date of 291/0 B.C. for Aristion, see our lemma no. 9.

155. Peiraeus. Two decrees of a *thiasos* of the Mother of the Gods, 265/4 B.C.? IG II<sup>2</sup> 1273. SEG LI 165 \* M.J.Osborne, in *Attikai Epigraphai* Wilhelm 199–211 (ph.), argues for the above date in the archonship of Euboulos II; see our lemma no. 9.

156. Peiraeus. Decree of orgeones of Bendis, ca. 240 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1284. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 125, briefly alludes to some uncertainty in the Cutter of SEG II 9, active 251/0–ca. 240 B.C., in respect to the spelling of the noun 'orgeones' in this inscription, i.e., ὀργεῶνες in LL. 1, 7, 11, 15, 28, 31; ὀργεῶνας in L. 9, in which he erased ΕΙ and inscribed Υ, ὀργ[υ]ῶνας; and in L. 23, where epsilon is superscribed on apparently Υ, ὀργεῶνας originally. The correct reading in L. 20 is ὀργεῖ, not ὀργεῖν.

157. Salamis. Decree of the *thiasotai* of Bendis, 249/8 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1317b. SEG XLIV 60; XLVII 237. In assigning this inscription to his Cutter of SEG II 9, active 251/0–ca. 240 B.C., S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 120–126 (ph.), notes that in L. 5 the correct reading is περὶ τὸ ἱερὸν τὸ τῆς Βενδίδος and in L. 8 ἀναθεῖσσαν, as in L.L.Threutte, *Grammar of Attic Inscriptions*, II. *Morphology* (Berlin 1996) 464.

158. Salamis. Decree of the *thiasotai* of Bendis, ca. 240 B.C. SEG XLIV 60. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 121, 127 (ph.), notes the following corrections in the text: L. 3, the cutter inscribed only one mu in γραμματεὺς; L. 4, read τῶν τε θυσιῶν; L. 8, the numeral is set off by a blank space both before and after. Tracy assigns this inscription to his Cutter of SEG II 9, active 251/0–ca. 240 B.C.

159. Salamis. Decree of *thiasotai* praising their epimeletai, 245/4 B.C. SEG II 9, SEG XLIV 60; XLVII 237; L. 1. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 120–124 (ph.), reprints the text of LL. 14–26 and postulates that in Col. I, two entries from two archonships are missing. He thus infers the following fixed sequence of archons: Polyseuktos–Hieron–Diomedon–unknown archon–[Theophemos]–Kydenor–[one missing archon?–Eurykleides. In the year of Diomedon, the threat to the safety of Athens mentioned in IG II<sup>2</sup> 791 refers to the war waged by Alexander son of Krateros, governor of Corinth and Chalkis, against his uncle King Antigonos; cf. also IG II<sup>2</sup> 774, 845, 1225. Tracy makes this inscription the namepiece of his Cutter of SEG II 9, active 251/0–ca. 240 B.C. (see our lemma no. 40). On 167, Tracy assigns dates to all of the above archons (see our lemma no. 9).

M.J.Osborne, in *Macedonians in Athens* 72/73 (see our lemma no. 9), concentrates on the two columns of names of archons that follow the text of the decree at the now broken bottom of the stele. He argues that it is not established that Theophemos was the immediate predecessor of Kydenor, as has been assumed by many. Citing SEG II 10 and SEG XLIV 60 as evidence, Osborne calculates that the stele would have had entries for ten archons, five in each of the two columns, ending at bottom right with the entry for Lysidates.

160. Athens. Decree of the *thiasotai* of Pankrates in praise of Philon and Theokomos, ca. 304–271 B.C. SEG XLI 83, 247C. In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Congress of Greek and Latin Epigraphy*, Athens 1982 II (Athens 1987) 300, A.G.Kaloyeropoulou mentioned this inscription. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 51, briefly assigns the inscription to his Cutter of Agora I 4266, active ca. 304–271 B.C. Still unpublished; still no text.

161. Athens. Decree of *thiasotai*, 251/0 B.C. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 133, assigns this unpublished inscription, found in excavations of the sanctuary of Herakles in Pangrati, to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C. No further details.

162. Salamis. Decree of a *thiasos*, 251/0 B.C. SEG II 10. SEG XLIV 60. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 121–126 (ph.), assigns this inscription to his Cutter of SEG II 9, active 251/0–ca. 240 B.C., and prints the following text of LL 10–12, including the blank spaces left by the cutter but not recorded in the ed.pr. 'The spaces clearly mark off each officer and reveal that Ἐλευσίνιον is not the name of the priest (as the initial editor published it), but rather the demotic (uniquely included) of the secretary Stratokles. The name of the priest was never inscribed, apparently because the cutter did not know it; thus, the sixth crown, which was reserved for his name, has no name inscribed in it.'

ῥόθμον ἐπιμελητὴν Ὀνήσιμον ἐπιμελητὴν Ὀφελίωνα ἐπι-  
μελητὴν ταμίαν Δόκιμον γραμματέα Στρατοκλῆν Ἐλευσί-  
12 νιον ἱερέα νεαῖ

163. Peiraeus. Two decrees of the *orgeones* of Kybele, 183/2 and 175/4 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1328. SEG XXV 159; XXXII 348; XLIX 14. N.D.Robertson, in *Cybele* 261/262, briefly discusses the rite of στρωπώννυι θρόνον in the sanctuary of the Mother of the Gods in Peiraeus, with parallels from other places. Cf. also IG II<sup>2</sup> 6288.

163 bis. Athens. Honorary decree of the Dionysiac artists for Ariarathes V and Nysa, ca. 157–130 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1330. Republished by S.Aneziri, *Die Vereine der dionysischen Techniten im Kontext der hellenistischen Gesellschaft. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte, Organisation und Wirkung der hellenistischen Technitenvereine* (Stuttgart 2003) (see SEG LI 2279) 344–347 no. A3, who suggests the following restorations. LL 6/7 [ἀ]πεδέξατο φιλοφρόνας . . . τοῦ ἐπιμελητῆ τοῦ [καὶ Μενελάου ποιητοῦ τραγωιδίων], LL 29/30 [τὸν ἐπιμελητὴν καὶ Μενέλαον] ποιητὴν τραγικόν.

163 ter. Athens. Honorary decree of the Dionysiac artists, after 125/4 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1332. Republished on the basis of autopsy by S.Aneziri, *Die Vereine* (see our lemma no. 163 bis) 352/353 no. A9, who gives the following text for LL 4, 7–11.

4 [- ca. 5 -] ἐν οἷς λόγοις δραχμα[- . . . . .]  
[.] τοῦ [ιε]ροῦ τοῦ ἀρχαίου τῶν πασα[- . . . .]  
8 [κ]αὶ ἀνευρών . . . ΣΑ.Ε μέχ[ρι] τε [- . . . .] δι' ἐτῶν  
πλειόνων καὶ πάσαν δαπάνην κ[- . . . . .]  
[.] δεξιόμενος πρὸς τινας δυνατο[- . . . . .]  
οὐσα τὸ ἱερὸν κτλ.

<sup>4</sup> ἐν τοῖς λο[γ]οῖς δραχμα[- .]. IG II 8. init [.] καί, IG II 9. δαπάνην [- .]. IG II 10. [.] δεξιόμενος. IG II 11. init. οὐσα[ι], IG.

164. Eleusis. Decree of the *synodos* of the *technitai* of Dionysos, 78/7 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1338. SEG XXXVIII 133; XLII 1776; LI 2279; LII 33. On the edition, translation, and commentary on this inscription by B.Le Guen, *Les associations de technites dionysiaques à l'époque hellénistique I* (Nancy 2001) 123–126 no. 15, S.Follet, *BE* (2003) 300, notes that in L 8 we should punctuate after τιμῶν; LL 9/10 should be translated 'et, après avoir établi un autel et aménagé un enclos sacré à Éleusis, d'y accomplir libations et péans'; LL 10/11, not 'cela ayant duré' but 'ces fêtes ayant été suspendues pendant un assez grand nombre d'années,' the state of the altar and the *temenos* being 'en raison de la situation générale' (Sulla); L 21 'exhorté' rather than 'enjoint'; LL 29/30, in keeping with 'un discours d'éloge,' is not rendered adequately in Le Guen's translation; L 35 εὐφοραῖς should be 'contributions volontaires' and χορηγίας should be 'générosités'. Follet also notes that *Agora* XVI 330 and IG II<sup>2</sup> 1341 ought to be added to the Attic documents of the 1st cent. B.C. concerning the Dionysiac *technitai*. Republished by S.Aneziri, *Die Vereine* (see our lemma no. 163 bis) no. A12.

165. Athens. Decree of the *synodos* of the *technitai* of Dionysos, 125/4 B.C.? IG II<sup>2</sup> 1332. SEG LI 2279; LII 33. S.Follet, *BE* (2003) 300, prefers the dating 109/8 B.C., not 125/4 B.C., as in B.Le Guen, *Les associations de technites dionysiaques à l'époque hellénistique* (Nancy 2001) no. 16. Republished by S.Aneziri, *Die Vereine* (see our lemma no. 163 bis) no. A9.

166. Athens. Decree of Thyateira regarding the benefactions of Hadrian, after 132 A.D. IG III 3985 + IG II<sup>2</sup> 1088 + 1090. TAM V 2.1180. SEG XLVII 163. \* In *An.Ép.* (1999) [2002] 1479, there is a reprint of C.P.Jones' new text (SEG XLVII 163), with French translation and summary of his interpretation of this inscription. S.Follet adds that, on the basis of Pausanias 1.18.9, she prefers a setting in the Pantheon, not the Panhellenion.

167. Athens. Decree, 1st/2nd cent. A.D. SEG XLVII 144; L 154.\* Unpublished. In the Epigraphical Museum of Athens, inv. no. EM 13497. Briefly noted, with ph., in *BCH* 127 (2003) 689 (ph.); no text.

168. Athens. Honorary decree. In excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Hermes and Arion Streets, 1.Tsirigioti-Drakotou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 72, tantalizingly mentions the discovery of a marble column inscribed with an honorary decree; no text, no ph., no inv. no., no further details. [See my remarks under our lemma no. 268. Stroud.]

169. Athens. Incertum; decree? ca. 305–ca. 275 B.C. Small fragment of white marble, broken on all sides; found in 1938 in the Agora Excavations, inv. no. I 5391. Ed.pr. S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 68–70 no. 2 (ph.), who assigns it to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 657, active ca. 305–ca. 275 B.C.

[- - -]ENA[- - -]  
[- - -]TOY[- - -]  
[- - -]KA[- - -]  
4 [- - -]ΣΩ[- - -]

169 bis. Athens. Incertum, decree? S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 133, assigns this unpublished fragment, EM 3381, to his Cutter of *IG II*<sup>2</sup> 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C. No text; no further details.

170. Olympos (Phrearrhioi). Lex sacra of the deme Phrearrhioi, ca. 300–250 B.C. SEG XXXV 113. SEG L 170.\* In *Lettered Attica* 69–77. E. Lupu, after autopsy of the stone, prints the following new text, yielding 'only sporadic, minor adjustments to Vanderpool's edition,' with English translation, detailed notes on readings and restorations, and a commentary primarily on the meaning of  $\mu\alpha\sigma\chi\alpha\lambda\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$  in L. 16/17. He follows K. Clinton's 'minimalist statement [in R. Hagg (ed.), *The Role of Religion in the Early Greek Polis* (Stockholm 1996) 122] that we have here a sacrifice to Demeter Thesmophoros in an Eleusinion.' (73) Drawing on Homeric descriptions of sacrifice and definitions in the lexicographers, Lupu interprets  $\mu\alpha\sigma\chi\alpha\lambda\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$  as first-fruit offerings consisting of small pieces of raw meat, possibly taken from the shoulder and armpit ( $\mu\alpha\sigma\chi\acute{\alpha}\lambda\eta$ ), and placed upon thighbones of the victim previously wrapped in fat and burnt on the altar.

4  
8  
12  
16  
20

[...<sup>6</sup>... τῶν ἱεροποιῶν [-... Δή-  
μητρι Θεσμοφόρῳ ὃν πρ[-...  
[...<sup>6</sup>... πρὸς τάντωνσαν κα[-...  
[...<sup>6</sup>...] αδος τῷ λαμπαδεῖ[ωι  
ἱερέωσι] να κλήρῳ πλευρόν ἱ<σ>χ[ίον ----- οἱ ἱε-  
ροποιοὶ καὶ οὐ κλήρῳ δαινύσθω[σιν  
[... Ἰ] λυτοῖτα θυόντασαν κρ<ι>ό[σιν ----- τοῖς  
[δη]μοῖταις μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων καὶ ν [-...  
[... τ] ὄν ἐν τῷ Ἐλεν<σ>νίῳ βαμόν [-... τῶν ἄκ-  
[ω] λούθῳ ἱεροποιὸς ἀφίετο ΤΑΣ[-...  
[... ε] πειδὼν αἱ ἱερεῖαι ποιήσω[σιν  
[... Φρεα<ρ>ρίων θυόντωνσαν τῇ Δήμητρι -----  
[...] ωι καὶ τῇ Κόρη βοῦμ ἄρρε[να  
[...] καὶ εὐν τι ἄλλο βούλονται ν ν [-... νό]-  
μι<μ>όν ἐστιν ἐπὶ δὲ τοὺς βαμούς [-...  
[... μ] ἡρώδης μασχαλίσματα ἡμίκ<ρ>αιραν -----  
[... ηρώδης μασχαλίσματα ἡμίκραιραν -----  
ἐπὶ τοῦ βαμοῦ ἐν τῷ Ἐλεσινίῳ [-... τῷ τ]-  
οῦ Πλουτωνος βαμῷ ἱερέωσιν [-...  
οῖν τῶν βω<μ>ῶν τῇ ἱερεῖαι κα[ὶ ----- πλε[...]

ὑρὸν ἰσχυόν III τοῦ ἱερείου [- ..... ξ]-  
 ὕλα ἐπὶ τὸν χύτρον παρελ[χ ..... ἐν τῇ]  
 24 ἰ αὐλῇ τοῦ Ἑλευσινίου [ν ..... ]  
 ΥΣ + Ν δᾶϊδα καὶ τῶν ΗΓ [- ..... δ]-  
 αἰδα διδόντωνσαν Γ [- ..... ]  
 [-]ς καὶ τοῦ Ἰάκχου Ι [- ..... ]  
 [-]οι< > τῇ δὲ ἐβδό[μῃ] [- ..... ]  
 28 [-] καὶ τῆς μουσι[κῆς] [- ..... ]  
 [-<sup>5</sup>...] τὸν βωμ[ὸν] [- ..... ]  
 [-<sup>6</sup>...] ΕΝΟΙ [- ..... ]  
 [-<sup>7</sup>...] ΕΜΙ [- ..... ]  
 32 [-<sup>8</sup>...] Ο [- ..... ]

171. Athens. **Ἐξάγιστα** objects in Athenian inventories, 4th cent. B.C. In *Glotta* 79 (2003) 66–82. E. Kosmetatou compiles a catalogue of all known examples of objects labelled as **Ἐξάγιστα** in Athenian inventories. These include mixed, unmarked gold, mystery items in a bronze chest bearing the public seal, and silver objects described as **σῖγλοι** and **ἀσσοί**. She follows Woodward in identifying the latter two objects as earrings and a type of jewelry, respectively. After exploration of the etymology of **Ἐξάγιστα** and several examples in Greek literature, she concludes that the objects in question were possibly confiscated and reached their resting place in the temple treasuries as a result of some act of defilement of the gods. From her catalogue of inscriptions (67/68), we single out the following: *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1388 + 1408a+b + 1403 + EM 6790; *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1400, 1401, 1445, 1453, 1444.

For cameos in these inventories, see our lemma no. 2163.

172. Athens. Inventories of the Treasurers of Athena and the Other Gods, 306/5 B.C. *JG* II<sup>2</sup> 1492 + *SEG* XXXII 159. *SEG* XLVIII 140:° LI 2302. In *Macedonians in Athens* 164–168, P.G. Themelis takes up the topic of the dedications of Rhoxane, wife of Alexander the Great, which are listed in *LI* 51–63, and suggests that she offered these objects to Athena Polias in 319 B.C., possibly at the same time that Alexander son of Polyperchon dedicated a panoply to Athena on the Acropolis (*JG* II<sup>2</sup> 1473). Themelis comments (165–168) in detail on the word περιπαρχηλίδιον—which first appears in these inventories in *JG* II<sup>2</sup> 1407 L. 9 (385/4 B.C.) crescent-shaped pectorals, examples of which he illustrates from Skythian tombs.

In ZPE 146 (2004) 75–80, E. Kosmetatou, writing independently of Themelis, takes up this same passage, printing the following new text of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1492A LL. 45–57.



- [... 7 ...] M[... 14 ... οἰνοχό-  
 [ην χρυσ]ῶν ἀν[έθηκεν βασιλέως Ἀλεξ-  
 άνδρου γυνή Π[ρωξάνη Ἀθηναί Πολιάδι·]  
 48 στα : ΗΗΡΑΔΔΔΔ[... ἀριθμὸς συμμάντων]  
 χρυσῶν ΔΔΔΓ[... 19 ...]  
 κεφάλαιον σ[ταθμοῦ χρυσῶν XXX ... ?]  
 vacat  
 52 ῥυτὸν χρυσοῦν λιθοκόλλητον ἐν[ανέ]-  
 θηκεν βασιλ[έως Ἀλεξάνδρου γυνή Πρω]-  
 ξάνη Ἀθηναί [Πολιάδι· στα ... 8 ... πε]-  
 ρι[τρα]χήλια [χρυσά λιθοκόλλητα II ἀ]-  
 56 [νέθηκεν βασι[λέως Ἀλεξάνδρου γυνή]-  
 [ή Πρωξάνη Ἀθηναί Πολιάδι· στα ... 7 ...]

Stoich. 28–29

57. We add the first closing bracket to Kosmetatou's text, following IG. Richardson.

Kosmetatou provides a detailed commentary on the gold vessels and their weights and also examines the etymology of περιτραχήλιον, including examples in papyri and the lexicographers. ['On peritachelia see E Kosmetatou, Περιτραχήλιον/περιτραχηλίδιον in the Athenian Inventory Lists (forthcoming) 76 note 4.] Kosmetatou dates these dedications of Rhoxane no more precisely than between 327 and 316 B.C. after detailed discussion of the pros and cons for more precise chronology proposed by previous scholars.

173. Athens. Inventories of the Treasurers of Athena, ca. 330 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1498–1501A. SEG L 176: \* LI 2302. E Kosmetatou, *Tyche* 18 (2003) 33–45, reprints the text of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1498 LL 1–22, with the following changes in LL 19–22.

- 12 [ς θεο]ῦ ἐπὶ διοκλέους· στηλίδια χα[μίων τῶν ἄλλων κ]-  
 [αὶ τῶν ταμιῶν τῆς θε]οῦ ἔχοντες, ὄντα [δύο - - ca. 8 - - , τ]-  
 20 [κατον] ταμιῶν τῆς θεοῦ· διὰ λ[ίθον - - ca. 14 - - ]  
 [... τῶν] ταμιῶν τῆς θεοῦ ἐπὶ [... - - ca. 11 - - - στηλῇ ταμ]-  
 [ίων τῆς θεο]ῦ κ[αὶ τῶν ἄλλων [... - - ca. 19 - - - ]  
 [οντος· στηλῇ τ]αμιῶν τῶν ἄ[λλων - - ca. 8 - - ]ε[ - - ca. 7 - - ]

Kosmetatou interprets χαμαὶ πλαγίᾳ as 'on the ground sideways' or 'slanting, on the ground' and envisions several stelai removed from their bases and lined up slanting against a wall. The 14 smaller στηλίδια were presumably not placed in the same way. The stelai, she suggests, were made of stone and recorded inventories made by the tamiai of Athena and of the other gods. The archon dates in IG II<sup>2</sup> 1498–1501A, e.g., LL 6, 8, 9, 11, were presumably taken from the preambles of these earlier inventories, some of them from the late 5th cent. B.C. In speculating on the contents of these lists, she favors the restoration [τάδε χρήματα] καὶ κει[μήλια], 'possessions and heirlooms', over previous supplements by Kirchner and D. Harris.

After her analysis of the descriptions of the numerous statues listed in this inventory on the basis of size, location, material, and preservation, Kosmetatou concludes that it is not to be ruled out that these and other objects were still kept in sanctuaries and were not necessarily about to be melted down. This is not a καθαιρεσις document, but rather an ἐξετάσις, probably connected with the control exercised over the sanctuary of Athena by Lykourgos in accordance with the new law περὶ τῆς ἐξετάσεως passed at this time. [Kosmetatou often calls IG II<sup>2</sup> 1498–1501A a 'decree', but it seems to be a straightforward inventory without decree features. Stroud.]

C.M. Keesling, *The Votive Statues of the Athenian Acropolis* (Cambridge 2003) (see our lemma no. 13 bis in fine) 259 note 95, points out that if the bronze statues in question were portraits, then the restoration [ἀγάλματα] instead of [ἀναθήματα] cannot be correct. Ἀγάλμα at this time indicates only gods and heroes.

174. Brauron. Inventories of women's clothing dedicated to Artemis, 4th cent. B.C. Cf. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1514–1531. SEG LII 140. \* Stressing that inferences from these inscriptions, found in the years 1948–1963 and still unpublished, must remain tentative, G. Ekroth, *Kernos* 16 (2003) 60, 70–72 (see our lemma no. 103), on the basis of scattered references in preliminary reports and elsewhere, observes that many of these stelai contain inventories of jewelry and women's clothing that copy, e.g., IG II<sup>2</sup> 1514–1516 from the sanctuary of Brauronian Artemis on the Acropolis in Athens. She rejects the view of S. Johnston, SEG XLIX 164, that the several references in these inventories to unfinished garments—e.g., IG II<sup>2</sup> 1514 LL 53/54, 59, 72; 1516 LL 30, 35, 45; 1517 LL 160/161; 1518 LL 53/54, 67/68, 76, 91; 1522 L 26; 1524 LL 161/162, 213, 231, 234—are to be identified as the clothes dedicated to Iphigeneia by women who died in childbirth, as mentioned in Euripides, *IT* 1464–1467. Ekroth points out that the garments in this passage are not unfinished, and she prefers the view of T. Linders, *Studies in the Treasure Records of Artemis Brauronia Found in Athens* (Stockholm 1972) 17–19, that the unfinished clothes and the wool referred to in the inscriptions are 'symbolic dedications to Artemis in her aspect as a protector of handicraft and weaving.'

G. Despinis, *MDAI(A)* 119 (2004) [2005] 261–315 (ph.), cites at the outset the view of the excavator of Brauron, I. Papademetriou (PAAH [1950] 187) that the statues named in the inventories from the sanctuary of Brauronian Artemis on the Acropolis—the ἔδος τὸ ἀρχαῖον, the ἄγαλμα τὸ ὀρθόν, the ἄγαλμα τὸ ἐστηκός, the ἄγαλμα, and the ἔδος τὸ λίθινον—were all separate statues to be found at the sanctuary of Artemis at Brauron where they were originally named and described on stelai, of which numerous fragments have been discovered in the excavations at Brauron. These stelai at Brauron are the originals, of which the inscriptions from the sanctuary of Brauronian Artemis on the Acropolis are copies. The statue of Artemis by Praxiteles, seen by Pausanias (1.23.7) in the Brauronian on the Acropolis, has no connection with the statues named in the inventories. Despinis undertakes a long and very detailed defense of the positions taken by Papademetriou and also publishes numerous fragments of stone sculpture from the excavations at Brauron that he associates with the several statues listed above and named in the inscriptions (276–287). He notes that the 'new' inscriptions found in Papademetriou's excavations at Brauron, whose publication is being



Clinton estimates the total cost of the project as about one talent, which he considers too large a sum for Athens to have expended on construction at Eleusis in the troubled times of 285/4 B.C. He supports a date in 354/3 B.C., the archonship of the earlier Diotimos, contemporary with other construction in the sanctuary, e.g., IG II<sup>2</sup> 1666, and with the publication of the lengthy law SEG XXX 61, *Agora* XVI 56, that regulated the Mysteries and the sanctuary. It was also roughly at this time that Athens issued a new festival bronze coinage featuring Eleusinian symbols. He finds the prosopography and the letterforms of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1682 compatible with the earlier date and establishes a close connection with IG II<sup>2</sup> 1683 (see our lemma no. 181). Clinton rejects efforts to use the evidence of IG II<sup>2</sup> 1682 in support of the theory that Athens repossessed Eleusis from the Macedonians ca. 284 B.C.

181. Eleusis. Building contract, ca. 350 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1683. K. Clinton, *Macedonians in Athens* 79/80, prints a new text of this inscription, based on autopsy, with notes on readings and brief discussion of the prosopography, which provides close links with IG II<sup>2</sup> 1682 (see our lemma no. 180); now *IEleusis* 142. Clinton's text differs from the text in IG II<sup>2</sup> in L. 2 [..<sup>4</sup>..]δοκιμα[σ]-, L. 8 ἐγγεγ[α]μένον. In addition to the erasures indicated in the text, Clinton suggests that LL. 8-11 were written entirely in *rasura*.

182. Athens. Catalogue of bouleutai, 335/4 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 1700. *Agora* XV 43. SEG XXXII 163; XXXIV 126. J. Traill, in *Lettered Attica* 122 note 16, observes that in the upper portion of the Hippothontid roster, LL. 166-177 (*Agora* XV 43 LL. 178-189), six bouleutai were assigned to the deme Dekeleia in IG II<sup>2</sup>. In *The Political Organization of Attica*, *Hesperia* Suppl. 14 (Princeton 1975) 21/22, Traill changed this assignment to Eleusis, but evidence in SEG XLVI 167 has strengthened the prosopographical connection with Dekeleia to the extent that Traill now believes that the roster contained demesmen of Dekeleia and Oion Dekeleikon. 'These two demes, geographically and onomastically related, will have been treated like the *spliti* demes in some of the councillor lists, viz with a single entry.'

183. Athens. List of bouleutai, 304/3 B.C. SEG XXIII 86. *Agora* XV 61. SEG XXXVI 165, 216; XLVII 489 app. crit.; L. 141 J. Traill, in *Lettered Attica* 120 (ph.), returns to the join between two fragments of this inscription announced in Traill, *Demos and Trittyis* 18-20, and contested by R.S. Stroud, *JHS* 109 (1989) 253 (SEG XXXVI 216). Citing the opinion of N. Herz after autopsy that 'these two fragments are from the same piece of marble and that they belong together,' Traill now prints a frontal 'documentary photograph of the joined fragments' showing the 'composite text' exactly as published in *Demos and Trittyis*, and on a later occasion will supply a full petrographical analysis with spectrographic data' (120 note 13); he adds details on the type of camera used, its pixel dimensions, and the angle at which the ph. was taken. He also adds, 'In response to Stroud's doubts, a detailed petrographical study of the fragments, including spectrograms and enlarged digitized photographs of front, back, and sides showing first the joining surfaces and lines of foliation and fracture, and then the "joined"

fragments . . . should be distributed by the most economical means now available, the internet.' (120)

184. Athens. Bouleutic catalogue, 281/0 B.C. *Agora* XV 72. SEG XXXVI 217; XLVI 136. S.V. Tracy, *A and M* 65/66 note 2 (ph.), urges that, contrary to the view of the ed. pr. J.S. Traill, *Hesperia* 38 (1969), this inscription was inscribed by one man, not two, his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 657, 305-ca. 275 B.C.

185. Athens. Prytany catalogue, 371/0 B.C. SEG XXVIII 148. *Agora* XV 13a+. SEG XLVII 33. In *Lettered Attica* 121/122 (ph., dr.), J. Traill reports on a cleaning of this fragment (right side, Col. IV), now housed in the Library of Hadrian, which has made possible the following new text. L. 52 now provides 'every letter of Diakris.' (122) We transcribe from Traill's dr. and ph., retaining the line numbering of SEG XXVIII 148.

Ἐπὶ Φ[- -]  
52 Διακρίς  
Πήληκες  
Ἀλεξίμαχος Χα[- -]  
Ἀμφίθοξ Ἀμφίω[ας]  
56 Ὑβόδοι  
Χαρίκλες Σωσίω  
Πολυκράτης Λυσαν[- -]  
ἐξ Οἴω  
60 Φανόστρατος Στρα[- -]  
Κολωνίης  
Πρωτόμαχος Πυθο[- -]  
[. .<sup>4</sup>..]ος Ἀρξίλλω

55. Χάρτιος, Traill (SEG XXVIII 148); Amphithos, Traill (122).

186. Athens. Catalogue of prytaneis, ca. 320 or 290-280 B.C. *Agora* XV 68. SEG XXXVI 220; LII 200. In consequence of now locating the deme of Oe near Koropi in the Mesogaia (see SEG XLVIII 297) rather than northeast of Aspropyrgos (Traill, *Demos and Trittyis* 142/143), J. Traill, in *Lettered Attica* 118/119, proposes the following revisions in the dispositions of the demes in this inscription.

Col. I (inland) [Acharneis 5+] 10, [T]ε<α>κ<α>ειδαι 1

Col. II (coast) [Phylasioi 2], [Kothokidai 2 or 1], [Acharneis] 6 or [1] + 6, Thrasioi 2 [+5]

Col. III (city) [seven small city demes with a total of 10 or 11 prytaneis], [Oethen 7 or 6]

'Of the six names in Column II previously assigned to Oe (Ag. XV 68, lines 47-52), two can be completed with certainty and both can be connected with Acharnai: Thoukleidos (line 48)







			ἀφ' ἵππο ἀκοντίζοντι·
52	ΓΠ	δευτέρωι	Γ ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο
		ἀγενεῖοι στάδιον νικῶντι·	Ι δευτέρωι[ι]
	ΡΔ	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο	132 νικητήρι[α]
	ΔΠ	δευτέρωι	Η παισίμ πυρ[ρι]χισταί<ς> βοῦς·
56		ἀγενεῖοι πεντάθλων νικῶντι·	Η ἀγενεῖοις πυρ[ρι]χισταῖς βοῦς·
	ΔΔΔΔ	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο	Η ἀνδράσι πυρριχισταῖς βοῦς·
	ΠΠ	δευτέρωι	Η εὐανδρίαί φυλῇ νικᾷσει βοῦς·
		ἀγενεῖοι παλαιστῇ νικῶντι·	Η <λαμπάδι> φυλῇ νικᾷσει βοῦς·
60	[ΔΔΔΔ	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο	ΔΔΔ λαμπαδηφόροι νικῶντι ὑδ[ρία]
	[ΠΠ	δ]ευτέρωι	νικητήρια νεῶν ἀμίλλης
		[ἀγενεῖοι] πύκτηι νικῶντι·	140 ΗΗΗ τῇ φυλῇ τῇ νικᾷσει[ε] βόες τρεῖς]
	[ΔΔΔΔ	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο	[ΗΗ καί] εἰς ἐστίασιν
64	[ΠΠ	δευτέρωι	[ΗΗ τῇ δευ[τε]ρίαι β[ό]ες δύο]
		[ἀγενεῖοι παγκράτιον ν]ικῶντι·	[Η τῇ τρίτῃ βοῦς εἰς]
	[Ρ	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο]	144 [heading: anthippasia]
	[Δ	δευτέρωι]	[-- τῇ φυλῇ τῇ νικᾷσει - -]
68	[ΡΔ ?	ἀνδρὶ δόλιχον νικῶντι]	[-- καί εἰς ἐστίασιν (?)]
	[ΔΠ ?	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο]	[-- τῇ δευτέραι - -]
		δευτέρωι]	148 [-- τῇ τρίτῃ - -]
		[ἀνδρὶ στάδιον νικῶντι]	[heading: cyclic chorus]
72	[ΡΔΔΔ	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο]	[-- ἀνδράσι τῇ φυλῇ τῇ νικᾷσει -]
	[ΔΠ	δευτέρωι]	[-- τῇ δευτέραι - -]
		[ἀνδρὶ δίαυλον νικῶντι]	
	[ΡΔ ?	ἀμφορῆς ἐλαίο]	152 [-- πασί τῇ φυλῇ τῇ νικᾷσει - -]
76	[ΔΠ ?	δευτέρωι]	[-- τῇ δευτέραι - -]
		[ἀνδρὶ πεντάθλον νικῶντι]	

'The paragraphoi visible on the stone are not shown in the text . . . in order to increase its legibility,' Shear.

For the cutter of this inscription, see our lemma no. 39.

193. Athens. The boundary stones of the Kerameikos, 4th cent. B.C. *SEG* XLI 122; LI 155. *Agora* XIX H30/31. J.K. Papadopoulos, *Ceramicus Redivivus*, *Hesperia* Suppl. 31 (2003) 292/293 (ph.), cites these markers as explicit evidence that the 'name Kerameikos as applied to the original Potters' Quarter was never lost and continued to be used for the same area of the Athenian Agora. . . . The stone (*Agora* XIX H30) is located precisely where Pausanias is likely to have entered "the Agora," perhaps inspiring him to write that upon entering the "Kerameikos," the first building on the right is the so-called Stoa Basileios.'

194. Laureion. Boundary stone of a road, ca. 300 B.C. Intact marble slab slightly smoothed off at the top for the inscription, left rough for insertion into the ground at the bottom. Found at Panormos Gaidouromantra on the surface, now in the Laureion Museum, inv. no. 981. Ed.pr.

M.Oikonomakou, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 95/96 (ph.), who suggests that it is to be connected with the road from Maroneia to Panormos mentioned in the accounts of the poletai [e.g., *Agora* XIX P24 L.L. 18/19; P25 L. 26. Stroud], ὅρος ἰόδοῦ

195. Vacat.

196. Peiraiæus. Dedication of στρατιῶται, 306/5 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 1954. A.J. Bayliss, *ZPE* 144 (2003) 125–140, after study of the stone (ΜΠ 1617), publishes the following new text and identifies the dedicators as οἱ Πειραιῖκοι στρατιῶται found on *IG* III.3 55 (see our lemma no. 257) who, under the command of Charias, fought unsuccessfully against the tyrant Lachares, *P.Oxy.* 2082. He speculates on the causes for forming such a band of Peiraiæus fighters, how they fared against and with Demetrios Poliorketes, and their demise (136–140).

[ἐπ]ὶ Κοροῖβου [ἄρχοντος, ἐπὶ]  
Χαρίου στρ[α]τιγούντος]  
οἱ στρατιῶται σ[τεφανωθέντες ἀνέθεσαν]

vacat 0.014 m

- 4 Π Χαρωνίδης Πανκ[ - - - - ]  
Ἀνφίναξ Ἀμειν[ - - - - ]  
Διομέδων Διοκλ[ε - - - - ]  
Ἐπαμείνων Παρα[ - - - - ]  
8 Δίων Διφίλου Παιονίδης]  
Πιστόδωρος Ἀπολλ[οδώρου Ἐρτιάδης]  
Οἰνεῖδης Ἀπολλ[οδώρου Ἐρτιάδης]  
Μνησίβιος Κηφισοφ[ώντος - - - ]  
12 Δήμαρχος Ἀμφίου [ - - - - - ]  
Κηφισόδοτος Αἰσ[ - - - - - ]  
Ἀνδρομένης Ἀνδρομ[ - - - - ]  
Σμίκρος Νικάνορος [ - - - - ]  
16 [ - ca. 5 - ] εἰς Ξενοπέθ[ου - - - ]

197. Athens. Dedication of the tribe Leontis, ca. 400 B.C. *Agora* inv. no. I 7167. T.L. Shear, Jr., *Hesperia* 40 (1971) 271/272. *Agora* XIV, 95 note 72. As one of the Panathenaic monuments resembling the base of Atarbos in its presumed first stage, J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 177 (ph., dr.) (see our lemma no. 202), provides a detailed physical description, text: Λεωντῆς ἐνίκα, bibliography, analysis of relief sculpture.

198. Athens. Tribal dedication of Antiochis, ca. 350 B.C. Five joining fragments of a rectangular white marble base with relief sculpture on two sides depicting a horseman with



helmet and sword astride a rearing horse Found in 1990 in the Agora Excavations, ca. 25 m north of the Panathenaic Way; Agora inv. no. I 7515. Color ph. and brief description in J.McK. Camp II, *Horses and Horsemanship in the Athenian Agora* (Agora Picture Book no. 24, Princeton 1998) 32; no text.

As one of the Panathenaic monuments resembling the base of Atarbos in its presumed first stage (see our lemma no. 202), J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 177/178 (ph.), gives a full physical description, including dimensions, ed.pr. of the text, translation, and notes on prosopography. She suggests that Hierophanes was phylarchos of Antiochis at the time of the victory. For his brother Xenokles, see our lemma no. 232.

front: [Ἀντι]οχίδος φυ[λῆς]  
Ἱεροφάνης Πολυαράτο Ἀλωπεκ[ῆ]θεν  
left side: [φυ]λέται

Left side. [φυ]λέται, Shear.

199. Athens. Choregic monument, 4th cent. B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 3106. SEG LI 9. J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 166 note 9, points out that since the term κυκλίωι is attested only once, in the 1st cent. A.D. (IG II<sup>2</sup> 3157 LL 1/2), it should not be restored on this monument—nor in IG II<sup>2</sup> 3025 (see our lemma no. 202).

200. Athens. Choregic monument, ca. 350 B.C. SEG XXX 128. SEG XL 151 ter; XLIV 128. J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 166 note 14, quotes and reviews the restorations by A.N. Oikonomides (SEG XXX 128) and urges that we should return to the text of the ed.pr., who read the dedicator's name as Σπράτος Ἀτάρβου Θορικός; the name Αυσίστρατος is not attested in this family. For Atarbos, see our lemma no. 202.

201. Athens. Choregic monument, Thargelia, ca. 350 B.C. SEG XXVI 221. SEG XXX 127.\* J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 167, reviews the restorations for the name of the choregos and suggests that we should restore L. 1 as [ca. 7-8 - Ἀτ]άρβου Θορικός; the name Αυσίστρατος is too long for the space. For Atarbos, see our lemma no. 202.

202. Athens. Victory dedication of Atarbos from the Little Panathenaia, 323/2 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 3025. SEG LI 192.\* Expanding on her views recorded briefly in SEG LI 192, J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 164-180 (ph.), after identifying the dedicator as Ἀτάρβος Αυσίου Θορικός in IG II<sup>2</sup> 1595 + SEG XXX 104, ca. 343-340 B.C.—whose son Stratos set up SEG XXX 128 (see our lemma no. 200) and possibly also SEG XXVI 221 (see our lemma no. 201)—dates this monument to the archonship of Κηφισοδόμος, 323/2 B.C., in keeping with the style of the sculpture, especially the women's drapery. Atarbos' victory in the youth πυρρικήσται at the

Little Panathenaia in 323/2 B.C. was soon followed by his win with the men's chorus. Noting that the male figures in the relief on the left block are bearded, Shear restores as follows:

vocal 0.057 m νική[σας ἀνδρῶν χο]ρῶν . acal 0.559 m  
[πυρρική]σταῖς νικήσας v Ἀτάρβος Αυσίου Θορικός Κ[ηφισο]δό[μο]ς ἥρξε.

After detailed physical description of the cuttings, clamps, and inscriptions on the two (not three) blocks that comprise this monument, Shear proposes that it had two phases. In the first, only the righthand block was set up, with its inscription naming Atarbos and the archon. On the top surface, a square marble pillar was set into a square socket and supported some object. At some later time, probably within a year, according to Shear, the pillar was removed by cutting it off flush with the top of the base, leaving the still surviving square 'patch' on the upper surface. A second base of similar dimensions was clamped to the left of the original base and on its upper fascia, the first five words of the full text were added in chiasmic order (and virtual isokolon. Stroud). Then on top of the two combined blocks of the monument, three bronze statues were mounted, a larger frontal figure on the left (Atarbos) and two smaller figures to the right (possibly two of the pyrrichistai).

Shear finds in the first phase of the Atarbos base a close parallel to a series of dedications recording victories in the Panathenaic Games consisting of a stone base supporting a stone pillar on top of which some object was displayed. She provides full physical description, bibliography, date, and identification of these comparanda, three of which are inscribed; see our lemmata nos. 197, 198, and 203. Although these monuments do not indicate in their inscriptions that they record victories at the Panathenaic Games, Shear proposes that they would have been recognized as such by contemporaries because of both the particularity of the events of competition, which were limited to the Panathenaia, and the distinctive, exclusive use of bases of this form.

When Atarbos' base was enlarged, the Panathenaic connection was de-emphasized, but the archon date was not changed; therefore, the victory in the men's dithyramb represented in the sculpture on the left block fell in that same year, not at the Panathenaia, but probably at the Dionysia, Thargelia, Promethia, or Hephaistia. Shear suggests that the two female figures in the relief represent the two festivals at which Atarbos was victorious. Bibliography on 176. 10n 169 and 175 (twice), Θορικός is misprinted for Θορικός. Stroud.

203. Athens. Apobatic dedication of Krates, ca. 400 B.C. SEG XXI 695. SEG LI 192. As one of the monuments resembling the base of Atarbos in its presumed first stage (see our lemma no. 202), J.L. Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 176 (ph.), provides a detailed physical description, text [Κ]ράτης Ἑορπίο Πειραιεύς, bibliography, analysis of the sculpture, and history of the several phases of this monument and its connection with the Panathenaia.

204. Eleusis and Athens. Honors for Φλάβιος Σεβίων, archon of the Panhellenion, before 182 A.D. IG II<sup>2</sup> 3627. SEG XXXV 106, 158, and IG II<sup>2</sup> 4076. SEG XXXIII 254. On

this archon of the Panhellenion, S.Follet, *BE* (2003) 288, briefly refers to the work of I.Romeo, *ASAA* 70/71 (1992/93) [1998] 325–327 and A.Paulasso, *ASAA* 72/73 (1999) [2000] 86.

204 bis. Athens. Inscribed honorary base. In *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 63, A.Spetsieri-Choremi briefly alludes to the discovery of an inscribed honorary base, inv. no. PA 1966, in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Pelopidas Street 4 near the northeast corner of the Roman Agora. No text; no ph.; no further details.

205. Athens. Inscribed relief of Zeus Naios and Dione, 4th cent. B.C. Fragment of a 'Pentelic' marble relief in the Acropolis Museum, inv. no. 4887. Upper left corner of a pedimental stele with most of the tympanon preserved; original slanting top preserved; broken on all other sides. Inscriptions at broken right side and on the epistyle above a male head facing right, with possibly the top of a scepter in front of him. Exact find-spot not given. Briefly noted in O.Walter, *Beschreibung der Reliefs im kleinen Akropolismuseum in Athen* (Vienna 1923) no. 183; *LIMC* VIII (1997) 341 no. 201a, s.v. Zeus (I.Leventi). Full publication by O.Palagia in *Αρχαία Ελληνική Γλυπτική. Αφιέρωμα στη μνήμη του γλύπτη Στέλιου Τριάντη. Μουσείο Μπενάκη. I Παράρτημα* (Athens 2002) 171–180 (ph.), who cites, describes, and illustrates numerous comparanda. For the cult of Dione on the Acropolis, she cites *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 475 L. 133 (409/8 B.C.). For Zeus Naios in Athens, see our lemmata nos. 206 and 206 bis. Maintaining that there was no cult of Zeus Naios on the Acropolis, Palagia argues against the identification of this inscribed relief as dedicatory, and interprets it as decoration of a stele carrying an official decree of Athens that dealt with the Sanctuary of Zeus and Dione at Dodona. After reviewing several different occasions when Athens interacted with Dodona, Palagia suggests a setting for the document among the events of 331–324 B.C. as described by Hyperoides, *On Behalf of Euxenippus* 19–26. She brings into play *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4643, a contemporary dedication by Φίλη Νικήτου of a marble throne to Dione from the Acropolis, and speculates that Νικήτης may have been the eponymous archon of 332/1 B.C. and that Φίλη may have been a priestess of Dione. For the throne of the latter in the Theater of Dionysos, she cites *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 5113. Among the comparanda cited by Palagia are *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4683 (ph.) from Eleusis; *SEG* XII 87 (ph.), Eukrates' law on tyranny (Agora inv. no. I 6524) (see our lemma no. 94); *LIMC* III.1.146 no. 1 (ph.), epistyle inscribed βολή (National Museum inv. no. 1473; Lawton, *ADR* no. 142); *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 417 (ph.), Εὐταξία (NM 2958); *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4630 (NM 2407); and *IG* I<sup>3</sup> 124 (ph.), Kios (EM 6928).

Zeus Naios Διῶ(νη)

206. Athens. Altar dedicated to Zeus Naios, late 2nd cent. B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4707. J.D.Mikalson, *Religion in Hellenistic Athens* (Berkeley 1998) 278; brief mention. O.Palagia, *op.cit.* (see our lemma no. 205) 173/174 (ph.), argues that since this altar (EM 1001), found west of the Parthenon, is dedicated both to Zeus Naios and to his synodos, it indicates private

worship, not public, and cannot be used to demonstrate the existence of a cult of Zeus Naios on the Acropolis. It could have been dedicated in some other sanctuary, perhaps that of Dione.

206 bis. Athens. Dedication to Zeus Μαιλχιος (not Νάιος). NM 1779. *LIMC* VIII (1997) 340/341 no. 201. O.Palagia, *op.cit.* (see our lemma no. 205) 173 (ph.), points out that this dedication was not made to Zeus Naios, [ἀνέθηκεν Ναϊ(ῶ) Διῶ], because the inscription in fact reads [- -]ΕΝΗΑ[- -]. The sculpture belongs to the type of Zeus Meilichios and the monument, found in the bed of the Ilissos River near the Church of Agia Photini, should be associated with the shrine of this deity ἐν Ἀγραις. See already M.Edelmann, *Menschen auf griechischen Weihreliefs* (Munich 1999) 209 E4.

207. Athens. The Monument of Telemachos, founder of the Asklepieion, ca. 400 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4960/4961, 4963, 4969. *SEG* LI 233, \* L.Beschi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 19–29 (ph., dr.), presents a detailed update of his own views about the reconstructions of the two (contemporary?) versions of this monument, the topographical significance of the relief sculpture, the relationship of the new private foundation of Telemachos to the Pelargikon, to the sacred spring (*IG* I<sup>3</sup> 1098/1099), and to the other cults on the terrace to the west, giving particular emphasis to *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4994 (see our lemma no. 207 bis) Transformation of the private foundation to a state-supported sanctuary in the 4th cent. B.C. (28/29); bibliography of modern research on this monument (24 note 50).

207 bis. Athens. Altar(?) of Hermes, Aphrodite, Pan, the Nymphs, and Isis, ca. 50–25 B.C. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4994. *SEG* XXIX 191, \* 291. L.Beschi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 25–28 (ph.), suggests that this monument served as an altar dedicated to the deities named in the genitive on the front (σύμβουμοι θεοί). He examines the relationship of these cults on the western terrace of the Asklepieion with the foundation of Telemachos and its expansion as it was transformed into a state-supported cult; see our lemma no. 207.

On 26/27, Beschi prints the text and a ph. of a forged inscription on a bronze plaque now in the Kanellopoulos Museum (inv. no. XA 1469) that copies the names of the deities on this monument, adding Ἀθελνᾶς σιολέπας in the column on the right. It also has 5 more lines of text, probably copied from other inscriptions, possibly on the south slope of the Acropolis. In text, probably copied from other inscriptions, possibly on the south slope of the Acropolis. In the early 1960s in Athens, a senior official of the Greek Archaeological Service showed Stroud a similar forged inscription on a bronze plate, allegedly found at Olympia, bearing the very poorly copied text from one of the marble herms still exposed at that time on the south slope of the Acropolis. Stroud.]

208. Eleusis. Dedicatory inscription on the Greater Propylaea, 2nd cent. A.D. *SEG* XXXIX 244; XLI 144, 1883. C.G.Malacrino, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 161–187, prints a drawing of this very fragmentary inscription, possibly carrying the name of Hadrian or Marcus Aurelius, in a discussion of the reconstruction of the Imperial busts in the *imagines clipeatae* of the

pediments of the Greater Propylaea. Also quoted, as evidence of Hadrian's building activity at Eleusis, is IG II<sup>2</sup> 3575. On 169–175, as part of an argument that the Propylaea on the Athenian Acropolis had in their western pediment a similar *imago clipeata*, with a bust of Hadrian, Malacrino presents a succinct documented summary of Hadrian's benefactions to Athens.

**209. Athens (now lost). Honorary inscription and dedication to Apollo Delios, Roman Imperial.** SEG XLVIII 211. A.P.Matthaiou, in *Lettered Attica* 87/88, briefly refers to this inscription and to IG II<sup>2</sup> 1990, 2472, 3271, and 3535 also found at the church of Agia Anna, all of which he associates with the priest of Apollo on Delos. See also our lemma no. 53.

**210. Myrrhinous (Merenda). Dedication by Xenophon to Zeus Phratris, 4th cent. B.C.** Preliminary publication by M.Sklavos in *Μερέντα Μαρκοπούλου* (see our lemmata nos. 222–230) 49 (illegible ph.). Found in an ancient well outside of the peribolos of the sanctuary of Zeus Phratris; the text ensures the identification of the sanctuary. Stone pedestal base, rectangular in section, tapering upward before flaring into a squared capital; broken at bottom; no description of cuttings on top. Location of text not stated. Text printed in majuscules in two lines; modern Greek translation. We interpret as follows.

Ξενοφῶν ἱερεὺς ἀνέθηκε καὶς Φίλαξένο  
μνημεῖον αὐτοῦ καμὲ Φρατρῶν Δι

2. 'ὡς ἀμείνωμα δικό του καὶ του πατέρα του,' edd.pr.: ['(dedicated) me as a memorial of his own (priesthood).'] Richardson].

**211. Brauron. Inscribed altar, ca. 375 B.C.? IG I<sup>3</sup> 1407 bis.** D.Peppas-Delmouzou, in *Epigraphica*, Guarducci 91–106 (ph.), after a very detailed analysis of the iconography of the relief sculpture on this altar, with many cited parallels and tabular presentation of the several restorations proposed for the identifying inscribed labels—including her proposals of X(άρτες), [H]λ(α), and [Σ]μ(ε)λ(η)—sets the monument chronologically at the time of the peace of 375 B.C. She links it with the decree SEG XVI 55, for which see our lemma no. 86.

**212. Athens. Dedication to Hermes Propylaios.** SEG XLVIII 262. For the statue on this now lost base and its possible connection with Alkamenēs, see A.F.Stewart, *ZPE* 143 (2003) 101–103 (our lemma no. 1282).

**213. Sounion. Inscribed altar of Apollo.** SEG L 208. In M.Dillon (ed.), *Religion in the Ancient World: New Themes and Approaches* (Amsterdam 1996) 441, N.Robertson points out

that this inscription was apparently not included in IG II<sup>2</sup>. For its complex modern history, see SEG L 208.

**214. Athens. Altar of Aphrodite Hegemone and the temenos of Demos and the Charites, 197/6 B.C.** IG II<sup>2</sup> 2798. SEG XXI 672; XXXII 348; XXXV 1820; XLVI 1073; LI 17. In her study of the temenos of Demos and the Charites (see our lemma no. 16), M.C.Monaco, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 114–119 (ph.), reprints the text, and establishes the position of this shrine west of the northwest corner of the Stoa of Zeus, immediately at the point of entry into the Agora square of the street from the Sacred Gate. Aphrodite Hegemone is seen here in her association with the temenos as a military goddess with close ties to the Athenian ephebes, in the same capacity as she is attested at Rhamnous; cf. Petrakos, *Δῆμος τοῦ Παμνοῦντος* I 39–41 no. 32; II no. 32 (SEG XLI 90); II 98 no. 118 (SEG XLIII 64).

**215. Athens. Asklepieion. Dedication to Asklepios, ca. 325–300 B.C.** IG II<sup>2</sup> 4421. G.Despinis, *MDAI(A)* 114 (1999) [2001] 210 note 9, rejects this base as a possible parallel for the dedication supported by IG II<sup>2</sup> 4117 (see our lemma no. 216). After examining it in the Epigraphical Museum of Athens (EM 4460), he observes that it is not an 'Epistylum marmoris Pentelici' as reported in IG II<sup>2</sup> 4421, but a fragment of a base with a kymation on the upper edge and a tainia along the bottom. Despinis also corrects the dimensions of this stone, incorrectly reported in IG II<sup>2</sup>; verifies its finding place as the Asklepieion on the south slope of the Acropolis ('In arce', IG II<sup>2</sup>); and suggests the above date.

**216. Athens. Dedication to Asklepios and Hygieia, ca. 325–300 B.C.** IG II<sup>2</sup> 4117. G.Despinis, *MDAI(A)* 114 (1999) [2001] 207–218 (ph.), examines closely the physical form of this marble monument base whose dedicatory inscription on its front face has been erased. Rejecting A.Brueckner's suggestion, *MDAI(A)* 56 (1931) 26–28 (ph.), that it supported a marble relief of a healing scene, Despinis finds a wooden pinax a more likely occupant of the shallow cutting on top, probably flanked by antae. He urges that it was expensive enough to rank as the dedication of a rich man, probably a prominent politician, which would offer an explanation for the erasure of his name. He rejects also the possible comparandum of IG II<sup>2</sup> 4421, on which see our lemma no. 215. On 211–217, he speculates that IG II<sup>2</sup> 4117 does not belong to a hitherto unattested shrine of Asklepios in the Kerameikos, where it was found, nor to the remote sanctuary on the south slope of the Acropolis, but it is more likely to have been set up in a shrine of the healing god that was probably located near the Theserion, the finding place of IG II<sup>2</sup> 171 and a relief of Hygieia (NM 1383). He proposes that IG II<sup>2</sup> 4969 provides persuasive evidence for locating the shrine of Asklepios and Hygieia in question at the house of Δήμων Δημομέλους of Paiania, which W.Judeich, *Topographie von Athen*<sup>2</sup> (Munich 1931) 460, connected with τὴν ἐν Κολώνῃ συνοικίαν τὴν Δήμωνος. Aischines I.125. Despinis collects other examples of inscriptions, e.g., IG II<sup>2</sup> 4536, 4539, and SEG XLIV 79, and sculpture found to the north of the Acropolis and possibly deriving from this putative shrine of



Asklepios. In support of the view that in Roman times, at least, there were two sanctuaries of Asklepios in the city, he adduces the inscribed thrones for two priests of Asklepios in the Theater of Dionysos, IG II<sup>2</sup> 5045 and 5068. Finally he very tantalizingly alludes to the prominence of Demos, son of Demometes of Paiania, a politician, nephew of Demosthenes, implicated in the Harpalos scandal, one of the eight demagogues whose surrender Alexander demanded in 335 B.C., proposer of the decree recalling Demosthenes in 323 B.C., but only one of several other contemporary politicians whose name may have been erased from the front of IG II<sup>2</sup> 4117.

217. Eleusis. Dedication to Asklepios and Hygieia, 249/8 B.C. *I.Eleusis* 682 (ed.pr.). S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 133, assigns this inscription to his Cutter of IG II<sup>2</sup> 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C.

218. Athens. Dedications to Apollo Hypoakraios, Roman Imperial. P.E.Nulton, *The Sanctuary of Apollo Hypoakraios and Imperial Athens: Archaeologia Transatlantica* 21 (Providence 2003), publishes or republishes 68 inscribed plaques, which we will cover in SEG LIV.

219. Vacat.

220. Marathon. Poem on the estate of Herodes Atticus, ca. 138–143 A.D. White marble orthostate of a dedicatory monument, found at the church of the Agiai Paraskevi and Kyriaki on the Benaki estate at Kato Souli on the north edge of the plain of Marathon. Ed.pr. B.C.Petrakos, *PAAH* 77 (2002) 83–90 (ph.), who provides a translation into modern Greek and a commentary particularly on the Homeric diction; iambic trimeter. This is the earliest and only inscription concerning the land holdings of Herodes at Marathon. The ancient name of the Marathonian Mount Kotroni appears here for the only time (L. 7 Ἀκόνη). Since Regilla is not mentioned, Petrakos infers that the poem was composed before her marriage to Herodes and after the death of Attikos. Herodes probably erected the temple of L. 9 with the free-standing portrait statue of his father in it; the inscribed orthostate stood in front. In LL. 15–19, Herodes describes the agricultural improvements he made to the hitherto barren fields he inherited from his father.

4 Χῶρος μὲν οὗτος ἀσπιβὲς βάθρον τὸ πρὶν  
 ἰχθὺ δὲ φήμης Ἀττικῶν κλῆριζεται.  
 νῦν καρποδέμων τε οὐτε ἄωρος ἔστια,  
 νεοχμὸν εὐρημ[α] Ἀττικῶν, γυῖα χθονὸς  
 Μαραθῆνος, ὑποῖ προσφερῇ γεωργίαν,  
 δῶρον πατρὸς τοῦτ' ἐδεξάμην φρενός·  
 Ἀκόνης δὲ κλειτὸς ἀμφιπλὺς βέβηκε νῦν

- 8 τρηχὺς, πειράς οὐθαρ εἰς ἴσαν πέδον·  
 νηῖ δὲ δοροῦμαι σε φιλότατ' πατρὸς  
 ψυχῇ, γλυκεῖας ἀντίδωρα σῆς τροφῆς,  
 καινωτὰ καινῆς ἀργαῖα ἐκ γεωργίας.  
 12 παῖς σὸς πανόλβη τῇδε σὺν κληρουχίᾳ  
 ἐπόπιόν τε ἄγαλμα δαίμονος σέβω,  
 μορφήν πατρός, οὗτος Ἡρώδου βία·  
 τ<α> δ' ἄλλα μοχθεῖν καιρὸς ὅσσα ἐφείεσο.  
 16 ἀροῦν, φυτεύειν θαλλόν, ἀμπελοστατεῖν,  
 ἄλω δὲ καύλην οἷσιν Ἀττικὸν καλεῖν  
 θήρας τ' ἐπιθρόσκοντα, τὼς πατὴρ πάρος·  
 τοίοις δὲ ἔχων δεξιᾶσμαι πόνοις.

15. Lapis ΤΑΔΑΛΛΑ. Petrakos, but we read from the ph. ΤΑΔΑΛΛΑ. Stroud.

221. Athens. Inscriptions on the Mouseion Hill, 4th/3rd cent. B.C. *SEG* XLI 121 and 232. *SEG* L 273.\* N.D.Robertson, in *Cybele* 256–263, stressing that the name of this hill derives from the poet Mousaios and not from the Muses, interprets *SEG* XLI 232 as the abbreviation of a hexameter tag such as ἔπος δὲ [φθέγγατο] φωνή/φωνή from one of Mousaios' oracles. He discusses the form and topography of the ἱερὸν Μητρός of *SEG* XLI 121 and suggests that the inscribed roof tiles IG II<sup>2</sup> 4870, found on the hill, belong to a refurbishing of this shrine in the late 2nd cent. B.C. Robertson believes that the seven rock-cut thrones near *SEG* XLI 232 are 'early' and that 'it was the shrine of the Mother that drew Musaeus to the spot, with his oracles and his *Theogony*' (263).

For *SEG* XLI 232, see now briefly *BCH* 127 (2003) 695.

222–230. Myrrhinous (Merenda). Inscriptions from the excavations at Merenda Markopoulou. A very preliminary report of the 1999–present excavations of the Second Ephoreia of the Greek Archaeological Service at Merenda, south of the town of Markopoulo in the Mesogaia, during construction of the New Hippodrome and the adjoining Olympic Equestrian Center, is now published as *Αρχαιολογικές έρευνες στην Μερέντα Μαρκοπούλου: Στον χώρο κατασκευής του Νέου Ιππόδρουμου και του Ολυμπιακού Ιππικού Κέντρου* (Athens 2003). All finds are in the Museum at Brauron (13); no inv. nos. stated. General locations of the ancient structures and finds are indicated in the text and on a plan (16–17). We report here the texts inscribed on small finds and those for which the editors provide no text nor legible ph.; for two more extensive texts, on a pedestal base and a grave stele, see our lemmata nos. 210 and 236, respectively.

222: Two marble funeral lekythoi with dioxiosis scenes (illegible ph.), 4th cent. B.C. Found in a large grave peribolos of the family of Ἰσχυρία Μυρρινούσιος in the Southeast Cemetery. No further details. (p. 32; V.Argyropoulos, M.Sklavos)

- 223: Rectangular stone plaque (ph.), undated; no dimensions reported. Found in the area identified by the excavators as the sanctuary of Aphrodite. NANNION, a priestess of Aphrodite, edd.pr.; we read from the ph. NANNION and interpret Ν<α>νν[ι]ον (pp. 34–35; O.Kakavoyianni, I.Dovinou)
- 224: Lead model of an anchor (ph.), undated; no dimensions reported. Found in the area identified by the excavators as the sanctuary of Aphrodite. Inscription on one side of the flat sides: ΑΥΣΙΜΑ[XOY], of a dedicant Lysimachos, a safely returned sailor, edd.pr.; we read from the ph. Αυσίμα(χ- -) (the surface to the right of the alpha sailor, edd.pr.; we read from the ph. Αυσίμα(χ- -) (the surface to the right of the alpha sailor, edd.pr.; never inscribed? Richardson). (p. 35; O.Kakavoyianni, I.Dovinou)
- 225: List of names of men and women inscribed on a sheet of lead (ἐλάσμα, curse tablet), undated; no ph., no dimensions reported. Found within the Π-shaped West Grave Peribolos, in an area occupied by 6 funerary pyres where there were also found a bronze mirror (ph.) and a glazed clay unguentarium with ovoid body (ph.). [For the unguentarium, cf. *Agora* XXIX, no. 1167, 325–300 B.C.; we owe the compendium to S.Stewart, Richardson.] (p. 39; I.Dovinou)
- 226: Inscribed marble gravestones and lekythoi, all with relief decoration (illegible ph. of a gravestone with anthemion), undated. From the 1952–1953 excavations by I.Papademetriou of 5 Π-shaped single-family grave periboloi των κλασικών χρόνων in the North Cemetery, southwest of the grandstand of the modern hippodrome. [Unpublished?] For a general description and locations of single-family grave precincts at Merenda and associated finds, see pp. 9–10, 18. (p. 40; V.Vlachodemetropoulou, M.Kontopanagou, A.Petrou)
- 227: Round bronze theater token (ph.), undated. Found in the sanctuary located north of, and associated by the excavators with, the North Cemetery. In relief: on one side, a head of Athena; on the other, an owl below the letter M. (p. 41; A.Petrou)
- 228: Bronze voting ballot with solid axle (ph.), undated. Found in the Κτίριο με Στοιά in use as a public building from the Classical period to the 2nd cent. B.C. Stippled lettering of incised dots around the edge on one side; we read from the ph. ψήφος δημοσία [cf. *Agora* XXVIII, 82–90 (SEG XLIV 232), Richardson]. (p. 46; O.Kakavoyianni, V.Vlachodemetropoulou)
- 229: Beehive lid (ph.), undated. Found among many fragments of beehives in a storage room of the large Southeast Farmstead (in use late 3rd to mid-2nd cent. B.C.) Ca. 1/4 of the circumference preserved; two small pinholes side by side near the edge; on the exterior, relief moulding in concentric decorated bands, the inner zone containing a radiate motif. Inscription faces outward between 2 diagonals of the motif; we read from the ph.: T (pp. 52–53; M.Anetakis, S.Markou)
- 230: Clay relief plaque (ph.), undated. Found in the same location as our lemma no. 229. Fragmentary plaque mended from 2 fragments, broken at left, right, and bottom; a projecting horizontal fillet at top; a dentil course below; and a round disk in relief at lower right preserving an 8-petal radiate floral decoration. Inscription in raised lettering, spaced letter by letter between the petals; we read from the ph. ΒΙΩΤΟΥ (pp. 52–53; M.Anetakis, S.Markou)

231. Athens. Reworked Classical Attic grave reliefs. SEG LI 248; LII 189. At the end of their detailed examination of this topic, B.Schmaltz, M.Salta, *JDAI* 118 (2003) 49–203 (ph.), present a catalogue of 110 examples of marble relief stelai that have been reworked to change the original for reuse. They discuss many different aspects of this process, including the alteration of some inscriptions. On 170, a useful concordance of their catalogue with Clairmont, *CAT*. We give a comparatio numerorum for those items in their catalogue with inscriptions. For a similar study by M.I.Pologori, see SEG LI 248.

IG II <sup>2</sup>	Schmaltz/Salta	IG II <sup>2</sup>	Schmaltz/Salta
1082	3	10569	32
IG I <sup>3</sup>	Schmaltz/Salta	10637	46
1132	1	10756	22
IG II <sup>2</sup>	Schmaltz/Salta	10765	41
5239	75	11037	11
5377	28	11069	78
5600	35	11422	66
5633	12	11470	21
5641	26	11579	30
5701	49	11783	50
5755	45	11793	20
6052	43	11991	44
6199	57	12007	37
6358	15	12025	74
6572	9	12129	13
6582	53	12521	58
6876	27	12839	16
6972	10	12846	6
7272	52	12851	80
7312	73	13037	2
7423	76	SEG	Schmaltz/Salta
7523	34	XII 212	56
7557	29	Clairmont,	Schmaltz/Salta
7816	23	CAT	
7823	8	1.210a	40
7864	79	3.382b	55
10091	7		

232. Athens. Epitaph of Xenokles, ca. 350 B.C. IG II<sup>2</sup> 5574, J.L.Shear, *JHS* 123 (2003) 178, restores this inscription on the basis of our lemma no. 198 as [Ξ]εν[ο]κλῆς Πολυαρ[έ]του | Ἀλωπ[εκ]ήθεν; cf. PA 11213, *LGNP* II s.v. Ξενοκλῆς 33.

**233. Athens. Epitaph of Nikias.** Kioniskos found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service, reused in the north retaining wall of the Roman phase of the Sacred Way at Artemision Street 3. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 76; inv. no. A 4842. No date; no ph. Νίκιας | Διονυσίου | Ἀφιδναῖος

**234. Athens. Epitaph of Archippe,** late 4th cent. B.C. Large fragment from the upper part of a pedimental (marble?) stele decorated with 2 rosettes in relief; inscription between the rosettes and the epistyle. Found reused for the second time, as the cover of an urn containing ashes, in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in a large ancient cemetery ■ the intersection of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou Streets. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 80 (ph.); measurements; helpful prosopographic comments. On the back there is a large circular cutting, probably for the support of a funerary kioniskos in the first reuse of the stele.

Ἀρχίππη  
Δρομ[ι]πλου Ἀχαρνέως

**235. Athens. Epitaph of Philoumene,** 2nd cent. B.C. *SEG XXI* 843; *LI* 263.\* *ΣΕΜΑ* 159. C.B.Kritzas, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 34 note 9, reprints the text of this kioniskos after autopsy of the stone; no change in the text from *SEG LI* 263.

**236. Athens. Epitaph of Dexileos,** 394/3 B.C. *IG II²* 6217. *SEG LII* 203.\* In an intricate analysis of the ideological significance of the relief and the text of this monument, J.Ober, in *Popular Tyranny* 239–245 (ph.), stressing 'its subtly subversive iconography and its subtly defensive inscription, with their potentially clashing ideological messages,' urges nevertheless that we should not 'regard the Dexileos monument as so semiotically overdetermined as to be ideologically illegible.' Rather 'we might view the Dexileos monument as "amphibolic" . . . hovering in the field of tension created by the powerful democratic ideology and a powerful elite impulse to dissent from that ideology . . . an artifact specifically designed to be read differently by different audiences.'

On Dexileos and his career ('A brief life'), see N.Fields, *AHB* 17 (2003) 108–126.

**237. Eleusis. Epitaph of Antias.** Briefly reported by K.Papangeli, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 94, is the discovery of a kioniskos in a trench of the telephone company (OTE) on Demeter Street near the intersection with I.Agathus Street. Broken at the bottom; inv. no. A 447. Measurements; no date, no ph. Ἀντιάς | Ἀπολλωνίου | Ἀμπετρεῖος.

**238. Athens. Epitaph of Demostrate.** *ΣΕΜΑ* 367. In *AD* 16 (1960) B.1.25 no. 3, I.Threpsiades published the ed.pr. of a columella discovered in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service east of the cathedral of Athens. G.Daux, *BCH* 84 (1960) 642. Cf. *LGN II* s.v. Δημοστράτη 22.

Δημο[σ]τράτη  
Ἀρχίου  
[Ἀσ]μπετρεῖος  
4 θυγάτηρ

**239. Athens. Epitaph of Zoilos.** Kioniskos, with a loutrophoros in relief, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service, reused in the north retaining wall of the Roman phase of the Sacred Way at Artemision Street 3. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 76; inv. no. A 4838. No date; no ph. [Ζ]ωί[ος] | Φίλω[νος] | Λευκον[οῦς]

2. Οὐ Φιλώ[τα], ed.pr.

**239 bis. Athens. Epitaph of Sosibios.** Kioniskos found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service, reused in the north retaining wall of the Roman phase of the Sacred Way at Artemision Street 3. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 76; inv. no. A 4844. No date; no ph. Σωσί[ι]βιος | Σωσικράτους | Μελετεύς

**240. Paiania? Epitaph of Demainetos.** Fragment of a marble funerary lekythos with part of a scene in sculpted relief; found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service on the Attiki Odos between Paiania and Markopoulo, opposite the Peristeropoulos factory. Ed.pr. O.Kakavoyiannis, *AD 56* (1999) B.1 [2005] 115.

Δημαίνετος Δη[- -] Παλληνεύς

**240 bis. Athens. Epitaph of Dionysios.** Kioniskos found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service, reused in the north retaining wall of the Roman phase of the Sacred Way at Artemision Street 3. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, *AD 54* (1999) B.1 [2005] 76; inv. no. A 4840. No date; no ph. Διονύσιος | Διονυσίου | Πειρατεῖος

**241. Athens. Epitaph of Nikomachos,** 4th cent. B.C. *IG II²* 7180. D.Marchiandi, *ASAA* 80 (2002) 544 note 291, rejects this loutrophoros as evidence for an alleged capture of Athenian klerouchoi on Lemnos by Lysander in 404 B.C.; see our lemma no. 85.



241 bis. Athens (now in Warsaw). Epitaph of Eschation, ca. 350 B.C. Clairmont, CAT 2.445b. SEG XLVI 292. See our lemma no. 2176.

242. Athens. Epitaph of Publius Licinnius Apollonios, early Imperial. IG II<sup>2</sup> 7505. SEG L 211. In AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 77 no. 1, with earlier bibliography, G.Kavvadias reports the rediscovery of this kioniskos of 'Hymettian' marble, once kept in the house of the architect L.Kaftantzoglou, in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at the corner of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou streets. Complete, broken into 2 fragments. Measurements; no change in the text.

242 bis. Myrrhinous (Merenda). Epitaph of -a, end of the 4th cent. B.C. Preliminary publication by M.Anetakis and S.Markou in *Μερέντα Μαρκοπούλου* (see our lemmata nos. 222-230) 52-53. Marble stele (ph.), broken at bottom; plain battered moulding at top; two side-by-side rosettes of concentric circles below; inscription above the rosettes. Found in the area of the Southeast Farmstead in use from the late 3rd to mid-2nd cent. B.C. We read from the ph.

[- ca. 6 -]. ια

[- ca. 5 -]. κλέωνος

[2-3] ασιέω[ις]

[3. Possibly [Πρ]ασιέω[ις] or [Πρ]ασιέω[ις], Richardson.]

243. Peiraeus. Epitaph, 2nd/3rd cent. A.D. IG II<sup>2</sup> 8358A. For the *classis Syriaca* in this epitaph, see our lemma no. 2149.

243 bis. Athens. Epitaph of Biote, early Imperial. IG II<sup>2</sup> 8596. SEG XXXIX 1770; XLVIII 9. G.Kavvadias, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 79, with earlier bibliography, republishes this kioniskos of 'Hymettian' marble, once kept in the house of the architect L.Kaftantzoglou, after its recovery in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at the corner of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou streets. He reports that a large fragment is now missing from what was a complete monument in 1862. Measurements; preserved text as follows. Βιό[τη] | Δημ[αίνετου] | Ἡρακ[λεώτις]

Cf. SEG XXXIV 213, Kavvadias.

244. Athens. Epitaph of Thaleia, early Imperial. IG II<sup>2</sup> 8679. SEG XXXIX 1770; XLVIII 9. In excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at the corner of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou streets, G.Kavvadias, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 79, with earlier bibliography,

reports the rediscovery of this kioniskos of 'Hymettian' marble, once kept in the house of the architect L.Kaftantzoglou. Measurements; no change in the text.

245. Athens. Epitaph of Kotis, early Imperial. IG II<sup>2</sup> 8710. SEG XXXIX 1770; XLVIII 9. In AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 77 no. 2, with earlier bibliography, G.Kavvadias republishes this kioniskos of 'Hymettian' marble after it was recovered in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at the intersection of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou streets. The stone, which was once kept in the house of the architect L.Kaftantzoglou, has suffered some damage to its top and back, and Kavvadias detects dim traces of perhaps an earlier erased text in the inscribed section. Measurements; no change in the text.

246. Athens. Epitaph of Sostrates. Fragmentary kioniskos found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Artemisioi Street 3 in exploration of the Sacred Way. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 77; inv. no. A 4835. No ph.; no date. Σωστράτης | Σωτηρίδου | Θ[ι] - - ]ος

247. Athens. Epitaph of Kaliphanes. A.Spetsieri-Choremi, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 65/66 (ph.), briefly notes the discovery in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the Library of Hadrian of a square measuring table (σῆκωμα) of gray marble, reused as a gravestone, with an inscription on the back; no text; no further details. We tentatively read from the ph. Καλιφάνης | [Ξ]ενοτίμου | Μεγαρέως

[Both names are apparently unattested at Megara but are very common in central Greece and the Peloponnese; LGPN III.A-B s.vv. The first letter in L. 2 can probably be read from the stone. Stroud.]

247 bis. Athens. Epitaph of Leontion. Fragmentary kioniskos found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Artemisioi 3 in exploration of the Sacred Way. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 77, inv. no. A 4836; no ph.; no date. Λεόντιον | Σικυων[ία]

248. Eleusis. Epitaph of Archo. Kioniskos found reused in the retaining wall of an ancient road in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Saraphis Street 21. Ed.pr. K.Papangelis, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 83; no date; no ph. Ἀρχώ | Σκαρφίς | Δερκυλίδου | γυνή

[Archo appears to be only the second person from Σκάρφεια attested in Attica; cf. *Foreign Residents*, no. 6890. Stroud.]

249. Athens. Epitaph of Apollonia with epigram, 150–100 B.C. *SEG* XXI 1006; XLIX 261 \* *ΣΕΜΑ* 1552 C.B.Kritzas, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 31/32 (ph.), republishes this inscription, now in the Epigraphical Museum, inv. no. EM 13502, with full text after the stone was cleaned, app.crit., bibliography, commentary, and notes on the place of discovery (Church of the Agioi Asomatoi). His new text differs significantly from that in *SEG* XXI only in the following places (we give Kritzas' readings): L. 3, where [γυ][νή], Kritzas, is clearly a misprint; L. 5 in fine ἀβ[...]; L. 6 in fine ἀμφέ[χρανε] (cf. Peek, *SEG* XXX 246); L. 7 ἐοῖς ἐπ[ι γούνασι] (cf. Peek, *ibid.*).

249 bis. Athens. Ambelokipi. Grave epigram for Hyetios, 3rd cent. A.D. *SEG* XXX 284, O.Palagia kindly informs us *per ep.* that this inscription is now in the Museum of History and Archaeology of the University of Athens.

250. Athens. Epitaph of Isias, early Imperial. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 11734. Kioniskos of 'Hymettian' marble, once kept in the house of the architect L.Kaftantzoglou, rediscovered in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at the corner of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou streets. Now republished, with earlier bibliography, by G.Kavvadias, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 79, who gives the text as follows. [Ἱ]σίας ἡ χρηστή

250 bis. Kikkyna? (Karella). Epitaph of Kleiostrate, Classical? Large fragment from the body of a marble grave stele decorated with 2 rosettes; inscription above the rosettes. Found by S.Nikoloyiannis in the demolition of an old house and turned over to the Greek Archaeological Service. Ed.pr. O.Kakavoyiannis, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 116, who notes that since the names are unattested, a request will be made to transfer it to the Brauron Museum.

Κλειτομάχης  
Κλειοστράτη

251. Athens (now lost). Epitaph of Decimus Cossutius, Roman Imperial. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 4099. *SEG* XLIII 118. XLIX 7. S.G.Byrne, in *Lettered Attica* 4 note 7, points out that this alleged statue base for Cossutius, architect of the Olympieion, is in fact a much later inscription, probably a gravestone (names in the nominative); cf. the columnella of Marcus Cossutius C.f. (*IG* II<sup>2</sup> 10154).

251 bis. Athens. Epitaph of Paulla Quintia, Roman Imperial. *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 11883a. *SEG* XLVIII 321; LI 287. In *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1638, O.Salomies proposes the restoration of Κοιν[ι]α, observing that Polla is often attested as a feminine praenomen at Athens; cf. M.Kajava, *Roman Female Praenomina* (Rome 1994) 56/57.

252. Athens. Epitaph of Polla Orania. Kioniskos found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service, reused in the north retaining wall of the Roman phase of the Sacred Way at Artemisou Street 3. Briefly noted by T.Eliopoulos, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 76; inv. no. A 4839. No date; no ph. Πόλλα ἡ Ὀρανία Ἀλευκίου Ὀρανίου ἡ γυνή

253. Athens. Epitaph of Seppia Quarta, Roman Imperial. *SEG* LI 303. In *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1634, the woman's name is printed Κόαρτα Σεπτιά as the recipient of the gravestone and it is pointed out that her name in L. 3 has been reversed; Κόαρτα ought to be the cognomen. O.Salomies suggests 'ép. augustienne ou 1<sup>er</sup> s.p.C.' Cf. S.G.Byrne, *Roman Citizens of Athens. Studia Hellenistica* 40 (Leuven 2003) 431.

253 bis. Attica? Epitaph of Philippa, ca. 250 B.C. *SEG* XXVI 350. *ΣΕΜΑ* 1727. See our lemma no. 2081.

254. Athens. Epitaph of A-. Intact kioniskos of 'Hymettian' marble found reused as the cover of a grave in the excavations of a large ancient cemetery at the intersection of Geraniou and Agiou Konstantinou Streets by the Greek Archaeological Service. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *AD* 59 (1999) B.1 [2005] 80, who gives measurements and observes that the text has been erased except for dim traces of letters at the beginning of 3 lines. A[- - -] | M[- - -] | A[- - -]

254 bis. Nea Makri. Probalinthos. Epitaph. Fragment of a marble stele with crowning anthemion, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service of an ancient cemetery (6th–4th cent. B.C.) at the camp of the Greek air force. Ed.pr. M.Oikonomakou, *AD* 56 (1999) B.1 [2005] 97, who also notes within the boundaries of the camp extensive remains of installations that are most probably to be connected with the ancient deme of Προβάλινθος.

[- - -]δημ[- - -]

[- - -]υλο[- - -]

255. Athens. Fragmentary grave epigram, 4th cent. B.C.? *Agora* XVII 1030a. *CEG* II 598. *ΣΕΜΑ* 2614. In attributing this inscription to 'in the style of' his Cutter of *IG* II<sup>2</sup> 788, active ca. 260–ca. 235 B.C., S.V.Tracy, *A and M* 144, notes that, though the first letter in L. 1 is abraded, it is almost certainly omega, i.e., [- - -]ήρ[ω]ν.

255 bis. Salamis. Epitaph? early 4th cent. B.C. In publishing a marble, pedimental, naiskos-type grave stele found in 1992 in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service on Demokratia Street in Ambelaki (now Salamis Museum inv. no. 111), M.Pologiorgi, *MDAI(A)*

118 (2003) 337–347 (ph.), detects faint traces of an inscription (MNH) on the epistyle behind the head of the young man on the right and a few dim traces to the left. She does not exclude the possibility that the young man's name and patronymic once stood on the epistyle.

256. Athens? ('present location unknown'). Letter on a lead tablet from the banker Pasion, ca. 370/69 B.C. SEG XLII 1750. D.Jordan, in *Lettered Attica* 21–39 (ph.), presents the ed.pr. and English translation of a roughly square (0.085 x 0.08 m) lead tablet inscribed on its inner surface and once rolled, probably 8 times, along the plane of the lines of text. The tablet was seen and photographed with three others in a shop in Athens 'at some point before the Second World War [by] a French archaeologist, who asks to remain unnamed.' Jordan's text is based on a ph. provided by M.-T.Couilloud, who published 2 of the 4 tablets as defixiones (BCH 91 [1967] 513–517). Jordan comments on the alphabet, spelling, and letterforms ('the letter-forms can hardly be of any date other than the earlier fourth'). No syllabic division observed at line end. Comments on epistolary formulas; 'we . . . have the first instance from ancient Greece, as far as I am aware, of an "autograph" message from someone whom we otherwise know.' He links Nikostratos, Deinon, and Arethousios in LL 4–6 with the complex relationship that Apollodoros, son of Pasion, had with these three brothers as revealed in [Demosthenes] 53.4. Tabular presentation of all known biographical data on Pasion.

	[Π]ασίων <Δ>ικαιάρχο ἐπιστέλλω
	Σατυρίωνα τιμωρήσασθαι καὶ μετελθὲν κα-
4	ὶ Νικόστρατον τὸν Δείνων[ο]ς ἀδελφὸν καὶ Ἀρεθ[ό]σιον, ὡς παρ' ἐμὲ ἀδικῶσι καὶ ἐπιβλεῦσαι,
8	καὶ Γλαυκῆτην καὶ Αἰαντόδωρον καὶ ἐπιβολεύσαι καὶ μὴ πρότερον [προ]στελεσθῆναι τήν.
12	[ν . . . . ca. 17 . . . .]

1. ΑΙΣΙΩΝΙΚΑΙ ΑΡΧΟ, tablet; possibly [Π]ασίων <ν> Νικαίερχο (hapax), ed.pr.

As an appendix to this paper (30–35), Jordan publishes 'A Corpus of Personal Letters on Lead' (13 examples), with full lemmata, texts, translations, app.crit. On 36/37, collection of examples and analysis of the name Πάσιον.

P.Gauthier, BE (2004) 140: 'Ces brillantes hypothèses me paraissent très douteuses.' He notes that the name Pasion is attested for other Athenian citizens of the 4th cent. B.C. Also, if Pasion was born of a Greek father, which is uncertain, wouldn't the name of Pasion's father be Apollodoros or Pasikles, as Pasion named his two sons, rather than Dikaiarchos (L. 1)?

257. Peiraieus. Lead curse tablet, late 4th cent. B.C. IG III.3.55 SEG XXXVII 215; XLIX 2482. A.J.Bayliss, ZPE 144 (2003) 125–140 (dr.), after study of the ph. published by A.Wilhelm, JÖAI 7 (1904) 105–126, has prepared a new drawing and publishes the following revised text of this tablet, which contains several new readings. English translation. Detailed commentary on readings, prosopography, and the relationship of the Πειραιῖκοὶ στρατιῶται in 196). The sequence of the individual letters in the names set by Bayliss within rounded brackets and marked by an asterisk were deliberately scrambled by the writer of the tablet.

Side A

	[ . . . . . ] Διοκλῆς [ . . . . . ]
	Κίμων[να Λευκονο]εἰα συνιν[γοποι]όν καὶ τέκτονα
4	καταδῶ [τὸν ἀμφ]ορέα αὐτοῦ καὶ [τὸ κα]βώτιον οὗ αἱ σύριγγες φέρο[ν] [τ]α[ι] εἰς τὴν ἀγοράν (Κίμων[να Λευκονο]εἰα*).
	Ἐξάναρχ[ος] καὶ Παταίκιον ἦν ἔφη Ἐπαίνετος θυγατέρα εἶνα[ι] καὶ ἡγγίγησε γυναῖκα Ἐχεσθένη Τροξενί.
8	οἱ ([Πα]ταί[κιον Τρο]ξενί[α] [τ]α[ι] ἀρα[ι] [ἴ]σι. Δείνων Δεισιθέου Πειραεύς, Δείνων ([Δεισ]ιθέου Πειραεύς*), Οἰν[ε]ίδης Ἀπολλ[λ]οδῶρον Ἐροιάδης ὅς στρατεύεται ἐν τοῖς Πει[ρ]αῖκοῖς στρατιώταις (Οἰ[ν]ε[ί]δης Ἀπολλ[λ]οδῶρον Ἐροιάδης*). τέκτονα.
12	Χαιρελείδης Χαιρελείδου Ἀναφλύστιος ὅς στρατεύεται ἐν τοῖς Πει[ρ]αῖκοῖς στρατιώταις (Χαιρελ[ε]ίδης Ἀναφ[λ]ύστιος*), Δημόστρατος[ς] Ἀρχαμένους Μυρρινούσιος, (Δ[η]μόστρ[α]τος [Μυ]ρ[ι]ν[ο]ύ[σι]ος*). Ἡρόστρατος στρατεύμενος ἐν τοῖς στρατιώταις [Πειραῖκοῖς ἀπο]φασίσ[α]τος.
16	τούτους ἐγὼ καταδίδημι ἅπαντας ἐν μολὶ βδοῖ καὶ ἐν κηρῶ[ι] καὶ ἐμ[ὲ] [πο]τῶι καὶ ἐν ἀργίαι καὶ ἐν ἀφανέ[α] κα<ι> ἐν ἀδοξ[α]ι καὶ ἐν ἥτ<ε>ει καὶ ἐμ[ὲ] μνήμα[ι] [ι]σιν καὶ αὐτοῖς
20	καὶ οἷς χρῶνται ἅπαντας παῖδας καὶ γυναῖκας)

Side B

	Λυ[κο]μήδην Ν[ά]ξιον
	Φιλόστρατος Κει[ρι]άδης]
4	τὸν στρατευόμενον ἐν τοῖς Πειραῖκο[ι]ς στρατιώταις[ς] . . . ] (Φιλόστρατον Κειριάδης*)
	καταδῶ τούτο<ν> ἐμ[ὲ] μνήμασιν κα<ι> ἐν ἀπορίαι καὶ ἐν τύμβοις



[A 18. Or  $\epsilon\pi$  [m]ōtem. Chaniois.]

**257 bis.** Athens. Prayers for justice in Athenian lead tablets, 4th cent. B.C. H.S. Versnel, in *Demokratie* 48–50, translates and briefly comments on the following lead tablets, which he classifies as prayers for justice or as judicial prayers: *IG III.3*, appendix, nos. 98, 100, 102, 103, 120, 158.

On 48–63, discussion of the salient features of this category of tablet texts.

**258.** Peiraeus. Inscribed lead sling bullets, 3rd cent. B.C.? *SEG XXXI* 267 (4). See our lemma no. 2102.

**259.** Athens. Inscribed lead token, Hellenistic. Athens Numismatic Museum inv. no. 7397b. *LJMC III* 378 no. 32. M.C. Monaco, *ASAA* 79 (2001) 136–138 (dr.), adduces the probable connection between the obverse (Demos) and the reverse (Charites with  $\Delta\text{HM}$ ) of this object as evidence for their association in the sanctuary in the Athenian Agora, on which see our lemma no. 16. Cf. *Agora X* L252.

**260.** Athens. Stamped roof tiles, Hellenistic. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 4870. *SEG XL* 275. In *MDAI(A)* 117 (2002) [2003] 222 note 3, P. Valavanis briefly records an oral suggestion of J. Binder that 'the wealthy Athenians Dionysios and Ammonios, whose names appear stamped on roof tiles of the Metroon, could be considered as possible donors of the building.'

For a reconstruction of the Metroon, see our lemma no. 7. For inscribed clay tiles in Greece, see our lemma no. 2255 bis.

**261.** Melos. Fragment of an inscribed Panathenaic amphora, after 403 B.C. From Melos, donated to the collection of black-figure vases in the British School at Athens in 1896; inv. no. G 8. Republished, with ph., dr., and bibliography, by T.J. Smith, *ABSA* 98 (2003) 357/358 no. 18.

[ - - ]θετου Το[ - - ]

**262.** Athens. Inscribed Panathenaic amphoras. In *Macedonians in Athens* 243–256 (ph.), J.M. Barringer, in an expansive speculative discussion of the significance of the introduction of the τυπικός dating formula, its placement on the vases, and the status of the festival under Macedonian occupation, describes and analyzes the form, chronology, and iconography of the following Panathenaic prize amphoras.

- A. Acropolis. Athens NM 1113a, 248/7 B.C. Cf. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 791, archon Diomedon [ταμ]ιεύοντος Εὐρυκλείδου
- B. Agora; inv. no. P 109. Cf. *IG II<sup>2</sup>* 834 L. 2, ca. 215 B.C. [ταμ]ιεύοντος ἰ [Μικί]ωνος, son of Eurykleides(?)
- C. Agora; inv. no. P 8522. White-ground, early 2nd cent. B.C. ταμιεύοντος[ς - - ]

For inscribed Panathenaic amphoras from Kyrenaika, especially the sanctuary of Demeter in Kyrene, see our lemma no. 2058.

**263.** Athens (now in Naples). Names on the Pronomos Vase, ca. 400 B.C. Beazley, *ARV<sup>2</sup>* 1336. In *MDAI(A)* 118 (2003) 317–335 (ph.), K. Junker, after presenting a detailed analysis of the names labeling figures on this vase, concludes that Πρόνομος is the only historical figure represented. He further proposes that the painted scene on the Pronomos krater is not intended to represent a 'real' incident or a setting connected with specific dramatic contest(s), but instead denotes a general celebration and representation of the several civilizing elements brought to the stage by the god Dionysos and his full complement of supporting actors and associated deities.

**264.** Pantikapaion. Inscribed vases of Xenophantos from Athens, ca. 390–380 B.C. *SEG L* 710. For these objects, see our lemma no. 800 bis.

**265.** Athens. Vase inscriptions. Labels of personifications, 5th/4th cent. B.C. K. Clinton, O. Palagia, *MDAI(A)* 118 (2003) 272–274 (ph.), adduce the parallels of Χρυσός, Κῶμος, and Καλλίνικος painted on Attic red-figure choes, in arguing that the boy labelled as Πλάτος on Berlin Antikensammlung F 2661 (Beazley, *ARV<sup>2</sup>* 1321.3, *Add<sup>2</sup>* 363) is also a personification, 'Wealth'.

**266.** Athens. Dedicatory vase inscription, ca. 250–200 B.C. *Agora XXI* G21, *Agora XXIX*, 54–56, 270/271 no. 271 (ph.); full description, bibliography, Greek text. Large, black-glazed Attic kantharos (Agora inv. no. P6878) found in the Agora Excavations in a *paradeisos*. Inscription on Side A: Artemis hunting; Side B: men hunting lions and boars in a *paradeisos*. Inscription on Side A below the scene.

In *Macedonians in Athens* 221–225, S. Rotroff comments on the Macedonian elements in the decoration, the hunt scene on Side B, the similarities with Macedonian painting at Vergina and with the Alexander Sarcophagus. She speculates that the dedicator was a Macedonian or someone familiar with Macedonian ways.



267. Athens. Inscribed white-ground Panathenaic amphora, ca. 162–140 B.C. Almost complete, white-ground Panathenaic amphora, mended from many fragments. Found in a rescue excavation by the Greek Archaeological Service in 1968 on the south slope of the Acropolis, at the corner of Dionysiou Areopagitou and Propylaion streets; now in the storerooms of the Third Ephoreia, inv. no. A 6103. Report of the excavation in *AD* 24 (1969) B.1.32–37.

D.Tsouklidou, *MDAI(A)* 118 (2003) 383–395 (ph.), provides a complete description of the amphora, the painted scenes, and the inscriptions. On the reverse, written in kionedon form on either side of Nike making a presentation to a very poorly preserved figure (a choregos? Tsouklidou), are the painted inscriptions τῶν Ἀ[θῆ]νηθ[εν] ἄ[θλων] and ἀγωνοθετοῦντος Πανσιμάχου.

Tsouklidou suggests that this amphora is a prize for a dramatic performance, and she links it to the contest mentioned in the Panathenaic Victors List, *SEG* XLI 115 Col. III LL. 39–43, 162/1 B.C., which she reprints. She identifies Pausimachos as the Panathenaic victor of 170 B.C. (*SEG* XLI 115 Col. I LL. 40/41) and as the epimeletes of Delos in 150 B.C. (*LGPN* II s.v. Πανσιμάχος 8).

268. Athens. Assorted inscriptions. Without providing any dates, texts, ph., or further information, V.Vasilopoulou, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 43/44, briefly notes the discovery of excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the Plaka of the following inscriptions (measurements and inv. nos. given).

- A. Fragment of an inscribed honorary base, inv. no. ΠΑ 1957; p. 43 no. γ.
- B. Fragment of an inscribed stèle, inv. no. ΠΑ 1960; p. 43 no. δ.
- C. Fragment of an inscribed stèle, inv. no. ΠΑ 1961; p. 44 no. α.
- D. Fragment of an inscribed kioniskos, inv. no. ΠΑ 1965; p. 44 no. β.
- E. Fragment of an inscribed grave plaque, inv. no. ΠΑ 1939; p. 44 no. 2.
- F. 'Inscribed fragment,' inv. no. ΠΑ 1945; p. 44 no. 7.
- G. Upper part of an inscribed hermaic stèle, inv. no. ΠΑ 1963; p. 44 no. 8.
- H. Inscribed funerary kioniskos, inv. no. ΠΑ 1949; p. 44 no. 10.

[It is a great pity that the excavator did not consult one of the many fine epigraphists working in Athens today to help her provide her readers with more useful information about these 8 inscriptions found in the heart of the ancient city. Stroud.]

269. Peloponnesos. Poseidon in the Peloponnesos. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon*, presents a detailed examination of the literary, epigraphical, archaeological, numismatic, and iconographic evidence for the chronology, location, topography, excavation, cult ritual, festivals, attributes, epithets, and many other aspects of the sanctuaries, statues, altars, etc. of Poseidon and associated deities in the Peloponnesos from the early archaic through the Roman period. The work is divided into three parts. I, Die Verbreitung des Poseidonkultes auf der Peloponnes (25–254), region-by-region, polis-by-polis collection and discussion of all the evidence. II, Form und Topographie des sakralen Raumes (255–289), types of sacred structures in urban and extra-urban sanctuaries. III, Der Kult des Poseidon auf der Peloponnes (293–437), priests and sacred officials, festivals, sacrificial animals, dedications, holy objects and animals, Kultepitheta (28 in all). Poseidon's powers and realms, associated deities, political associations of Poseidon cults and sanctuaries. Bibliography; indexes (inscriptions and other sources) of personal names, deities, places, animals, architecture, selected Greek words, general objects. We include separate lemmata on those inscriptions for which Mylonopoulos adds significantly to their interpretation; see our lemmata nos. 273, 274, 276, 277, 280, 281, 282, 283, 285, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 370, 371, 380, 382, 383, 400, 408, 413, 416, 418, and 440.

270. Peloponnesos. Sanctuaries of Asklepios. For general accounts of varying lengths (e.g., 69 pages on Epidaurus), often with maps, photographs, and plans, of 46 Asklepieia in the Peloponnesos, sometimes citing and quoting epigraphical sources, see S.G. Stavropoulos, *Τὰ Ασκληπιεία της Πελοποννήσου* (Athens 2000). Cf. our lemmata nos. 286 and 364.

271. Achaia. Roman knights from the province of Achaia, to ca. 100 A.D. A. Spawforth, in *Les Italiens* (see our lemma no. 26) 105–107, compiles an annotated list of the following individuals.

1. Publius Caninius Agrippa (*PIR*<sup>2</sup> C387). Procurator of Achaia under Augustus; quinquennial Ilvir at Corinth.
2. Gaius Iulius Laco (*PIR*<sup>2</sup> 1301). Procurator of Claudius; quinquennial Ilvir at Corinth; Isthmian agonothetes. Belonged to the same Spartan family as C. Iulius Eurykles Herculaneus (see our lemma no. 278).
3. Gaius Iulius Spartiacus (*PIR*<sup>2</sup> 1587), son of Laco. Procurator of Nero and Agrippina; twice quinquennial Ilvir at Corinth; Isthmian president.
4. Tiberius Claudius Dinippus. Military tribune in *Legio VI Hispana*, serving in Spain; three times praefectus fabrum of a Roman pro-magistrate, perhaps the proconsul of Achaia; citizen of Corinth; quinquennial Ilvir at Corinth; Isthmian president. Career dates: Claudian, Neronian.

5. Publius Memmius Critolaus Theocles. Citizen of Delphi; possibly citizen of Corinth; military tribune 'in Germany' under the legionary legate Novius Priscus under Vespasian; held a 'generalship' (*strategia*); received agonothetic *ornamenta* in an unknown city ('which can fairly certainly be identified as Corinth'). Cf. SEG XLII 475.
6. Tiberius Claudius Oenophilus. Athenian 'from a prominent Eumolpid family'; Eleusinian hierophant ca. 100; previously praefectus fabrum and prefect of the *cohors II Hispanorum* (IG II<sup>2</sup> 3546).
7. Lucius Vettulenus Laetus. 'A rich and prominent citizen of Elis'; priest of Zeus at Olympia; military tribune in an unknown legion in 95-96' (IvO 436/437).
8. Anonymous. 'Honorand of an acephalous (Greek) text from Argos, dated ca. 100, honoured by the Argive citizen-tribe of the Hylleis as its "ancestral benefactor", served as military tribune of *Legio II* "in Spain" (IG IV 596).'
9. Gnaeus Cornelius Pulcher (PIR<sup>2</sup> C1424). 'From an old Epidaurian family'; military tribune in *Legio VI Scythica* (stationed in Syria) under Trajan, procurator of Epirus under Hadrian; *iuridicus* of Egypt under Hadrian; quinquennial *IIvir* at Corinth; Isthmian president.

In a general summing up of this group, and of his observations in our lemmata nos. 26 and 278, Spawforth concludes, 'The Italian presence in Greece—the colonial and business-families—emerges as a significant lubricant in the process by which a small élite of Achaean families constructed for themselves a mixed social identity, which in turn fuelled their equestrian and senatorial ambitions. At the level of provincial society, there had ceased to be a neat dichotomy between "Greek" and "Roman".' (107)

## AIGINA

272. Aigina. The Attaleion. F.Felten et al., *JÖAI* 72 (2003) 41–54, in a publication of the architecture and the small finds from G.Welter's early excavations of the 'Attaleion' on Cape Kolonna, briefly refer to the two inscriptions [φρατρίδας] (*SEG* XI 5) and the eaves tile stamped with AB in ligature (i.e., Ἀττάλο βασιλεύς). Cf. H.Kotsidu, *Τιμὴ καὶ δόξα. Ehrungen für hellenistische Herrscher im griechischen Mutterland und in Kleinasien unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der archäologischen Denkmäler* (Berlin 2000) 428 K nr. 3031A.

273. Aigina. Boundary stones of temene of Apollo, Poseidon, and Athena, 5th cent. B.C. *IG IV* 35-38. *IG I<sup>3</sup>* 1481-1490. *SEG XLVII* 83.\* After a helpful review of previous explanations of the pairing of Apollo and Poseidon on these markers (J.P. Barron, *SEG XXXIII* 258; B. Smarczyk, *SEG XLII* 84, 252; T.J. Figueira, *SEG XLI* 262; and R. Parker, *SEG XLVII* 83), I. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 49-52, insists on the grounds of the lettering that the initiative for setting up the boundary stones in the temenos of Apollo and Poseidon must have come from a foreign, Attic-Ionic source. Possibly some of the Athenians who fled to Aigina at the

time of the Persian invasion stayed on thereafter and established the temenos. One of the boundary stones on which the name of Athena appears (IG 13 1487) was found *in situ* at the village of Agioi Asomatoi 3 km northeast of the modern town of Aigina. This location, like the town of Aigina and the Aphaia sanctuary, must be regarded as a candidate for the location of the temenos of Apollo and Poseidon. [A full study, based on autopsy, of these boundary stones and several other unpublished markers from Aigina is forthcoming from I. Polinskaya. Stroud.]

274. Aigina. Incertum. 457–425 B.C.? SEG L 331. J. Mylonopoulos. *Poseidon* 49 note 6, briefly rejects the possibility that this was a boundary stone of the temenos of Apollo and Poseidon on Aigina in the same series as *IG* IV 35–38 (see our lemma no. 273).

275. Aigina. For the Aiginetan Κῆκος Φρασιδῆμος buried at Stryme ca. 450–425 B.C., see our lemma no. 678.

## CORINTHIA

276. **Corinth.** The cult of Poseidon Asphaleios? Hellenistic. After briefly referring to the altar dedicated to Poseidon by Antigones, *Corinth* 8.3.5, J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 149, rejects the attempt of C.K. Williams II, *Pre-Roman Cults in the Area of the Forum at Ancient Corinth* (diss. Univ. of Pennsylvania 1978) 52, to postulate a cult of this deity in Corinth on the basis of graffiti (ἀσφαλείας) on Hellenistic kantharoi.

277. Corinth. The Fountain of Poseidon, the Babbis Monument, and the Temple of Poseidon in the Forum. *Corinth* 82.131. For a discussion of these structures and the Latin donors' inscriptions, see J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 153–155, 158–160.

278. Corinth. The Vibullii. In *Les Italiens* (see our lemma no. 26) 104/105, A. Spawforth explores the close ties between this prominent colonial Corinthian family and C. Iulius Eurykles Herculaneus (later Lucius Vibullius Pius . . .) of Sparta, a Roman senator. The testator through whom Herculaneus acquired this additional name was the Corinthian Lucius Vibullius Philo, agonothetes of the Isthmian Games (*Corinth* 8.3.212) and probably related to L. Vibullius Rufus, Pompey's praefectus fabrum; to the mother of Herodes Atticus; and to Lucius Vibullius Hipparchus, archon at Athens and Roman senator (see our lemma no. 271). Herculaneus built baths at Corinth (Pausanias 3.3.5) and was honored there with a Latin inscription (*Corinth* 8.3.314). He also held a Spanish governorship and a legionary command (*IG* V.1.1172).



279. Corinth. Nero in Corinth, 67 A.D. C. Auffarth, in H. Cancik, K. Hitzl (edd.), *Die Praxis der Herrscherverehrung in Rom und seinen Provinzen* (Tübingen 2003) 293–300, reprints IG VII 2713; Syll.<sup>3</sup> 814 from Akraiphiai (see our lemma no. 453) with commentary on the Imperial cult at Corinth and a comparison between the diction of Nero's speech and the frequency of the following terms in the New Testament: ἐδεργέτεσθαι, ἀμείβομαι, χάρις, δωρεά, μεγαλοφροσύνη, εὐτυχία, αἰών, εὐσέβεια, εὐνοία, πρόνοια, σωτηρία, ἐλευθερία, Ζεὺς Ἐλευθέριος, Κύριος τοῦ κόσμου, Νέος Ἥλιος, εἷς καὶ μόνος, ἀρχιερεὺς.

280. Corinth. Inscriptions on the Pentaskouphia pinakes, Archaic. IG IV 210–345. SEG XXIX 334; XXX 342; XXXV 257; XLI 268; XLVI 338. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 201–204, 348/349, 375/376, explores the several aspects of the cult of Poseidon (equestrian, maritime, patron of potters and painters, associated deities, attributes, epithets—ἄναξ, and legends) as illustrated by the inscriptions and paintings on the pinakes dedicated in this sacred grove of Poseidon ca. 2.5 km west of the city. Cf. NAGVI 118–155.

281. Corinth. Victory dedication of Timoleon, after 339 B.C. Corinth 8.1.23; 8.3.23. SEG XI 126a; LII 294.\* J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 151–153, 349/250, 352, is attracted to the view that the booty from the victory at the Krimisos River mentioned in Diodoros 16.80.6 was dedicated by Timoleon not in a sanctuary of Poseidon in the city but at Isthmia (εἰς τὸ τοῦ Ποσειδῶνος ἱερὸν). The monument in the city supported a bronze statue of Timoleon, Korinthus, or Poseidon but not the bronze statue of Poseidon by Lysippos mentioned in Lucian, *Iupp. Trag.* 9. A statue of Poseidon on this base 'in the Agora' would demonstrate the political importance of Poseidon for Corinth matched by his predominance in the city's coin types. (Do we know that this statue base, found in the Forum, originally stood in the Agora? Cf. C.K. Williams II, *Hesperia* 39 (1970) 32–39; 47 (1978) 18/19, 38/39. Stroud.)

282. Corinth and Isthmia. Victors' lists of the Roman period inscribed on three-sided stelai. For a useful summary of the Isthmia festival, with ancient testimonia, see Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 310–314, 321–324.

D. Geagan, *AmW* 36 (2005) 146–155, likening the shape of these stelai to the shape of Athenian *kyrbeis*, as envisaged by R.S. Stroud, *The Axones and Kyrbeis of Drakon and Solon: University of California Publications. ClassStud* 19 (1979) 47/48, argues that for the purpose of accommodating the maximum amount of text, with the required headings and lists of names, and for the most economical use of imported marble, the three-sided format was chosen at Corinth and Isthmia over four-sided herm-like pillars. The latter were used for the two earliest lists from Corinth, Corinth 8.1 nos. 14 (3 A.D.) and 19 (after 42 A.D.). Thereafter, the lists were inscribed on three-sided stelai; e.g., Corinth 8.3 no. 223; W. Biers, D.J. Geagan, *Hesperia* 39 (1970) 75–93, eight other examples. At Isthmia, Geagan mentions 61 unpublished marble fragments of victors' lists, which can be associated into 10 three-sided stelai. This became the preferred medium at Isthmia as at Corinth.

283. Isthmia (now in Verona). Benefactions of P. Licinius Priscus Iuventianus in the Isthmian sanctuary, date? IG IV 203. SEG LII 299.\* For a detailed analysis of the location, form, and dates of the buildings and shrines listed in this inscription, see J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 189–191.

In ASAA 80 (2002) 361–378, F. Camia assembles the epigraphical dossier on the career of this Corinthian high priest of the Achaian League and reprints the texts of the following inscriptions, with ph. and Italian translations.

IG IV 203

Corinth 8.3.199

SEG XVII 131; Corinth 8.3.201

SEG XXXIX 340; Corinth 8.3.306

Corinth 8.3.200

SEG XXVI 410; XLIV 309

Cf. also IG IV 202; IG XIV 2543 (SEG XXXV 264; XXXVII 263), which Camia interprets as the signature of a sculptor from Aphrodisias of the Hadrianic period. (On this inscription, see now BE (2005) 65. Stroud.)

Camia finds that the letterforms on these inscriptions are not distinctive enough to permit a date in the first, as opposed to the second, half of the 2nd cent. A.D. The best chronological evidence for the career of Iuventianus is provided by the interaction of IG IV 203 with the excavated remains on the site of the Isthmian sanctuary and the representations of the circular temple of Palaimon on coins of the Roman period, beginning with the reign of Hadrian. Urging that the temple of Palaimon had not yet been built at the time when Iuventianus was high priest, Camia examines the prosopography of the other attested ἀρχιερεῖς of this period and concludes that Iuventianus is to be dated before the reign of Hadrian. He offers the following tentative sequence of the high priests of the Achaian League.

C. Julius Spartiacus, Sparta, ca. 50 A.D.

Lucianus, Corinth?

P. Licinius Priscus Iuventianus, Corinth

Cn. Cornelius Pulcher, Epidauros, Trajan's final years; dead ca. 137/8 A.D.

Tib. Claudius Saithidas Caelianus, Messene, Antoninus Pius

Tib. Claudius Polykrates, Sikyon, Antoninus Pius

T. Statilius Timokrates Memmianus, Epidauros

M. Aurelius Amarantus, after 212 A.D.

A. Chaniotis, in *EBGR* (2003) no. 24 (*Kernos* 19 [2006] 355), raises the following objections to Camia's reconstruction: (1) 'the letter forms of the relevant inscriptions favour a date in the late 2nd and not the late 1st cent. A.D.' (2) 'the wording of IG IV 203 implies that Iuventianus was not responsible for the construction of the monopteros of Palaimon' (3) 'the ἐναγιστήριον is not necessarily part of the Palaimonion (cf. τὸ Παλαιμόνιον σὺν τοῖς προσκοσμήμασιν καὶ τὸ ἐναγιστήριον, not καὶ τῷ ἐναγιστήριῳ)'.  
 -----

## SIKYONIA

284. Sikyon. Various inscriptions. For two decrees in honor of Euphron of Sikyon, 323/2 and 318/7 B.C., see our lemma no. 95. For an Athenian alliance with Sikyon, 303/2 B.C., see our lemma no. 101. For a Koan honorary decree for a Sikyonian, 2nd cent. B.C., see our lemma no. 860.

For a signature of the sculptor Daippos of Sikyon at Olympia, see our lemma no. 429. For an archaic vase inscription in the Sikyonian epichoric alphabet from Gela, see our lemma no. 995 (5).

285. Sikyon. Athletic victories of Kallistratos, ca. 190 B.C.? IG IV 428. SEG XXXVIII 299, 456; XXXIX 1334 app.crit. IAG 40. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 44/45, 310/311, notes that the festival for Poseidon (Πίσια) in L. 10 has been located at Antirrhion by K. Freitag, *Der Golf von Korinth. Historisch-topographische Untersuchungen von der Archaik bis in das 1. Jh.v.Chr.* (Munich 1999) 62, but Mylonopoulos prefers a setting at the Achaian Rhion, for most of the other festivals listed are Peloponnesian. He collects the archaeological evidence for a temple of Poseidon at Rhion.

286. Titane. Dedication to Asklepios, Roman Imperial. IG IV 436. SEG XI 274. For a brief description of the site and an excellent color ph. of this inscription, see S.G. Stavropoulos, *Τα Ασκληπιεία της Πελοποννήσου* (our lemma no. 270) 138–142 (who, in error, reads the first line as [Ζω]ίων, Stroud).

For a thorough topographical and archaeological analysis of the physical remains at this site, see J.A. Lolos, *ABSA* 100 (2005) 275–298, who argues that Titane (called a χωρίον by Pausanias [2.11.5]) was not a polis but a fort of the 4th cent. B.C. on the southern border of the Sikyonia, the seat of 'perhaps the most important, extramural sanctuary of Sikyon' (298). The fort protected the sanctuaries of Athena and Asklepios (IG IV 436) and served as a refuge for those dwelling thereabout.

## KLEONAI

287. Nemea. Argive decree in honor of Aspendos, ca. 330–300 B.C. SEG XXXIV 282. See our lemma no. 2208.

288. Nemea. Dedication of a cult table, ca. 300 B.C. SEG LI 359. In *EBGR* (2003) no. 114 (*Kernos* 19 [2006] 374). A. Chaniotis suggests [θεοῖς τοῖς Ἐπι]δαυρίοις rather than [τοῖς θεοῖς Ἐπι]δαυρίοις.

289. Phlious. Roman milestone. In *BCH* 127 (2003) 755 (dr.), there is a brief announcement of the discovery in the south sector of the plain of Phlious of a boundary stone or a Roman milestone bearing an inscription in two lines; no text given. We read from the dr. KOAIN

## ARGEIA

290. Argos. The new Argive Corpus, IG IV<sup>2</sup>. SEG LI 309. In *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 158, C. Prêtre lays out a detailed schedule of work (1999–2003) for gathering and making photographs, squeezes, and transcriptions of the inscriptions of Argos in preparation for a second edition of the section of IG IV concerning Argos. She will prepare the honorary decrees first. Progress was made in 1999 (30 squeezes, 80 photographs) of those stones from the excavations of the French School of Archaeology that are inventoried with the letter E.

291. Argos. Decree of the tribe Hynathioi in honor of M. Antonius Achaicus, Trajanic. SEG L 362. M. Piérart, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 156 (ph.), briefly notes the discovery, in excavations of the French School of Archaeology in the agora, of this text (10 lines) in honor of the agonothetes, inscribed on a stone plinth. Inv. no. E 329. For the text, see SEG L 362.

292. Argive Heraion. Manumission record, 2nd cent. B.C. M.T. Mitsos, *Αρχαϊκή προσαπογραφία* (Athens 1952) 11, under the heading 'Ἀπελευθερωτικὴ ἐπιγραφή ἐξ Ἡραίου Ἀργεῶς,' prints the following text of this inscription at the front of his catalogue of Argolid names. 'Εἰς τὰ ἤδη γνωστὰ ὀνόματα προστίθενται ἄρκετὰ διὰ τῆς ἐν σελίδι 11 νῦν τὸ πρῶτον δημοσιευμένης ἀπελευθερωτικῆς ἐξ Ἡραίου ἐπιγραφῆς. Μὲ τὰ ζητήματα, τὰ ὁποῖα αὕτη παρουσιάζει, θὰ ἀσχοληθῶ προσεχῶς.' (4) Dated by ed. pr. in the catalogue (13–211), s.v. the names provided in this text. Minuscule text; we add omitted punctuation throughout. Briefly mentioned by M.N. Tod, *JHS* 75 (1955) 133 note 312.

Cited by G. Kavvadias, *op.cit.* (see our lemmata nos. 294–297) 328/329.

.....[ΠΥΩΝ].....  
[.....Σφ?]υρίδας γ'αε.....  
[.....]ς γαε Απολλοδ[ωρ].....  
4 [.....]νος ΑΡ· Πήσος γαε.....

- 8 [-----] γαε Φιλολκλέος τοῦ Δαμ- 2-3 -ο[-----]  
 [-----] γλαε Δαμίου τὰς Λυκάφρονος ΑΡ· [-----]  
 [-----] Μναΐδος τὰς Εὐθυδάμου ΑΡ· [-----]  
 [-----] τοῦ Ἀρτεμιδόρου ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· [-----]  
 [-----] Καλλικλῆς γαε Τιμασικράτους τοῦ [-----]  
 [-----] τοῦ Ἀπολλωνίου ΑΡ· Νικοβούλα γαε Μνασίω[νος] [-----]  
 [-----] ἰος τοῦ Ἐπιγένης ΑΡ· Σωστράτη {γαε [-----]  
 12 [-----] τοῦ Φιλολκλέ<ο>ς ΑΡ· Δαμοκράτη {ης} γαε [-----]  
 [-----] ο]ν τὰς Σωτήριος ΑΡ· Νίκαια γαε Εὐκλείας τὰς [-----]  
 [-----] Φιλοκ<λ>έος ΑΡ· Ἰάσων γαε Ἐπικρατείας τὰς [-----]  
 [-----] Ἀφροδίσιον ἐν Ἀ[ρ]γεῖ Κ· Εὐκράτεια γαε Φιλοκρά[τε] [-----]  
 16 [-----] ο]ν τοῦ Ἀρτεμιδόρου ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· Ἀφροδίσιος γαε [-----]  
 [-----] φανούς τὰς Ἀπολλοδώρου ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· Ἡλιόδωρος γα[ε] [-----]  
 [-----] Ἀριστίππας τὰς Διοκλέος ΑΡ· Νί[κ] {κ} η γαε Δειγ[ο] [-----]  
 [-----] ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· Κλέων γαε Θεοδοσίας τὰς Θ[ε]οδότου ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· [-----]  
 20 [-----] ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· Διοκλεία γαε Δημητρίου τοῦ Ἀρίστωνος [-----]  
 [-----] ἰωνος ΑΡ· Παντίας γαε Ἀντία τοῦ Ἀντιμάχου [-----]  
 [-----] ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· Ἐπίνικος γαε Σωπάτρας τὰς Ἀγαλίδας ΑΡ· [-----]  
 [-----] Ἀρ[τε]μ[ι]δώρα γαε Δαμοσθενείας τὰς Νικοδάμου [-----]  
 24 [-----] ἑτα γαε Δαμοκρίτας Σωκράτους ΑΡ· Βην[ι] [-----]  
 [-----] Σωτηρ[ι]δ[ος] τὰς Σ[ι]φώου ἐν Ἀργεῖ Κ· Γα[λ] [-----]  
 [-----] ἈΝ - 6-7 - ΑΕΟΛΑΤΩ - 2-3 - Π[ι] [-----]  
 [-----] ΕΙ [-----]

4, 6/7, 10-14, 18, 21/22, 24. AR printed in ligature

293. Argos. Honorary inscription for Aristokrates, Roman. Square, marble hermlike base with cuttings on the upper surface for mounting a statue. Found reused as part of the stylobate of a building (house?) in 'Terrain Kechaya' in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Lamado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 68/69, who cites the parallel of IG IV 581 from Argos for the occupation 'leather-dresser' in LL. 5/6. Reprinted unchanged in *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] no. 1629.

4 Ἀριστοκράτην  
 Μάρκος Ἀντώνιος  
 Φαῦστος γυμνα-  
 σιαρχήσας ἀνέ-  
 θηκεν σπατο-  
 λεασταῖς

294-297. Argos. Epitaph of Aichmagoras and others, ca. 300 B.C.-Roman Imperial. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. A) found reused in the floor of a large Roman grave monument excavated in 1994 by the Greek Archaeological Service on Kountouriotis Street in southeast Argos. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *Πρακτικά Α' Αρχαιολογική Σύνοδος Νοτίας και Δυτικής Ελλάδος* (Patras 2001) 326 no. 1.

294: Ca. 300 B.C. Αἰχμαγόρας

For the name, unattested at Argos, Kavvadias cites the Arkadian hero, son of Herakles, Pausanias 8.12.3.

295: Roman Imperial; inscribed below Aichmagoras. Λυσαρῶ, χαῖρε Αἰσώνιε

According to Kavvadias, the first name, hypocoristic of Λυσαρῆτι, is unattested at Argos. The second name could be hypocoristic of Αἴσων or an ethnic (cf. Apollonios Rhodios 1.411. Αἰσωνίς, πόλις Μαγνησίας).

296: Inscribed below Aisonie. [- - -], χαῖρε

The name was cut away by machinery at the discovery of the tomb.

297: 1st cent. A.D., the latest of the inscriptions; inscribed at the top of the stele.

Θάλλουσα

Unattested at Argos.

298-298 bis. Argos. Public memorial for the Nemeionikes Demophilos, 1st cent. B.C./1st cent. A.D. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. H) found in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 329-331 no. 8 (ph.); two inscriptions, as below.

298: Catalogue? 5th/4th cent. B.C.? An erased inscription, of which isolated letters can be detected, especially toward the bottom of the stele. The inscription has not yet been deciphered.

298 bis: Public memorial for the Nemeionikes Demophilos, 1st cent. B.C./1st cent. A.D.

[Νικάσας πλ]είστους ἀγῶ- νας  
 [νας τῶν] Νεμίων βελτεί-  
 ον[α τ]ὸν ἐν τῷ σταδίῳ θά-

4 νατον ἡγησάμενος τοῦ  
 ἀπειπασθαι  
 Δημόφιλε χρηστὲ χαῖρε  
 ὁ δᾶμος ὁ τῶν Ἀργείων

8 τὸ μνημα ἐποίησεν  
 Ἀἰδας Ἀελίφ: «τοιόνδ' ἐγὼ οὐποτ' ἔσιδον  
 [κ]οῦρον»· ὁ δ' Ἀέλιος: «τοῦτ' ἐμὸν ἀρπάσασα»



[3 We read from the ph. rō. Stroud.]

Kavvadias notes that Demophilos is not among the list of known Nemeionikai or Panhellenic victors and that his death, in boxing or pankration(?), occurred in the stadium of Argos where at this time the Nemean Games were celebrated. Such deaths were not uncommon. Argos where at this time the Epidamnian boxer Kreugas who died in the stadium at Argos from a blow inflicted by the Syracusan Damoxenos. The people of Argos awarded Kreugas the crown of victory and erected a statue of him that Pausanias saw in the sanctuary of Apollo Lykeios (2.20.1; 8.40.3-5). Demophilos' home city is not known. The dialogue in LL 9/10 is between Hades and Helios; cf. *GV* 1572-1599. On 331 note 33, ed.pr. offers a translation in modern Greek composed by C. Kritzas.

299. Argos. Epitaphs of Herakleitos and four others, 1st cent. B.C./1st cent. A.D. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. Z) found in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 328/329 no. 7, who notes that the names in LL 1, 2, and 4 are found in two manumission inscriptions from the Argive Heraion, *SEG* II 53 (105 B.C.) and our lemma no. 292 (2nd cent. B.C.).

Ἡράκλειτε χρηστέ, χαῖρε  
δάμῃον χρηστά, χαῖρε  
Μνασιφίλα, χαῖρε  
4 Σωστράτα, χαῖρε  
Ἡρόφιλε, χαῖρε

2 δάμῃον = δάμῃον. ed.pr. II 3. 5. Mnasiphila and Herophilos are new to Argos, ed.pr.

300. Argos. Epitaph, ca. 300 B.C. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. Θ) found in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 331 no. 9, who interprets the text as the genitive of the name Ἰαριστεύς, otherwise unattested, or as the genitive of Ἀριστεύς, with the preceding iota as the last letter of another name.

Ἰαριστεύς

301. Argos. Epitaph of Kleotimos. Undecorated stone stele found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Akova. Portisites; now in the Argos Museum, inv. no. 1999/90. Ed.pr. A.Banaka-Demaki, *AD* 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 142 (ph.); inscription illegible; no date.

Κλεοτίμου

302. Argos. Epitaph of Lauda, 1st cent. A.D. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. Δ) found in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 328 no. 4. Inscription erased, but legible.

[[Λαύδα, χαῖρε]]

Kavvadias regards the name as hypocoristic of Λαυδική, a name attested at Argos on an unpublished inscription.

303. Argos. Epitaph of Nikaretas, ca. 300 A.C. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. B) found reused in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 326/327 no. 2, who likens the lettering and the form of the stele to that of our no. 294 and interprets the name as masculine nominative, 'not attested at Argos or elsewhere.'

Νικαρέτας

304-305. Argos. Epitaph of Erasinos and Nikephoros (reused), 1st cent. A.D. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. Γ) found in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 328 no. 3.

304: Ca. 400 B.C., the original use of the stele; subsequently erased. [[- - - - ou]]

305: 1st cent. A.D.

Ἐρασίνιε, χαῖρε  
Νικηφόρε, χαῖρε

For the river Erasinos at Lerna, Kavvadias cites *SEG* XI 329.

[Ed.pr. does not indicate whether the two 1st-cent. A.D. inscriptions are contemporary or sequential. Stroud.]

306-308. Argos. Epitaphs of Chion, Polla, and Leontis, 1st cent. B.C./1st cent. A.D. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. ΣΤ) found at the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 328 no. 6.

306: At the top of the stele, over an erased inscription. Χίων, χαῖρε

Kavvadias notes that the name is unattested at Argos and regards it as a masculine name (not Χίων).

307: At the center of the stele. Πάλλα χρηστά, χαῖρε

For this name at Argos, see M.Mitsos, *Ἀργοῦς ἀρχαῖα* (Athens 1952) 158.

308: Below. Ἀθονί χρηστά, χαῖρε

Kavvadias notes that the name, a variation of Λεοντίς or Λεωντίς, is unattested at Argos.

309. Argos. Epitaph of Philista, 1st cent. B.C./1st cent. A.D. Undecorated limestone stele (inv. no. E) found in the same place, at the same time, as our lemma no. 294. Ed.pr. G.Kavvadias, *loc.cit.* 328 no. 5.

Φιλίστα, χαῖρε

For this name at Argos, see M.Mitsos, *Ἀργοῦς ἀρχαῖα* (Athens 1952) 184.

310. Argos. Grave epigram, 2nd cent. A.D. SEG XLIX 361. *An.Ép.* (1999) [2002] 1474a offers the following alternate readings. LL. 1/2 τέθεικα, L. 3 ἔθικας, L. 4 ἔθιμην or ἔθικαμην

311. The early Christian inscriptions of Argos. SEG XLIX 363. In her monograph *Argos paléochrétienne*, A.Oikonomou-Laniado devotes a chapter (51–57) to 'Les inscriptions funéraires', 27 monuments (see our lemmata nos. 315–330, 332–342). D.Feissel, *BE* (2004) 512; *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1623–1629. On 51, she notes the following inscriptions from Argos.

- A. Fragment of Diocletian's Price Edict, SEG XIII 245.
- B. Three epigrams dedicated to three proconsuls of the province of Achaia, IG IV 1608, SEG XVI 262, SEG XXXVIII 306. Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MBv*: 9 (1985) 288–290 nos. 27–29.
- C. Fragment of a dedication of the βουλή, SEG XXXVIII 305.
- D. Stamped tiles 'Ἐν Τριεσπερίου ἐπιμελητοῦ προτεύοντος, SEG XVI 264.
- E. Mosaic of the months, SEG XXVI 438a. See also Oikonomou-Laniado 59/60.
- F. Mosaic of the seasons, SEG XXVI 438b.
- G. Marble plaque from Thermes A (see our lemma no. 336).
- H. Inscribed sherd (see our lemma no. 343).

Throughout the book, the author also notes or publishes the following inscriptions.

- 1. Re: a pagan family active in Athens and Lerna, IG II<sup>2</sup> 4842, IG IV 666. (p. 3)

- J. Seals from the islands in the gulf of Argos (see our lemma no. 353). (p. 4)
- K. Dedication of the nymphion in the agora. SEG XXXVII 282; cf. SEG XLIX 358.\* (p. 5)
- L. Inscribed glass cup found in Thermes A (see our lemma no. 344). (p. 7)
- M. Aqueduct of Hadrian, SEG XI 341. (p. 10)
- N. Inscribed schist plaque (see our lemma no. 314). (p. 13)
- O. Inscribed table (see our lemma no. 313). (p. 15)
- P. Eucharistic seal (see our lemma no. 346). (p. 16)
- Q. Dipinto on an amphora (see our lemma no. 347). (p. 19)
- R. Lamp signatures (see our lemmata nos. 348–352) (pp. 19, 50, 69, 70)
- S. Dipinto on an amphora (see our lemma no. 345). (p. 36)
- T. Mason's mark on an Ionic capital, SEG XXIX 367. (p. 64)
- U. Statue base (see our lemma no. 293). (pp. 68/69)

312. Argos. Marble table inscribed with a prayer, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Fragment of a rectangular table of white marble, with a cross of Malta incised within a circle, two incised crowns, and an elaborate floral pattern of vines and grapes in relief around the incised circle. Found in 1972/73 in excavations of the French School in Thermes A; now in the courtyard of the Argos Museum, inv. no. 72-3/582. Ed.pr. M.Piéart, *BCH* 98 (1974) 781 (ph.).

Ἀπο[ - - βοή]θι τῷ χρωμένῳ, ἀμήν.

J. and L.Robert, *BE* (1976) 256: 'Le debut ΑΠΟ désignerait-il l'Apôtre auquel s'adresse l'invocation?'

Reprinted, with physical description and citation of parallels for tables of this type by A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 23, 51; parallels in E.Chalkia, *Le mense paleocristiane. Tipologia e funzioni delle mense secondarie nel culto paleocristiano. Studi di Antichità Cristiana* 47 (Vatican City 1991) 59/60, 221).

Ἀπό[στολε βοή]θι τῷ χρωμένῳ, ἀμήν

[To judge from the ph., there is room for considerable text, at least for the name of the Apostle in the vocative, between Ἀπο- and -θι. Hence Ἀπό[στολε - - βοή]θι. Stroud.]

313. Argos (Kephalaria). Inscribed table. Fragment of a circular table, with the inscription on the undersurface; found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service of a 6th-cent. A.D. basilica at Kephalaria. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 15; no date.

ΘΕ

314. Argos. Inscribed schist plaque. Fragment of a schist plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in a basilica of the early 6th cent. A.D. at Strongyli in the region of Alikia. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 13; no date.

H ANY

315. Argos. Christian epitaph of Aphobios the pigmentarius, with funerary imprecation, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Marble plaque with rounded top, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the North Cemetery in the 'Terrain Kouya'. Large, incised cross with small circle at the center, framed by two incised pillars from which springs an incised arch, inscription in the four panels surrounding and below the cross. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 51/52 no. 1 (ph.), with French translation. Πιγμεντάριος, pharmacist, apparently its first attestation in Greek Christian inscriptions. Ed.pr. cites Olympiodoros of Alexandria (6th cent. A.D.), commentary on Plato, *Gorgias* I.13, 32.3 and other passages; cf. L.Robert, *Hellenica* XI-XII 46-48. For the interment of a grandson in the tomb of his grandparents, ed.pr. cites C.Wessel, *IGCV* 58; D.Feissel, *BCH* Suppl. 8 (Paris 1983) no. 64.

- Κοιμητήριον  
διαφέρον λφοβίω  
τῷ θιμασσιωτάτῳ πιμενταρίῳ καὶ  
4 τῆς τοῦτου γαμετῆς  
Εὐφημίας τῆς κοσμιωτάτης·  
κατάκειται δὲ ἐνθάδε προτε-  
8 λευτήσας ὁ τούτων ἔγγο-  
νος Ἀφόβιος ὁ μακαριώτατος]  
μη(νί) Σεπτεμβρίῳ ἰνδι(κτιώνος) ιε' †·  
ἢ τις δὲ ἀνύξη τοῦτο χωρὶς τῶν κλη-  
ρονόμων αὐτῶν ἔχει τὴν μερίδα]  
12 μετὰ τῶν κραζάντων ἄρον ἄρον σταύρωσο[v]  
αὐτὸν †

[1. For a pigmentarius—who may not be a pharmacist—named on a sarcophagus from Aphrodisias, ca. 150-212 A.D., see *MAMA* VIII 374, Richardson.]

316. Argos. Christian epitaph of Christodoulos and his wife Eutycho, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Oblong plaque found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the North Cemetery in the 'Terrain Kouya'. Sculpted cross on reverse. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 52 no. 2 (ph.); French translation and commentary on the names.

- † Κοιμητήριον δια-  
φέρον Χρηστοδούλῳ καὶ  
τῆς τοῦτου γυνεὸς Εὐτυχοῦς]  
4 [κ]αὶ μὴ δὲ τις τολμήσῃ τοῦτο  
traces

317. Argos. Christian epitaph of the young girl Stephanis, 19 June, 536 A.D. Two joining fragments of an oblong plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the North Cemetery in the 'Terrain Kouya'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 52 no. 3 (ph.); French translation and commentary on the date. Belisarios in L. 5 is the famous general under Justinian. This is the only exactly dated Early Christian epitaph from Argos. In L. 3, ed.pr. notes that the imperfect τελέωτα is also possible. Reprinted without changes in *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1624.

- † Ἐνθάδε κατάκειται Στεφανίς  
θυγάτηρ Δημητρίου κ(αί) Μυρωνί-  
δος· τελευτᾷ ἐτῶν δέκα μη(νί) Ἰουν(ίῳ) Θ'  
4 ἰνδ(ικτιώνος) ΔΙ' μ(ε)τι(ά) τὴν ὑπ(ατείαν)  
Φλ(αβίου) Βηλιασίου τοῦ λαμ(πρότατος)·  
ὁ ἀνύγων χωρὶς τῶν ἰδίων ἔχει πρὸς  
τὸ κρῖμα τοῦ Θε(οῦ) †

318. Argos. Christian epitaph of the carpet-weaver Menas and his wife Konstantina, with funerary imprecation, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Two joining fragments of a rectangular plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the North Cemetery in the 'Terrain Kouya'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 53 no. 4 (ph.), with French translation. Reprinted without changes in *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] no. 1625.

- † Κοιμητήριον διαφέρω[v]  
Μηνᾷ ταπιταρίου Ἀλεξαν-  
δρέως καὶ τῆς τοῦτου γαμε-  
4 τῆς Κωνσταντίνας καὶ ἣ τις  
τολμήσῃ τοῦτο ἀνοίξῃ χωρὶς τῶν αὐτοῦ  
κληρονόμων ἔχῃ πρὸς τὸ βῆμα τοῦ Χριστο(ῦ)

319. Argos. Christian epitaph of Eutychianos and Soteira, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Square plaque found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the North Cemetery in the 'Terrain Piliguikou'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 53 no. 5 (ph.), with a French translation and commentary on the names.



4 Κοιμητήριον δια-  
φέρων τοῦ τὴν μακα-  
ρίαν μνήμην Εὐτυχianoῦ  
κ(αὶ) Σωτηρίας †

320. Argos. Christian epitaph of Kyriakos and his family, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Two joining fragments of a plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the North Cemetery in the 'Terrain Kouya'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 53 no. 6 (ph.), with French translation and commentary on the names. Reprinted unchanged in *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1626.

4 Κυριακοῦ ὑποδι-  
άκωνος καὶ Ἀνθαύσης  
θηκάρια τρία· τὰ ἐν-  
γιστα ἐαυτῶν τὰ τε-  
τικνωμένα

4/5. i.e., τετικνωμένα, ed.pr.

321. Argos. Christian epitaph of Elpidophoros and his wife Viola, 5th/6th cent. A.D. SEG XXVI 436. Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) no. 117. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 53 no. 7, reprints the text, with French translation, no changes, and adds commentary on the names and the silentarii.

322. Argos. Christian epitaph of Elpidios and his wife Hiero, 5th/6th cent. A.D. SEG XXVI 435. Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) no. 118. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 53/54 no. 8, reprints the text, with French translation.

323. Argos. Christian epitaph of Kyriake, 5th/6th cent. A.D. SEG XXVI 434. SEG XXXIV 293.\* Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) no. 119. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 54 no. 9, reprints the text without changes and adds a French translation.

324. Argos. Christian epitaph with funerary imprecation, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Fragment of a plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in tomb 17 of the North Cemetery in 'Terrain Patagopoulou'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 54 no. 10 (dr.), with French translation. [There are major discrepancies between the transcription of this text and the dr. Ed.pr. does not state that the left side is preserved, but her printing of L. 2 seems to indicate that it is. We give her text and then our version based on the dr., which shows a straight left edge for LL. 3-6. Stroud.]

]ΤΟΥ. Ἡ  
δὲ τολμή[ση τις]  
]ΣΤΡΟΣΤΟΥΠ  
4 [- - -]Α ἐχέτω τή[ν μερίδα?]  
[αὐτοῦ? μετὰ] τῶν ἀρνησ[αμένων]  
[τὸν Κύριον ἡμῶν [Ἰησοῦν]  
[Χρ]ιστόν †

[- 1-27 -] ΤΟΥΗ[- - - - -] ἐάν]  
δὲ τολμή[ση τις - - -]  
ΣΤΡΟΣ ν τοῦ π[- - -]  
4 Α ἐχέτω ν τή[ν μερίδα - - -]  
τῶν ν ἀρνησ[αμένων τὸν Κύ-  
ριον ν ἡμῶν [Ἰησοῦν]  
[Χρ]ιστόν †

[4/5. Cf. our lemma no. 338: τὴν μερίδα. Chaniotis.] [1 The first preserved letter appears to be M. Stroud.]

325. Argos. Christian epitaph of a tribune and his wife Paula, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Two joining pieces of a fragmentary plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the 'Terrain de l'O.T.E.'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 54 no. 11 (ph.), with a French translation.

[Κοιμη]τήριον  
[- 3-4 -]ιανοῦ τριβ[ούνου]  
[καὶ τ]ῆς τούτου  
4 [γαμετῆ]ς Παύλας

326. Argos. Christian epitaph of Kyprianos, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Two non-joining fragments of an oblong plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the 'Terrain de l'O.T.E.'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 54 no. 12 (ph.), providing commentary on the well-attested name of Kyprianos.

† Κοιμη[τήριον]  
Κυπρ[ιανού]  
[καὶ τ]ῆς τούτου  
4 [γαμ]ετῆς  
[- - -]ΤΑΡΙΑ

[5. We have changed the spacing of ed.pr. ΤΑΡΙΑ to accord with the ph., where, immediately before the T, there are clear traces of a letter (E?). Stroud.]

327. Argos. Christian epitaph, with funerary imprecation, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Small fragment of a plaque, broken on all sides; found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the 'Terrain de l'O.T.E.'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 54 no. 13 (ph.).

[...]-ω[...]  
[...]-οδωηλο  
[...]-πρω†  
fish (incised)

3. [δεσ]π[ότη] θ(ε)ρ? ed.pr. II 3/4. In *BE* (2004) 512, D.Feissel suggests δῶν λόγον τῷ δεσ[πότη] θ(ε)ρ; the omicron in [δεσ]π[ότη] is written above the π.

328. Argos. Christian epitaph of Kosmas, his wife Briseis, and their son Petros, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Two joining fragments of an oblong plaque, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service of both the 'Terrain de l'A.T.E.' and the 'Terrain de l'O.T.E.' Ed.pr. *Aikonomou-Laniado, Argos paléochrétienne* 54 no. 14 (ph.), with French translation and A. Oikonomou-Laniado, 'pour lequel nous n'avons pas trouvé de commentaires sur les fonctions du notaire, le nom Briseis pour lequel nous n'avons pas trouvé d'autres exemples à l'époque,' and the curse. Reprinted without change in *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1627; for the name Briseis, see D.Feissel, *BE* (2004) 512; *CIG* II 2042.

Κοιμητήρια δύο ἀλλήλοις συνημένα [sic]  
διαφέροντα Κοσμά νοταρίω καὶ τῆς  
τοῦτου γαμετῆς Βρησείδος, ἀμφο-  
τέρων γε Πέτρου τοῦ τὴν μακαρίαν τὴν  
μνήμην· καὶ εἰ δέ τις τολμήσῃ τοῦτο [ἀ]νυ-  
ξε παρεκτός τῶν τοῦτων κληρονόμων  
ἔχτω πρὸς τὴν ἔνπυρον [sic] κρίσιν τοῦ θ(εο)ῦ †

[4] I read from the ph. ΤΕΡΩΝ. P.ΜΠΕΤΡΟΥ. Stroud.]

329. Argos. Christian epitaph of Eusebios, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Ed.pr. W.Vollgraff, *BCH* 27 (1903) 261 no. 3. Gray limestone plaque found in the house of Georgios Kanatitsas. Text in majuscules, minuscules, French translation. Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) no. 107. Reprinted by A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 55 no. 15, with a French translation.

†  
Τῶνδε βίον παροδύσας ἐπὶ τῆρας Ἰναχίδαεσιν  
κτε πολλὰ καμὼν ἀστεί καὶ ναετῆς  
οὐποτέ τ' εἰν ἀγορῇ βολε[ρ]ᾶς ἐπὶ χεῖρας ἔθηκεν  
4 Εὐσεβίος μούνος καθάραν θ' ἀπενέγκατο δό[ξα]ν †

330. Argos. Christian epitaph of a tribune, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Plaque found in a cistern. Ed.pr. W.Vollgraff, *BCH* 27 (1903) 266/267 no. 19. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) no. 111. Incomplete text printed by A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 55

no. 16. We print the text of D.Feissel, *BE* (2004) 512, who suggests that the officer Francio was of German origin.

4 [Κοι]μητήριον  
[Φρ]ανγιῶνας τριβ[ι]ούνου  
[ἀγο]ρασθεν νο[μ]ισμάτων β'.  
[διαφ]έρον [α]νυτῷ

331. Vacat.

332. Argos. Christian epitaph of Theodote, Agathe, and Theotekna, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *CH* I 591 no. \*100. Stone found in a wall of the house of Panagiotis Vathis. Ed.pr. W.Vollgraff, *BCH* 28 (1904) 420 no. 4. Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 370 no. 112. Reprinted by A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 55 no. 17, who adds a French translation and notes on the names.

† Μνήμα  
Θεοδότης †  
κὲ Ἀγαθῆς  
4 κὲ Θεοτέκνας

333. Argos. Christian epitaph of Arabanna, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *CH* I 590/591 no. 98. Stone plaque found in a wall of the house of Panagiotis Vathis. Ed.pr. W.Vollgraff, *BCH* 28 (1904) 421 no. 5, who suggests that the rare name in L. 2 is Semitic. In *BCH* 31 (1907) 184, Vollgraff prints a new reading after removing the stone from a position that partly obscured the text. The name in L. 2 is certainly Ἀραβάννας, and in LL. 3/4 he reads ἡγόρασεν; 'le E et le N final étant écrits en ligature.' Reprinted by A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 55 no. 18, with French translation. Cf. D.Feissel, A.Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 370 no. 113.

✠ Κοιμητήριον  
Αραβάννας ἀγο-  
ραστὸν ✠ ὁ ἡγό-  
4 ρασεν Σολομὼν ✠

334. Argos. Christian epitaph of [Euty]chios, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *SEG* XI 351. *SEG* XXX 369. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 55 no. 19. reprints the text of *SEG* XXX 369 with no changes, adding a French translation.

335. Argos. Christian epitaph of Aspar, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *SEG* XI 350. Cf. D. Feissel, A. Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) no. 114. Reprinted by A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 55/56 no. 20, who adds a French translation.

336. Argos. Christian epitaph, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Fragment of a marble plaque, found in excavations of the French School in Thermes A in 1972/73; inv. no. 72-3/416. Ed. pr., with helpful commentary, M. Piérart, *BCH* 98 (1974) 779/780 (ph.), who recognized it as the right side of a plaque of two non-joining fragments; the left side is an unpublished fragment from the Thermes briefly noted by J. Bingen, *BCH* 79 (1955) 329. Brief note on the curse in LL. 4/5 by J. and L. Robert, *BE* (1976) 256.

Reprinted without changes by A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 56 no. 21, with a French translation. Cf. D. Feissel, A. Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 370 no. 116.

4 [†] Κοιμητήρια διαφέροντα τῷ εὐαγεῖ μοῖναδίφ τῆς ἐνταῦθα ἀγιωτάτης ἐκκλησίας --- ca. 10 --- ντε· εἴ τις δὲ τολμήσῃ ταῦτα ἀνοίξαι ἐξω τῶν μοναζόντων ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ εὐαγεῖ μοναδίφ ἔξει ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ (?) τῇν μερίδα αὐτοῦ μετὰ τῶν λεγόντων ἄρον [ἄρον σταύρωσον αὐτὸν] ὄν †

337. Argos. Christian epitaph of two women, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *SEG* XXVI 437. *SEG* XXXI 316. Cf. D. Feissel, A. Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 370 no. 120. Reprinted with no changes by A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 56 no. 22, with French translation.

338. Argos. Christian epitaph of Ioannes, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *IG* IV 628. Cf. D. Feissel, A. Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 369 no. 109. After autopsy of the stone in the Argos Museum, A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 56 no. 23 (ph.), presents the following new text. Changes from *IG* include L. 4 ἐ[υ]κτενώς, L. 6 ἀνῦξε; for the latter, cf. our lemma no. 328.

4 † Ὁ τῆς ἀρίστης ἐν βίῳ ζωῆς τυχών, τοῦ σταθμοῦ δὲ τοῦ προσκαίρου τῶν βροτῶν, εὐρεθ[ε]ις οὕτως ἀληθῶς τὸν βίον ἐ[υ]κτενώς ἀεὶ διαγών, Ἰωάννης τούνομα, ἐχρήσατο τῷ βίῳ· μη(νί) Ὀκτωβρίῳ κη', ἰνδ(ικτιῶνος) ς'· ἡ τις [δὲ] θελήσει ἀνῦξε τὸ μνήμα τοῦτο ἀνεψίου Θεοδώρου, ἐχέ[τω] γαμε(τῆς) Πρέας ἡ τοῦ τούτου ἀνεψίου Θεοδώρου, ἐχέ[τω] τὴν μερίδα τοῦ Ἰουδα τοῦ προδότου· ἀμήν †

339. Argos. Christian epitaph of Paul and Onesime, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *IG* IV 663 + *SEG* XXXII 375. Cf. D. Feissel, A. Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 369 no. 110. Reprinted with no changes by A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 56 no. 24, who adds a French translation.

340. Argos (Kephalaria). Early Christian metrical epitaph of Ariadne, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *SEG* XXIX 372. Reprinted without change in A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 15, 56 no. 25. Cf. D. Feissel, A. Philippidis-Braat, *T&MByz* 9 (1985) 370 no. 121.

341. Argos. Christian epitaph, 5th/6th cent. A.D. Ed. pr. A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 57 no. 26, with French translation. L. 1 seems to have been a late addition.

καὶ τῆς τούτου γαμετῆς?]  
† Κοιμητήρια διαφέροντα τῷ δεῖνι (profession?) καὶ (name)  
τῷ τούτου ὑψ· ἡ τις δὲ τολμήσῃ παρεκτός?  
4 τῶν κληρονόμων ταῦτα ἀνοίξαι  
-----

[2. No closing bracket in text of ed. pr. No ph. We print this line as published. Richardson. II 3, in fine ἀνεψίου or χαρὶς as in our lemmata nos. 315 and 338. Chaniotis.]

342. Argos. Christian epitaph of Petros, bishop of Cefalu, 5th/6th cent. A.D. *SEG* XLIX 362. *SEG* LII 314. A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 57 no. 27, reprints the text (no change) and repeats the commentary from *SEG* XLIX 362.

343. Argos. Vase inscription with acclamation. Fragment of an amphora with painted inscription; found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in a house of the 5th/6th cent. A.D. in "Terrain Papathanassiou". Ed. pr. A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 51, 69, who does not give a date and states that this is the only evidence for circus factions at Argos.

† Νικᾷ ἡ τύχη Πρα(σίνων)

344. Argos. Fragment of an inscribed glass cup, 5th cent. A.D. P. Aupert, *BCH* 99 (1975) 699, publishes a fragment of a glass cup with a representation of a bearded head in profile, found in Thermes A, Room A3, in excavations of the French School in 1974. Inscription retrograde; all letters angular, formed by straight lines. Reprinted by A. Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 7.



Θεόδοτος

345. Argos. Dipinto on an amphora, 3rd cent. A.D. Cretan wine amphora (Hayes Cnosos I: Amphora cretois 3); found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the East Early Christian Cemetery. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 36 (dr. profile). 'Cette indication se réfère à une pratique, connue en Égée sud; la production du vin avec l'ajout de l'eau de mer.' [For a similar label on a wine amphora, see SEG XLVIII 1205, Chaniotis.]

ἀθαλάσσιος

346. Argos. Eucharistic seal. Terracotta seal with raised handle on top; on the underside, a cross in a central circle with the lettering arranged in a circle around it at the outer edge. Excavated by the Greek Archaeological Service in a small private church, possibly of the 6th cent. A.D., at 'Terrain Goní'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 16 (ph.).

Χριστὸς Θεοῦ υἱὸς Σωτήρ

[I cannot square this reading with the ph. Stroud.]

347. Argos. Dipinto on an amphora. Small fragment from the shoulder of a small amphora, dipinto in red; found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in a basilica of the late 5th cent. A.D. in 'Terrain Florou'. Ed.pr. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* 19. Christogram and the letter K, 'probablement pour Κύριε.'

348-352. Argos. Lamp signatures. A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne*, publishes the following signatures on lamps found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service.

348: 19 no. 1. Found in excavation of a basilica in 'Terrain Florou'. Cf. A.Bovon, *Lampes d'Argos* (Athens 1966) no. 559. 400-450 A.D. Shell on disk. KY

349: 70. Found in excavation of a house in 'Terrain Mastorakou'. Cf. Bovon, *op.cit.*, no. 379. 350-400 A.D. KY

350: 50 no. 101. Found in excavation of tomb 2 in 'Terrain Tzotzou'. Cf. O.Broneer, *Corinth IV*, ii (Princeton 1930) no. 1250; J.Perlzwieg, *Agora VII* (Princeton 1961) no. 890. Bear on disk. Φόβος

351: 50 no. 109. Found in excavation of an antechamber in 'Terrain Tzotzou'. Cf. Broneer, *op.cit.*, no. 1134; Bovon, *op.cit.* 261; Perlzwieg, *op.cit.*, nos. 689-706. Ca. 250-300 A.D. Eros on disk. Ἐπιδηφώρου

352: 69 no. 1. Found in excavation of a house in 'Terrain Kostaki'. Cf. Bovon, *op.cit.*, no. 438. 4th cent. A.D. Rays on disk. Τλάρου

353. Argolis. Islands of the Gulf of Argolis. Byzantine seals, 6th-9th cent. A.D. Twenty-one lead seals found on islands of the Argolic Gulf. Ed.pr. A.Avramea, *Σύμμεκτα* 10 (1996) 11-25 nos. 1-21 (ph.); non vidimus. Two of the seals are corroded and illegible (nos. 4 and 17); another is from the 11th cent. A.D. (no. 18). We present the remaining seals, which are from Romvi (nos. 1-5), Daskafio (nos. 6-8), Platia (nos. 9-10), Chemitsa (nos. 11-14), Spetses (nos. 15-17), and Kounoupi (no. 18).

Mentioned by A.Oikonomou-Laniado, *Argos paléochrétienne* (see our lemma no. 311) 4 (our lemma no. 311J). See the review of published seals in *SBS* 6 (1999) 75

- A. Avramea no. 1, 8th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: monogram βοήθει  
Rev.: [Στε]φάνω [δ]ρουγαρίω [- - -]νία[ς]
- B. Avramea no. 2, 8th/9th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: invocative monogram  
Rev.: [- - -]ΝΟΥ[- - -]Ω
- C. Avramea no. 3, 8th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: invocative monogram  
Rev.: [- - -]στρατη]γου Ἐλ[λ]άδος
- D. Avramea no. 5, undated  
Τρι[σάγιε] Κ[ύρι]ε διὰ τῆς Θεο[τόκου] σῶσόν με [τὸν σὸν δοῦλ]ον Βασίλειον  
ἐπίσκοπον Ὁρόβης
- E. Avramea no. 6, 6th/7th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: † Κ[ύρι]ε βοήθι  
Rev.: † [Σ]υμ[εἰ]ν ἀπ[ὸ] ἐπά[ρχων]
- F. Avramea no. 7, 8th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: monogram βοήθει  
Rev.: Θεο[δωρ]ω κατ[α] (ῥικίω) (βασιλικῶ) (κρωτο)σπα[θαρίω] (καί)  
στρ[ατ(ηγῶ)]
- G. Avramea no. 8, ca. 700-750 A.D.  
Obv.: monogram βοήθει  
Rev.: Καλλι[στ]ω πατρικίω
- H. Avramea no. 9, 8th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: † Θεοτόκε βοήθι  
Rev.: Α[γί]οντι [- - -] υπ[ε]ρτα[ύ] καὶ συ[- - -]
- I. Avramea no. 10, 7th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: bust of a saint Σαμ[ουήλ]  
Rev.: Ανδρονίκου υἱοῦ Ἀθανασίου monogram

I rev. Or Ἀθανασίου υἱοῦ Ἀνδρονίκου, ed.pr.

- J. Avramea no. 11, 7th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: bust of Virgin with Christ  
Rev.: Θεοδούλου monogram
- K. Avramea no. 12, 7th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: † Πλάτωνος  
Rev.: ἀπ[ό] ὑπα[γ]ίων
- L. Avramea no. 13, ca. 600–650 A.D.  
Obv.: † Κωνσταντίνου  
Rev.: † στρατηλάτου
- M. Avramea no. 14, 8th/9th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: bust of a saint  
Rev.: † Θεοδοσίου β(ασιλικῶ) σπαθαρίου
- N. Avramea no. 15, 7th/8th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: invocative monogram βοήθει  
Rev.: [- - -] β(ασιλικῶ) σπα[[θηρίω]] (καὶ) στρατηγ(ῶ) Ἑλλαδι[κῶν]
- O. Avramea no. 16, 7th/8th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: invocative monogram  
Rev.: [Ε]ὐτυχιανῶ ὑπα[γ]ικῶ
- P. Avramea no. 19, 6th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: Γεωργίου monogram  
Rev.: χαρτουλαρίου
- Q. Avramea no. 20, 7th cent. A.D.  
Obv.: military saint standing  
Rev.: Ἰωάννου
- R. Avramea no. 21, ca. 700–750 A.D.  
Obv.: Τριάς ὁμύσει, ὁ μόνος Θεὸς ἡμῶν  
Rev.: βοηθεὶ Μιχαὴλ πατρικίῳ (καὶ) στρατηγῶ

## KYNOURIA

354. Eva. Loukou (once at Marathon). Casualty list from the battle of Marathon, 490 B.C. SEG LI 425. \* Fragment of an Attic casualty list found in the excavations of T.G. and G.T. Spyropoulos at Moni Loukou in Kynouria. Broken at bottom; at the top, tribal heading of Erechtheis, followed by two elegiac distichs that refer to the valor of these men who fought against the Medes; below the distichs, 22 names. We owe this information to A.P. Matthaios, *per ep.*, who reports from Athens on a lecture presented by G. Steinhauer at the Epigraphical Museum on December 11, 2006.

## HERMIONIA

355. Hermione. Dedication, 2nd cent. A.D. IG IV 698 SEG XXII 271; XLVIII 421. S. Follet, *An.Ép.* (1999) [2002] 1475, prefers to place this inscription in the 2nd cent. A.D. on the basis of the onomastic formula of the name Κόιντος Κακίλιος Κόιντου υἱὸς Σωτήριχος and the unlikelihood that the Aktian era was used to compute the date. Cf. A. Rizakis et al., *Roman Peloponnese* I no. ARG 67.

## TROIZENIA

356. Troizen. Decree in honor of Echilaos of Plataia, ca. 320 B.C. IG IV 748. SEG XXXVII 314; XXXIX 324. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 89/90 (ph.), concentrates his discussion of this inscription primarily on the identity of the two divine figures (Athena and Poseidon?) in the relief sculpture at the top of the stele.

357. Troizen. Decree in honor of Einalios, priest of Poseidon Phylalmios, Roman Imperial. IG IV 797. SEG XXXVII 314. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 92/93, examines the evidence for the location of this shrine. Within the Peloponnesos, there is evidence for worship of Poseidon as a god of vegetation only at this site, while outside of the Peloponnesos, Poseidon was worshipped as a god of vegetation in Attica, Megara, Erythrai, Knidos, Ios, and Rhodes. On 294/295, discussion of the service rendered to the cult by this priest and the grounds for his postmortem heroization.

358. Kalaureia. The bouleuterion? J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 77/78, briefly describes the surviving remains of this structure and examines the evidence for its identification, which he finds inconclusive, as the bouleuterion (IG IV 841) or a meeting place for the revived Kalaureian Amphiktyony in the 3rd cent. B.C. (IG IV 842).

For a discussion of the Sanctuary of Poseidon at Kalaureia in its position as a 'Zufluchtsstätte für politische Flüchtlinge und Verbannte,' see the study by U. Sinn in *Das antike Asyl* 107–125, an examination that takes into account both the literary sources and the archaeological remains.

359. Vacat.

360. Kalaureia. Dedication, 2nd cent. A.D. IG IV 847. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 78–80, associates this inscription with the statuette of Asklepios found nearby in the same room and urges that the building in question should be interpreted as an Asklepieion. For the dedicator, see A. Rizakis et al., *Roman Peloponnese* I no. ARG 101.

## EPIDAURIA

361. Epidauros. Asklepieion and Sanctuary of Apollo Maleatas. Associated deities. For a select catalogue of 34 inscribed altars and dedications found in the Asklepieion and naming deities other than Asklepios, see J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 59 note 59. For 7 similar monuments from the Sanctuary of Apollo Maleatas, see 60 note 78. On 60, brief discussion of Ποσειδῶν Ἀσφάλειος IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.555; Ποσειδῶν Σαλαμεινίος IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.556; and Ποσειδῶν IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.150 (ph.).

362. Epidauros. Constitution of the Hellenic League, 302/1 B.C. IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.68. SEG XXXII 383; XL 1693; XLI 50; XLVI 2340. G. Thür, *BIDR* 33C (1997) [2003] 219–232, examines the 'Prozessrechtliches' aspects of this document, particularly the roles of the πρόεδροι, the courts, the process of εἰσαγγεῖν, εἰσαγγελία, the prerogative of ὁ βουλόμενος, the assessment of penalties, fines, etc. He reprints the text, with German translation, of LL 34–37, 44–47, 60–66, 81–94, 108–113, proposing the following new restorations. LL 44/45 εἰσι|αγγε|λῆ|ται . . . βουλό|μενος|, L. 46 [πρό|εδροι μὴ εἰσαγγ|ωσιν|], L. 61 [πό|λεων|], L. 66 [ἐπι|κρινέ|τωσαν|, L. 89 [παρ|α|λαβ|ό|ντες|, L. 110 [πρό|εδροι|].

363. Epidauros. Religion: Μαλεάτας. See our lemma no. 2242.

364. Epidauros. Asklepieion. Cure inscriptions. IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.121–124. SEG XLIX 377; \* L 1687, 1747, LI 434; \* LI 645, 872, 1900, 2002. In *Τα Ασκληπιεία της Πελοποννήσου* (see our lemma no. 270) 66–78, S.G. Stavropoulos prints the texts in modern Greek and adds a general comparative analysis (81–93) of some of the symptoms and treatments. The author is a practicing medical doctor.

C. Higbie, *The Lindian Chronicle and the Greek Creation of Their Past* (Oxford 2003) 264–267 (cf. our lemma no. 821), quotes, translates, and comments on selected passages of these inscriptions in a general discussion of the purpose of displaying such records and related inventories in Greek sanctuaries. 'They testify to the god worshipped there; at Epidauros, one might also claim that they act as advertisements for the ability of Asklepios.' (267)

365. Epidauros. Asklepieion. Isyllos' hymn to Apollo and Asklepios, ca. 300 B.C. IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.128. SEG XLIX 378; \* LI 435, 2342. M.T. Molinos Tejada, M. García Teijeiro, *Kernos* 15 (2002) 235–246, first explore the political situation in Epidauros ca. 338–330 B.C. Then, through analysis of the diction (e.g., κολάζω, ἐπαγκρούω, ἀνδραγαθία), the imagery (the πομπή of good citizens in LL 14–17), the epiphany of Asklepios as a local deity, and the who merely offered anachronistic solutions to current problems, expressed by Wilamowitz in *Isyllos von Epidauros* (Berlin 1886).

A. Kolde, *Politique et religion chez Isyllos d'Epidaure*. *Schweizerische Beiträge zur Altertumswissenschaft* 28 (Basel 2003), presents a very detailed, thorough, book-length (443 pages) study of all aspects of this inscription. In Part One (1–222), the Commentary, he treats the history of the discovery of the stone, previous editions, descriptions of the stele and its lettering (late 4th/early 3rd cent. B.C.), organization of the text, new text based on autopsy of the stone (ph.), full app. crit., French translation, extensive commentary covering the structure of the inscription, prosody, the author, deities named in the text, analysis of the dialect, diction, political terminology, comparison with a selection of 13 'sacred laws', the meaning of L. 30 ἄδων, and also the topography of Epidauros, the paian itself, and the genealogy of Asklepios.

In Part Two (223–337), Kolde takes up the following themes: 1) the person of Isyllos, his quality as a poet, and the genre to which his poetry belongs; 2) the date of the poem (ca. 280 B.C.); 3) a comparative study of Isyllos' version of the birth of Asklepios and other sources.

In an Annexe (338–363), Kolde reprints, with French translation and abbreviated lemmata, literary testimonia and also the 13 'sacred laws' mentioned above, which we list here.

1. Eretria. IG XII.9.192.
2. Amphipolis. SEG XLIV 505.
3. Athens. LSCG 31; IG II<sup>2</sup> 1146.
4. Peiraeus. LSCG 34; IG II<sup>2</sup> 337.
5. Athens. LSCG 40; IG II<sup>2</sup> 772.
6. Epidauros. LSCG 60; IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.40.
7. Eretria. LSCG 93; IG XII.9.194.
8. Ialysos. LSCG 136; IG XII.1.677.
9. Kos. LSCG 158.
10. Epidauros. IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.47.
11. Kos. LSCG 152.
12. Athens. IG I<sup>3</sup> 137.
13. Athens. LSCG Suppl. 11; IG II<sup>2</sup> 47.

In a long appendix (364–398), Kolde discusses 'Ἑωτήρ: Démétrios Poliorkète, Ptolémée I<sup>er</sup> et Asclépios,' in which he reprints the ithyphallic hymn in honor of Demetrios from Athenaios 15.677A = Philochoros, *FGH* no. 328 F 165; French translation and commentary, including Ἑωτήρ as an epithet of Asklepios in inscriptions. Bibliography (399–411). Index, including inscriptions (412–443).



366. Epidauros. Hymn to Pan, Roman Imperial. *IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.130. SEG XLII 294; \* XLVII 341–343; XLIX 379; LI 435, 2342. R.S. Wagman, QUCC 75 (2003) 145–150 (ph.), reprints the complete text, with ph., copious bibliography, and commentary on the appropriateness of Pan and the Nymphs and Naiadae to the healing sanctuary of Asklepios at Epidauros. Comments on the imagery and the sound patterns of the verses as the work of an artist who, with the hymn to Pan of his illustrious predecessor Pindar in mind, created a special poem adapted to local requirements.*

367. Epidauros. Hymn to the Mother of the Gods, Roman Imperial. *IG IV<sup>2</sup>.1.131. SEG XLVII 341; \* 2337; XLIX 379; LI 435, 2342. N. Robertson, in Cybele 287–292, examines the narrative of the Mother's wandering and the foundation of her festival together with Euripides, Helen 1301–1352 and the Homeric Hymn to Demeter, suggesting that she may have eventually come to a cult site in Attica (Agra, Mouseion Hill, Phlya, or Anagyrus).*

## LAKONIA

368. Aigai. Document mentioning the Lakedaimonians. For a fragment of a stoichedon inscription containing the word [Λακε]δαίμονιοι, found in the theater at Aigai, see C. Saatsoglou-Paliadeli, *AEMΘ* 16 (2002) [2004] 482/483 (our lemma no. 587).

369. Lakonia. Imperial cult in the Koinon of the Eleutherolakonians. K. Harter-Uibopuu, in H. Cancik, K. Hitzl (edd.), *Die Praxis der Herrscherverehrung in Rom und seinen Provinzen* (Tübingen 2003) 217–221, briefly analyzes the history of this koinon, its formation (in 21 B.C. by Augustus), magistrates and officials, constitution, cults, festivals, and especially the activity of the Imperial cult as revealed by the following inscriptions from Gytheion: *IG V.1.1161* and *SEG XI 922, 923* (analysis of the Kaisareia at Gytheion).

370. Amyklai. Honors for Tib. Claudius Pratoles Brasidas, 175–200 A.D. *IG V.1.497. SEG XLVIII 453. I. Mylonopoulos, Poseidon 222–224, 297–299, in a discussion of the family of Tib. Claudius Pratoles and its priesthoods in the cults of Ποσειδῶν Δωματαίτας, Κάρνειος Βοιρέτας, and Ἡρακλῆς Γενάρχιος (IG V.1.469, 497, 589, and 608), publishes a ph. of IG V.1.497, which he was able to study in the Sparta Museum (inv. no. ME 691) in 1996. It is inscribed on a statue base, preserved height 1.65 m; no change in the text. A. Rizakis et al., *Roman Peloponnese II* no. LAC 314.*

371. Amyklai. Herm. Honors for Sextus Pompeius Eudamos, ca. 250 A.D. *IG V.1.559. SEG XLII 300; \* 1751; XLIX 2471. After examining the stone in the Museum of Sparta in 1996, I. Mylonopoulos, Poseidon 219, publishes a ph. of part of the inscribed text. No change in the text.*

372. Boiai. Honors for Publius Memmius Agakles Polonians, 2nd cent. A.D. *IG V.1.955. E. Zavvou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 181, notes that this inscription is today built into a wall of the Mathioudaki property on Akte Boion Street 119 in Neapolis Boion. A. Rizakis et al., Roman Peloponnese II no. LAC 551.*

373. Geraki. Stamped tiles. L. van Dijk-Schram, *Pharos* 10 (2002) [2004] 68–73 (ph., dr.), presents a selection of stamped tiles, mostly of the 2nd/1st cent. B.C., from the excavations of the Netherlands Institute in Athens. Included in the selection are only the dokana, AX monogram types, on which ed.pr. provides a helpful discussion on their possible connections to the cult of the Dioskouroi. 'The inscriptions will be discussed in a future issue of *Pharos*.'

374. Sparta (now in Mainz). Inscribed bronze hydria, ca. 600–575 B.C. *LSAG 199 no. 7. The name Τελεσστας written in the Lakonian alphabet on this Lakonian hydria (possibly found in Lebadeia), heretofore interpreted as a masculine name in the nominative—either the sculptor Telestas (Pausanias 5.23.7) or a dedicator or owner of the vase—is interpreted by M. Steinhart, in Essays William Slater 204–231, to be the name of a female owner in the genitive. In support, he provides a list of objects of the archaic and classical periods that name a woman as an owner (see our lemma no. 2265). [Τελέστα is unattested as the name of a woman. On 209/210, Steinhart tentatively interprets Τελέστα in IG IV 568 as a female name, but the formulation ([Θε]ῶν Λοιουχῶν[ε]ῖα Τελέστα ἀνέθηκε) clearly shows that it is a patronymic. Chaniotis.]*

375. Sparta. Votive epigram for Athena Alea, ca. 400–375 B.C. *SEG XLVI 400. In EBGR (2003) no. 25 (Kernos 19 [2006] 355/356), A. Chaniotis offers the following translation: 'Hippantidas dedicated to Alea this monument and a satron [θάρπον] in commemoration of his service as a member of the gerousia, so that anyone may watch whatever one may wish, under the condition that the young men give their place to the older men.'*

To the interpretation of A.C. Cassio, *RFIC* 128 (2000) 129–134, ha μέν καὶ λῆ, L. Dubois, *BE* (2003) 328, objects that thereafter we expect the pronoun τις in the general relative clause and that in the dependent result clause after ὥστε, despite the presence of μέν . . . δέ, the two parts are not on the same semantic level because the first part is a true consecutive clause, whereas the second expresses a condition.

376. Sparta. Honors for the emperor Tiberius, 14–37 A.D. SEG XLIX 399. Republished by I. Eustathiou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 174.

377. Sparta. Stamped tiles. E. Zavvou, A. Themis, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 167, publishes the following 2 stamped clay tiles found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Artemis Orthia Street 12.

- A. Inv. no. ΜΣ 13787. Cf. IG V.1.851. [δαμό]στος I [Αθάν]ας  
 B. Inv. no. ΜΣ 13791. Cf. IG V.1.861. [δαμόσι]ος I [Αθάν]ας TAXY I (---)

378. Sparta. Aphissou. Stamped tile, 3rd/4th cent. A.D. Fragment of a clay tile, found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service in the field of G. Manetas at Aphissou; now in the Sparta Museum, inv. no. ΜΣ 14947. Ed. pr. E. Zavvou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 176 (ph.).

[Δα]μόσιος

379. Sparta. Inscribed loomweights. SEG LII 373. A. Themis, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 170/171, publishes two pyramidal loomweights found in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Stauffert Street 12.

- A. Inv. no. ΜΣ 14173, incised. ΦΙ  
 B. Inv. no. ΜΣ 14189, impressed. ΜΕ

380. Tainaron. Inscriptions from the sanctuary of Poseidon Tainarios, Classical. IG V.1 1228–1233 SEG XL 356; XLVII 410; XLIX 2440; LII 1886. In briefly registering his view that these inscriptions do not exclusively concern helots but deal with purchased slaves of perioikoi, J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 237, notes that in 1996 he studied IG V.1.1230–1232 in the Epigraphical Museum of Athens (inv. nos. EM 11526–11528). He here publishes photographs of them; no changes in the texts. See also Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 351.

381. Tainaron. Dedication to Poseidon? 4th cent. B.C. Ed. pr. E. Zavvou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 187. Inscribed stone built into the wall of the south part of the apse of the church of the Agioi Asomatoi.

ΠΟΗΟΙΔΑ[ΝΙ]

[i.e., Ποιοῖδ[α]νι, Stroud.]

382. Teuthrone. Dedication to Poseidon, late Hellenistic. IG V.1.1218. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 240/241, reprints the text inscribed on this small, marble relief of a pig. He notes that there is some doubt about the exact provenance, but that Teuthrone, which is on the east coast of the Mani south of Gytheion, is the best candidate. He judges the lettering to be suitable for the above date and announces that he will republish this relief.

## MESSENTIA

383. Akovitika. Vase inscriptions. Dedications to Poseidon, 6th/5th cent. B.C. SEG XXV 431; XLVII 423. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 245–247, 251, 282, summarizes the results of the excavations of P. G. Themelis at this site, AAA 2 (1969) 352–356 (ph.); AD 25 (1970) A [1971] 109–125 (ph.), and urges that the sanctuary of Poseidon partly recovered in those excavations is much more likely to have belonged to the nearby polis of Pharai (Kalamata), only 3–4 km to the east, than to the more distant Thouria. He finds that the name Θιοπαλιδας in SEG XXV 431A indicates a Lakonian origin. See our lemma no. 408. For supplementary excavations at Akovitika, see Themelis, AAA 3 (1970) 303–311; G. A. Papanastasiou, AD 25 (1970) B.1 [1971] 177–179 (no inscriptions). See also N. Luraghi, *JHS* 122 (2002) 54/55, who is attracted to the hypothesis of Themelis.

384. Bolimos. Sanctuary of Artemis Limnatis. Dedication, ca. 475–450 B.C. SEG XXIX 395. N. Luraghi, *JHS* 122 (2002) 54 note 49, points out that the transcription of this text in SEG XXIX should be corrected to read as follows. Αμνέντι ο[- nomen?] ἀνέθηκεν

385. Kyparissia. Stamped tile, Classical. Ed. pr. E. Zavvou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 186 (ph.). Fragment of a clay tile with inscription in an oblong field, found reused in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service at Mandra on the north-northeast slopes of the hill of Agia Kyriaki; inv. no. AEM 573. Ed. pr. judges the lettering to be Classical.

[---]Δ[- -]IEP[- -]

[---]ΤΑΣΕΡΘ[- -]

386. Messene. A prominent Messenian family with Italian connections. In *Les Italiens* (see our lemma no. 26) 102/103, A. Spawforth traces the history of a family using the names Διονύσιος, Ἀριστομένης, and Crispianus from the famous list of subscriptions from Augustan Messene (SEG XXIII 207 L. 27) down into the 2nd cent. A.D. Prominent members include Tiberius Claudius Aristomenes II, priest of Nero (IG V.2.1450 LL. 9/10), whose son was Tiberius Claudius Dionysius (III) Crispianus I, honored as Imperial high priest 'in an

unpublished inscription from Messene, where his Roman tribe is given as the Quirina, placing the family's enfranchisement under Nero.' [EAH (1993) [1994] 34; on this inscription, apparently still unpublished, see now SEG XLIII 160 and XLVIII 500. Stroud.] His son, Spawforth suggests, was Tiberius Claudius Aristomenes III, agonothetes of the Isthmian Games in 126 A.D. (IG V 2 1469 L. 2), and the son of Aristomenes III was the most distinguished member of this family, Tiberius Claudius Crispianus II, honored at Olympia by his fellow citizens as the 'new Epaninondas' and by the Achaian League for his services as Helladarch, perhaps under Marcus Aurelius or his successor (IvO 447/448). For the wife of Tiberius Claudius Aristomenes II, priest of Nero, through whom Spawforth draws the connection with Italy, see our lemma no. 401.

**387. Messene. Honorary decree for Tiberius Claudius Saithidas Kailianos I, ca. 98–138 A.D.** SEG XLVIII 490. SEG LII 380.\* In *An.Ép.* (2002) [2005] 1314, the text is reprinted with a French translation. In L. 21, S.Follet restores [κραιο]τῶ rather than [ἀπίσ]τῶ.

**388. Messene. Decree of Leukas in honor of Damophon of Messene, 200–190 B.C.** IG IX<sup>2</sup>.1.4 1475. SEG LI 466. P.Thonemann, *ZPE* 145 (2003) 114–116, reprints LL 17–30, suggesting a series of restorations. LL 17/18 ἔδοξε ταῖς βουλῇ καὶ [τοῖς προβούλοις καὶ] ἐπιμελ[ηταῖς καὶ ἐπιδ[αιμονοῖς] (καὶ [τῶν δόμων καὶ τοῖς] ἐπιμελ[ηταῖς καὶ ἐπιδ[αιμονοῖς] - 7-10 -). SEG; for πρόβουλοι he refers to IG IX<sup>2</sup>.1.1231 (one proboulos possibly as προστάτας προβούλων). L. 30 ἔδοξε καὶ ταῖς ἀλ[φ]αῖ [et. SEG LI 466 app.crit.]

**389–390. Messene. Decrees of Smyrna and Demetrias in honor of Messenian dikastai, ca. 150 B.C.** SEG LII 383 (Smyrna) and 384 (Demetrias). In *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 37/38, P.G.Themelis provides further information about these inscriptions found in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens along the north side of the Doric temple in the agora. Among them were also discovered at least 5 stone bases with cuttings on their upper surface to anchor large inscribed stelai.

**389:** SEG LII 383. The decree of Smyrna, 61 lines.

**390:** SEG LII 384. The decree of Demetrias contains 91 lines and is crowned by a lightly incised pediment within which are inscribed the names of three honored Messenian dikastai and their grammateus. The same names appear on a similar stele discovered at Demetrias, SEG XXXVII 447. [The reference, not given by Themelis, is provided by C.Crowther in *Inscriptions and History of Thessaly: New Evidence Proceedings of the International Symposium in Honor of Professor Christian Habicht* (Volos 2006) 43 no. A17 A and B.]

Both stelai call for publication in the sanctuary of the goddess Μεσσήνη, which now identifies the adjacent Doric temple in the agora and demonstrates that this is not the temple of Zeus Soter as previously conjectured (SEG LII 383/384). See also our lemma no. 399. No texts.

**391. Messene. Judicial verdicts and settlements, 200–150 B.C.** Large orthostate block of a square statue base, found in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens at the northeast corner of the Doric temple in the agora in 2003. On the face of this block (limestone?) there survives an inscription in 4 columns, 206 lines, recording two trials of the above period, one having taken place at Andania regarding a border dispute between Messene and Megalopolis, which was decided in favor of Messene; the second, regarding the disposition of crops in the disputed region. The inscription records that the text is to be engraved on the base of the horsemen (βάθρο τῶν ἵππέων), who are believed by the excavator, P.G.Themelis, to have been statues of the Dioskouroi. Briefly noted, with no text and an illegible ph., in *EAH* (2004) [2005] 29/30.

**392. Messene. Leasing document, 1st cent. A.D.** Lower portion of a limestone stele, found in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens east of the Doric temple of the goddess Μεσσήνη in the agora (see our lemmata nos. 389–390); inv. no. 13192. In *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 38, P.G.Themelis briefly notes that the fragment contains 20 lines concerned with μισθώσεις of land for pasturage or cultivation associated with the names of gods and heroes, including Ἀρτεμιταῖον, Πυθαεῖον, and Ὑακίνθιον. A certain Βαροῖτας Δαΐφῶβου is named with an ἀγρὸν ἐντὸς τήχους Λιμνᾶτι σὺν χωρίοις. In the chronology and the subject matter, Themelis finds adequate evidence for relating this inscription to those concerned with the ὀκτώβολος εἰσφορά, IG V.1.1432/1433; SEG XLIX 424.\* No full text.

**393. Messene. Boundary stone with a curse, 6th cent. A.D.?** SEG LII 418. D.Feissel, *BE* (2004) 513: 'une datation au VI<sup>e</sup> s. me paraît trop ancienne au vu de l'écriture.'

**394. Messene. Ephebic catalogue, 70 A.D.** SEG LI 472. Text reprinted in *An.Ép.* (2002) [2005] 1315, where O.Salomies restores L. 24 [A. Γ]ράνιος Ἀγαθικός (cf. L. 32); and restores L. 26 [.] Τιμίνιος ('des Timinii sont attestés à Philomelion en Asie'). In L. 48, T. Λάρκιος should not be corrected to T. - <M>άρκιος ('des Larcii sont attestés à Athènes, Thessalonique, Éphèse, etc.').

**395. Messene. Ephebic catalogue, 177 A.D.** SEG LII 385. In reprinting the text of this inscription, the editors of *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1617 omit the second half of L. 9 and all of L. 10. In L. 24, without comment, they print Εὐρώτας; ed.pr. Εὐρώκας.



396. Messene. Ephebic catalogue, 2nd cent. A.D. SEG LII 386. E. Kapetanopoulos, *per ep.*, proposes in LL. 3/4 [Κ]λώδιος Θεόκομ[πος] - - -] | Κλώδιος Κλεόβουλος - - -], unless evidence from Messene supports the restoration of the genitive in the second name in each line.

In *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1614a-c, these same two readings are reported without comment. Also without comment are printed the following deviations from the ed.pr. L. 20 Σωτέρις, *An.Ép.* (Σωτέας, ed.pr.); L. 26 Συνδ[- - -], *An.Ép.* (Συν[- - -], ed.pr.); L. 27 Φλ[α- - -], *An.Ép.* (Φλ[- - -], ed.pr.). In L. 17, Τά[ρ]ου or Τά[ρ]ωνος?, M.Sève, S.Follet, *An.Ép.*

397. Messene. Dedication by an agoranomos, 139 A.D. Intact limestone sokoma with three hemispherical depressions on the upper surface, each preserving a hole at the bottom; inscription on the front face. Found in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens ca. 7 m northeast of the narthex of the Christian basilica; inv. no. 13395. Ed.pr. P.G.Themelis, *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 34.

Ἐτους πο' Τιβ. Κλαύδιος Κρισιπιάδης  
Γεμινιανός ἀγορανομήσας θεοῖς πᾶσι  
καὶ τῇ πόλει

[1. 170th year of the Aklia era, Chaniotis.]

398. Messene. Dedication to Eileithyia, ca. 200-150 B.C.? SEG LII 413. P.G.Themelis, *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 42, briefly describes the limestone crowning member of a base formed from 4 joining fragments found reused as building material on the western road outside the Roman villa southeast of the Asklepieion in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens; inv. no. 12969. On the surface of the long side is a dedicatory inscription. Themelis does not print a full text but he notes that the dedicator was 'H [δεῖνα - - -]λωνος and that the dedication was to Ελευθία (Ειλειθυία) and all the gods in honor of her three grandchildren (inscribed in the accusative), Δαμοφών, [Ξενότι]μος, and Δάμαλιν, children of her daughter Ἰσοδამιν and of Ξενοφίλος, from the family of the sculptor Δαμοφών. On the upper surface there is a cutting for the insertion of a marble plinth to support statues of the three children.

399. Messene. Base of the peak akroterion of the temple of [Zeus], all the gods, and the polis, dedicated by Damophon and his sons, ca. 200-150 B.C. SEG LII 415. Limestone base with cuttings for a bronze akroterion on its upper surface; inscribed on the front, left, and right faces and shaped on the bottom to fit over the apex of the pediment. Found reused in a late wall in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens in front of the Doric temple in the northwest corner of the agora, which is now identified as the temple of the goddess Μερόσηνη (see our *Leimata* nos. 389-390), inv. no. 13154. Now fully published by P.G.Themelis, *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 34-37 (dr.), who suggests that it was brought to its findspot in the Middle Ages from the temple of Zeus; for the dedication of the agonothetes Dioskouridas found near the east side of that temple, see SEG LII 410.

Themelis now reads in LL. 4/5 [θε]οῖς τε πᾶσι καὶ [τ]ῇ πόλει.

4/5. [We read from the dr. [θε]οῖς τε πᾶσι καὶ τῇ πόλει. Stroud.]

400. Messene. Dedication to Poseidon. SEG XLV 305. SEG XLVIII 507.\* For a brief summary of the architectural features of the temple of Poseidon in the agora, see J.Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 248/249.

401. Messene. Statue base in honor of Nero, 54-68 A.D. IG V.1.1450. SEG XLI 353; XLVI 418. A.Spawforth, in *Les Italiens* (see our *Leimata* nos. 26 and 386) 102/103, points out that Γεμωνία in LL. 13/14, with whom Tiberius Claudius Aristomenes II shared the cost of erecting this statue, was probably his wife, who may have numbered among the Gemonii as a member of the well-attested community of resident Romans at Messene. 'The Latin name Crispianus, first given to a putative son of this marriage, was one of the ways in which the descendants of Aristomenes and Gemonia articulated an Italian as well as Greek social identity.' Spawforth suggests a kinship connection of this rare name with the Crispri of central Italy.

402. Messene. Dedication to Aphrodite. Upper part of a moulded πεσσός with a cutting for mounting a dedication on the upper surface; found in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens ca. 50 m northwest of the theater, reused in a later wall. Ed.pr. P.G.Themelis, *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 28 (no ph.); undated by ed.pr.

Θαλιαρχίς  
Αφροδίται

403. Messene. Statue base, 2nd-3rd cent. A.D. SEG LII 407. Pointing out that the restoration Αὐρ. Αε[ωνί]δην in LL. 3/4 is shorter than that proposed for LL. 4-6, S.Follet, *An.Ép.* (2003) [2006] 1618, proposes a new reading from the ph. and suggests in LL. 4/5 ἀρισ[τοπολεῖτην γεν]όμενον αὐ[τῆς], ἀρετῆς χάριν.

404. Messene. Statue base of the philosopher Ti. Flavius -krates, 2nd cent. A.D. SEG LII 406. Statue base, broken at right; found in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens in the theater; inv. no. 13067. Ed.pr. P.G.Themelis, *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 27 (ph.).

Ἡ πόλις  
Τι(βέρτιον) Φλάβιον [- - -]  
κράτη, ἥρωα, [φιλό]-

4 σοφον, νέον Π[λά]-  
 τωνα ἀρετῆς πά-  
 σης ἔνεκεν

405. Messene. Grave epigrams of Elearchis, 4th/5th cent. A.D.? *SEG* XLVII 416; XLIX 435. V.N.Bardani, in *SEG* LII 423 no. 11. In *BE* (2004) 513. D.Feissel suggests that this inscription could be dated back to the 3rd cent. A.D.

406. Messene. Epitaph of Helen, 6th cent. A.D. Marble grave plaque recovered from grave monument no. 23 at the Arkadian Gate in excavations of the Archaeological Society at Athens, inv. no. 12963. Ed.pr. P.G.Themelis, *PAAH* (2003) [2005] 42/43.

Πάσης ἀρετῆς καὶ σοφίης  
 συνόμιμος Ἑλένη  
 ἐνθάδε κοιμᾶται  
 4 φιλοπάτωρ καὶ φιλομήτωρ,  
 φιλάδελφος, φιλόπιστος,  
 πασιφίλιτος· χαίροις  
 8 ζήσασα λυκόπαντας  
 ἔτη ΕΚ

7. λυκόπαντας, i.e. λυκάβαντας.

For the epithets in LL. 4–6, see *SEG* LII 430, 432.

407. Messene. Christian epitaph of Agathonymos, 4th cent. A.D. *SEG* LII 433. M.Sève, *BE* (2005) 28, finds 'peu vraisemblable' ed.pr. V.Bardani's restoration of the rare word ὑαλῶς (cf. *BE* [1994] 735) in LL. 4/5 [ὑα]λῶ, and suggests [ἀλ]λά. Τεχνεῖτης at this period applies to builders.

408. Thouria. The festival Pohoiaida/Poseidaia, J.Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 251, briefly discusses the evidence for the hoplite and equestrian contests in this festival in the light of the Damonon victory inscription (*IG* V.1 213) and *IG* V.1 1387, suggesting that the sanctuary of Poseidon may have been extra-urban, near the hippodrome. He also rejects the attempt of P.G.Themelis (*SEG* XXV 431, XLVII 423), followed by M.L.Zunino (*Hiera Messeniaka: La storia religiosa della Messenia dall'età micenea all'età ellenistica* [Udine 1997] 136), to associate this sanctuary with the remains of the Poseidon sanctuary that Themelis partially excavated at Akovitika; see our lemma no. 383.

## ARKADIA

409. Arkadia. Magnesia. Response to the invitation of Magnesia on the Maeander to the festival of Artemis Leukophryene, late 3rd cent. B.C. *J.Magnesia* 38. *SEG* XXXVI 389; XLIV 1689; XLVIII 522. J.Roy, *ZPE* 145 (2003) 123–130, speculates on the Mantinea or Megalopolitean origin of this decree and the circumstances under which the appended 18 poliers are described as 'the other Arkadians'. See also K.Rigsby, *AncW* 32 (2001) 183–189 no. 38; P.Gauthier, *BE* (2004) 291/292.

410. Alipheira. Decree granting amnesty, 273 B.C. *SEG* XXV 447. *SEG* XLIX 443.\* *IPark* 24. A.Dössel, *Die Beilegung* 223–234, reprints the text of *IPark* 24, adding an appendix that lists restorations by te Riele and Dubois, with German translation and commentary, including discussion of the hapax λιποδαμία L. 9, the origin and nature of the foregoing σίσσις (τὰ πρότερον γεγονότα ἀμφίλογα πρὸς ἀλλήλους), the duties of the δαμοτροῖι and χρεόνμοι, the ban on μνασιχολεῖν, and the collection of fines.

411. Lousoi. Decrees on bronze tablets, 5th cent. B.C. In *BCH* 127 (2003) 835 is a brief announcement of the discovery of 4 rectangular bronze tablets, found along the south side of the peripteral temple in excavations of the Austrian Archaeological Institute in 2001. They are pierced at the corners and carry the remains of iron nails to attach them to the wall of the cella. The texts are proxeny decrees, dated by their letterforms to the beginning of the 5th cent. B.C. Same information briefly noted in *JÖAI* 72 (2003) 330.

412. Mantinea. Record of a judicial decision, ca. 450 B.C. *IG* V.2.262. *SEG* LI 505.\* *IPark* 8. G.Thür, in *Demokratie* 109–114, returns to this inscription, examining in detail the content of the εὐχολά in LL. 24–36, which he finds more closely resembles an official proclamation than a curse as Latte and van Effenterre have proposed. 'The political leaders of Mantinea (the *dikastai*, l. 19)—with the consent of the goddess [Athena Alea] (l. 19)—pronounced (*edikasamen*, l. 18) the *euchola* . . . the instruction setting the terms for final decision. . . an oracle formulating the alternative "murderer or not murderer" to be decided finally, as far as the 12 first mentioned culprits are concerned, by a further oracle (l. 14 and 29). Based on a similar alternative also enacted by the goddess and the *dikastai* (ll. 18/19) Themandros, the 13th on the list (ll. 13 and 30), was condemned by sentence of judges, by *gnosis* (l. 15).'

In an extended examination of the study of A.Chaniotis on suppliants in Greek sanctuaries (*SEG* XLVI 444, 2263), G.Thür, in *Das antike Asyl* 23–36, returns to this inscription, among others, and concentrates on the control of the right to ἀσυλία through an oracle (28–31). He argues that the operative factor in determining the status of the suppliants is not the

pronouncement of the oracle but their permanent exclusion from the Sakralgemeinschaft by the provision in LL 20–22 [rejected by A. Chaniotis, *EBGR* (2004) no. 275 (*Kernos* 20 [2007] 319)].

412 bis. Mantinea. Religion: the mysteries of Antinoos. In a discussion of the mysteries of Antinoos in Mantinea, P. Goukowsky, *op.cit.* (cf. our lemma no. 477) 232–238, reprints the honorary epigram for Isochrysos (*IG* V.2.312), with French translation, identifying the dedicant, Ἐπιτύχχανος, as Hadrian's freedman (Marcus Aurelius, *Ep.* 8.25). The wording (LL 1/2) suggests that Epitychanos has been initiated into the mysteries of Antinoos. The expression ἐπιτύχχανος θεός in the dedicatory inscription of a porticus dedicated by C. Iulius Eurykles (*IG* V.2.281) does not refer to Antinoos' origin from Bithynion, allegedly a colony of Mantinea (L. Robert, *À travers l'Asie Mineure* [Paris 1980] 138), but to the fact that Antinoos was believed to be near his sanctuary.

413. Megalopolis. Dedication of a herm to Poseidon Asphaleios by Damophon, ca. 200–150 B.C. *IG* V.2.454. *SEG* XLI 380. \* J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 113/114 (ph.), after a helpful review of previous attempts to identify the dedicant as the famous sculptor from Messene, leaves the question of attribution open.

414. Pallantion. Argive decree in honor of the Pallantians, 318–316 B.C.? *SEG* XI 1084. *SEG* XLI 277 ter, \* 382 bis; \* XLIV 1736; L 351, 1759. After examination of the stone in the Epigraphical Museum of Athens (EM 13147), A. Alonso-Déniz and M.-L. del Barrio Vega, *ZPE* 144 (2003) 141–146 (ph.), detecting smaller letters inscribed between LL 17 and 18 and identifying them as a correction by the mason who inscribed the rest of this inscription, read L 18 init. ἀρεσβεύσῃσά. In L 19, they read ἐξαίτηάτο ΑΦΕΘΜΜΕΝ, a mason's error for ἀφαιρέσῃμεν. In LL 32/33, they report a mason's error left uncorrected, ΑΝΝΕΩΥΜΕΝΟΝΣ, i.e., ἀννεύσῃμεν, perhaps inscribed because the mason (an Arkadian?) began to inscribe the Arkadian form. The authors suggest that the Arkadian mason, through misunderstanding, was also responsible for the form ἀμβολίμου instead of ἀμβολίμου in LL 1/2. In L 8 of the Argive copy of this decree, they read from the stone [δ]ννε[υμε]ν[ο]ν[ς]; only the top of a circular letter (omicron or omega) survives in the fifth letter-space.

415. Stymphalos. Decree of Elateia in honor of Stymphalos, 187 or 186 B.C. *SEG* XI 1107. *SEG* XLIX 449. \* *An.Ep.* (1999) [2002] 1470 reprints the text, adding a French translation, and noting that S. Follet proposes [ἰσφάλειαν καὶ ἀσπλ]ίαν in L 29.

416. Tegea. Poseidon at Tegea. Despite the silence of Pausanias about a cult of this god, J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 123–127, maintains that he was an important deity at Tegea. For

this point, he cites and briefly discusses the following inscriptions. *IG* V.2.73 (ph.), 94 (ph.), 95–97; *SEG* L 441; *Syll.*<sup>3</sup> 306 (Delphi); and an unpublished herm (see our lemma no. 418).

417. Delphi. Diagramma of Alexander the Great concerning Tegean exiles, 324 B.C. *Syll.*<sup>3</sup> 306. *Tod, GHI* 202; Rhodes–Osborne, *GHI* 101. *IG* V.2, pp. xxxvi–xxxvii. *SEG* XXX 421; \* XXXIX 1547; XLII 1745. A. Bencivenni, *op.cit.* (our lemma no. 2203) 79–103 no. 4 (ph.), reprints the text of A. J. Heisserer, *Alexander the Great and the Greeks: The Epigraphic Evidence* (Norman, OK 1980) 205–229, with dimensions and physical features of the stele (Delphi Museum inv. no. 2988), massive bibliography up to 1998, Italian translation, extensive commentary on the diplomacy and the typology of the διάγραμμα, its syntax (especially LL 1–4), the oath in LL 57–67, the relationship between Alexander and Tegea as representative of his dealings with the other Greek cities, how the Tegeans implemented the provisions of the diagramma, and the publication of the diagramma by the Tegeans at home and in Delphi. See also our lemma no. 24.

418. Tegea? Dedication of a herm to Poseidon. *SEG* XLV 400. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 127, in a discussion of the cult of Poseidon at Tegea, briefly notes the presence of this herm (provenance not stated) in the Tegea Museum (inv. no. 78), apparently unpublished. See our lemma no. 416.

## ELIS

419. Elis. Dedication to Herakles, 3rd cent. A.D. *SEG* LII 469. A. Rizakis et al., *Roman Peloponnese* 1 no. EL 311, suggest the above date. For a summary of the volume, see *SEG* LI 330.

420. Elis. Two Roman milestones. In AD 54 (1999) B. I [2005] 243/244, O. Vikatou briefly mentions 2 stone milestones, one found at Daphniotissa (inv. no. A 1637), another at Skaphidia (inv. no. A 1624). No attempt is made to decipher the worn [Latin or Greek?] inscription on each.

420 bis. Akroreia. C. Ruggeri, *Tyche* 18 (2003) 135–144, collects and analyzes the literary, epigraphical, and archaeological evidence for the history and topography of this region located in the northwest corner of Elis (map). Its inhabitants (Ἀκρορείται) were divided into at least 4 poleis—Θράιστος, Ἄλιον, Εὐπάριον, and Ὀπύς—and formed part of the so-called πελοποννησίων of Elis, who were members of the Peloponnesian League in the 5th cent. B.C. and won their independence from Elis at the end of the Spartan–Eleian war ca. 400 B.C. They joined with the

Pisatans and Arkadians in an alliance in 365/4 B.C., *SEG* XXII 339. Thraistos is attested epigraphically in *IG* IX<sup>2</sup>.1.138 and Add. p. 84, from Kalydon, in which 5 citizens of Thraistos are named as judges in a territorial dispute. Opous is recorded in the great Delphian catalogue of theorodokoi, *SEG* XXVI 624. Ruggeri traces a number of the main roads in this region described in the military narratives of Xenophon, Diodoros, and Polybios, suggesting that Opous is to be identified with the ancient ruins on the acropolis of Gartsiko and that the other cities in the Akroreia are to be located between Skiadovouni on the east and Skollis on the west. She also discusses the location of the Pisatan city of the Ἀλασυνεῖς, who make a joint dedication with the Akroreioi at Olympia (*IvO* 258), situating it on the road from Elis to dedication with the Akroreioi at Olympia (*IvO* 258), situating it on the road from Elis to Olympia. It was the site of a large local market in which the Akroreioi probably participated.

To be analyzed in *SEG* LIV, C. Ruggeri, *Gli stati intorno Olimpia. Storia e costituzione dell'Elide e degli stati formati dei perieci elei (400–362 a.C.)* (Stuttgart 2004).

421. Olympia. Augustus, Tiberius, and construction in and around the Altis. Archaeological evidence for structural alterations in the Sanctuary of Zeus under the first Roman emperors is the main focus of the generously illustrated study by A. Lo Monaco, *ASAA* 81.1 (2003) [2004] 475–510. She provides a catalogue of the buildings in question (494–503): Triumphal Propylon, Circuit Walls, Metroon, South Stoa, Echo Stoa, Stadium. Occasionally she briefly cites relevant inscriptions from Olympia, *IvO* 221, 315, 331, 335, 363, 365–367, 369–371, 426, 913.

422. Olympia. Treaty between Selinous and a group of exiles, *IvO* 22. See our lemma no. 1030 (2).

423. Olympia. Lex sacra, ca. 500 B.C. *SEG* LII 477. In *BE* (2005) 221, S. Minon gives a helpful summary of this inscription, with notes primarily on the diction and syntax, adding that she includes a commentary on this text in her forthcoming *Inscriptions éléennes dialectales*. [We eagerly await the arrival of this work in Berkeley CA. Stroud.]

424. Olympia. Decree of the Chaladrians awarding citizenship to Deukalion, ca. 500–475 B.C. *IvO* 11. *SEG* XXXI 360; \* XLIV 1735; XLVII 446, 2304; XLIX 462, 2436; L 458, 1717; LI 518. In a discussion of ἱκεσία and ἀσυλία in the *Suppliants* of Aeschylus, M. Dreher, in *Das antike Asyl* 75–81, quotes, translates, and infers from the text of this decree that, even though the word is not explicitly stated in the terms of this document, Deukalion is granted ἀσυλία together with citizenship and other privileges by the Chaladrians. He is neither a μέτοικος nor a ἱκέτης. For Dreher, similar inferences may be drawn from *IG* IX<sup>2</sup>.1.3 609, from Naupaktos, and *IG* IX<sup>2</sup>.1.717, *Staatsverträge* II.146, treaty between Chaleion and Oiantheia. He urges that in the real world of the archaic and classical polis, 'Die religiös-kultische Hikesie und die fremdenrechtliche Asylie sind ... zwei eigenständige Einrichtungen.

die unterschiedlichen gesellschaftlichen und Bereichen angehören.' Aeschylus has taken these two separate concepts and combined them through his 'dichterische Freiheit' to construct 'ein fiktives Asylrecht'. (81)

425. Olympia. Bronze tablet. Honorary decree of Elis for two foreigners, ca. 450 B.C. *SEG* L 460. *SEG* LI 532; \* LII 478. In *BE* (2005) 222, S. Minon suggests that in the text of L. 3, ΤΑΡΑΕΟΙΣΚΡΟΕΠΗΤΑΜΙΕΟΝ, we might have at least ἐν τῷ ἄμυσον, 'à moitié'; cf. *IG* IX<sup>2</sup>.1.609 LL. 16, 18.

426. Olympia. Dedication of a bronze statuette, ca. 500 B.C. *SEG* XXXIX 400. H. Kyrieleis, in *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 148–152 (ph.), gives a detailed publication of this standing youth, with earlier bibliography, endorsing U. Sinn's date (*SEG* XXXIX 400) and ascription to a Lakonian workshop. He prints the text, without subscript dots, as follows. ἡμιλεὺς τοῖ δὲ Ὀλυ(μ)πίοι ἀνέθηκε(ε)

427. Olympia. (Athenian?) dedication, late 6th cent. B.C. *SEG* XV 245. M. L. Lazzarini, *Le formule delle dediche votive nella Grecia arcaica* (Rome 1976) 107/108. A. Schachter, *op.cit.* (our lemma no. 472) 68/69, identifies the script (chi, gamma) as Athenian and not Boiotian. Accepting Lazzarini's reading χάρματ[α] in L. 1 (χάρματ[α], *SEG*), he restores L. 2, e.g., [ἀν] Ἀθηναῖοι ἀνέθεσαν] Ταυγαρά[ον ἡ]ελόντες,

428. Olympia. Dedications of bronze weapons by the Rhegians and Messenians as spoils taken from the Lokroi, ca. 477 B.C. *SEG* XXIV 304, 305, 311, and 312. *SEG* XLII 383,\* 396; XLV 1456; XLIX 473. I. D'Angelo, *Aevum* 76 (2002) 9–15, after an examination of the literary and historical sources, particularly the scholia to Pindar, argues that there was only one invasion of Lokroi by Anaxilas of Rhegion and his son Kleophon in 477 B.C., not two as supposed by some scholars, and that it was the occasion for these dedications.

429. Olympia. Signature of the sculptor Daippos of Sikyon. H. Kyrieleis, in *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 15, briefly notes the discovery of the signature of Daippos of Sikyon, student of Lysippos, on a base for an honorary statue in excavations of the German Archaeological Institute in 1989 north of the Prytaneion. No text; no ph.; no further details.

430. Olympia. Statue base of Chrestion, Hellenistic. *SEG* XLVIII 549. In *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 31–33 (ph.), H. Kyrieleis briefly notes the discovery of this base in 1997 in excavations of the German Archaeological Institute in the Kladeosmauer; no change from the text in *BCH* 122 (1998) 781/782 (ph.).



**431. Olympia.** Statue base of Asamon of Elis, Hellenistic. *SEG* XXXVII 361.\* H.Kyrieleis, in *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 2/3 (ph.), briefly notes that this statue base (*SEG* XXXIII 329), mentioned by Pausanias (6.16.5) and discovered in excavations of the German Archaeological Institute, was found built into the south wall of the 'Spolienhaus'.

**432. Olympia.** Statue base for an Olympic victor. In *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 38, K.Herrmann briefly notes that H.Taeuber in 1987 had identified a block reused in the 'Spolienhaus' as a statue base for the Stadionsieger Deinosthenes, mentioned by Pausanias (6.16.8). Only the letters HNO have so far been read; no ph., no further details.

**433. Olympia.** Victor inscription of Kasia of Elis, 21 A.D. *IvO* 233. *SEG* XLVIII 550.\* In *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 14, H.Kyrieleis briefly notes the discovery of a new fragment of this inscription, in excavations of the German Archaeological Institute north of the Prytaneion in 1989, which permits the correction of the Olympiad from the erroneous 233rd Olympiad (153 A.D.) to the correct 200th Olympiad (21 A.D.). [See, for this same information, *SEG* XL 391 and S.Zoambaki, *ZPE* 99 (1993) 227-232 (*SEG* XLIV 389). Stroud.]

**434. Olympia.** Honorary statue for Tib.Claudius Rufus, ca. 41-123 A.D. *IvO* 55. See our lemma no. 2160.

**435. Olympia.** Dedication of a victory chariot of L.Minicius Natalis, after 153 A.D. *IvO* 236. *Syll.*<sup>3</sup> 840. *SEG* XXXV 385; XLII 388; XLVIII 553. A.Kriekhaus, in L.de Blois et al. (edd.), *The Representation and Perception of Roman Imperial Power. Proceedings of the Third Workshop of the International Network Impact of Empire (Roman Empire, c. 200 B.C.-A.D. 476), Rome, March 20-23, 2002* (Amsterdam 2003) 302-317, points out that in the 1980s, a third fragment was found (published by F.-P.Verrié in an inaccessible Spanish publication in 1989, see *SEG* XLII 388) and presents the following new text. He accepts C.Habicht's view (*SEG* XXXV 385) that the victor erected the monument after having held the consulship and the governorship of Libya, i.e., ca. 25 years after the victory of 129 A.D. Kriekhaus suggests that Minicius may have belonged to Hadrian's retinue in 128/9 A.D., when the emperor visited Greece. Further reflections on the relation between the family of this senator, L.Minicius Natalis Quadrionius Verus from Barcino, and Hadrian

[Α. Μινίκιος] Νατάλις στρατηγικός Ὀλυμπιάδι σῆς ἄρματι τελείῳ νεικήσας ἀνέθηκεν τὸ ἄρμα, ὑπάτος, ἀνθύπατος Λιβύης

**436. Olympia.** Three inscribed victory monuments described by Pausanias. *IvO* 143. 158, 166. See our lemma no. 42.

**437. Olympia.** List of Olympic victors, after 385 A.D. *SEG* XLV 412; LI 541.\* In briefly reporting the discovery of this plaque, H.Kyrieleis, in *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 20/21 (ph.), reprints a ph. and dr.

**438. Olympia.** Inscribed markers on terracotta well lining cylinders. K.Herrmann, in *Olympiabericht* XII (2003) 214-217 (ph., dr.), describes, illustrates, and speculates on the identity, sequence, and functions of the following markers incised on the upper surface of the open terracotta cylinders that line the inside of a well of the 5th cent. B.C. excavated to the north of the Prytaneion by the German Archaeological Institute. B, HH (ligature), E, EF, FI, PI, IF (TF).

## ACHAIA

**439. Aigion.** Proxeny decree of the Achaian Koinon for hostages from Boiotia and Phokis, ca. 227 B.C. *CIG* 1542; *Syll.*<sup>3</sup> 519. *SEG* XV 261; XXXII 431; L 481; LII 505. D.Knoepfler, in *Les élites* 85-106, resumes his analysis (*SEG* L 481) concerning the number of the eight Boiotian hostages in this document: i.e., with their secretary, they formed a board of representatives of the seven districts of the Boiotian Koinon. On 99-106, detailed argument in support of his interpretation, based in part on the date of this decree—after the defeat of the Aitolians by Antigonos Doson in 228 B.C. (87-90)—and on the prosopography of the hostages (90-99), demonstrating that these persons belonged to the elites of their cities.

In LL 3/4, he suggests the reading Ἀρχε[λαΐδαι] Ὀλυμπίωνος Ταναγραίων (Ἀρχέαι, *CIG* and *Syll.*<sup>3</sup>, on the basis of a copy by Cyriacus of Ancona), since in Boiotia one would expect the form Ἀρχείας or Ἀρχίας and since an Ὀλυμπίων Ἀρχελαΐδης Ταναγρῆος, probably his son, is attested in *IG* VII 290 = *I.Oropos* 197, ca. 205-200 B.C. This Archelaidas may also be the father of at least one of the three female donors with this patronymic in a subscription list from Tanagra (Migeotte, *Souscriptions* no. 28 [cf. *SEG* XLVII 512], ca. 210 B.C.) (92/93). Ἀριστομένης Μετρίχου Ὠρώπιος (LL 4/5) is also known as a proposer of decrees (*I.Oropos* 57; *SEG* XV 269 = *I.Oropos* 83; perhaps also *I.Oropos* 59); his brother Λύσανδρος Μετρίχου was president of the federal assembly (*IG* VII 4260; *SEG* I 108/109 = *I.Oropos* 35-37) and proposer of a decree (*IG* VII 280 = *I.Oropos* 49). Κλεόμαχος Μετρίχου may be a second brother; he is known as proxenos of Elateia (*IG* IX.1.100) and proposer of decrees (*IG* VII 252/253, 256 = *I.Oropos* 176, 186/187), and he may also have served as an eponymous priest (*Hyettos*, pp. 286/287). Ἀριστογείτων [Μετρίχου] (*IG* VII 387 = *I.Oropos* 107), president of the assembly of Oropos, is possibly a third brother. Ἀπολλόδοτος Ἀσκληπιοδότου Κορωνεύς (L 5) may be the homonymous eponymous archon of the Boiotian Koinon around 230 B.C., whose patronymic is not known (*IG* VII 246 and 2809; *Hyettos*, pp. 287/288). Νυκίας (sc. Νικείας) Κορρινάδου Θεσπιεύς (L 8) is known as a member of a committee responsible for the purchase of land (P.Jamot, *BCH* 19 [1895] 379-385 no. 29 =

Ameling-Bringmann-Schmidt-Dounas, *Schenkungen* [see SEG XLV 2299] 134-136 no. KNr 85; cf. SEG XLVI 536). Not known from other sources are Εὐδαμος Βρύχωνος Πλαταιεύς (L. 3), Ἀκρότατος Ἰσμηνοδώρου Θηβαῖος (L. 6; a name possibly inspired by that of the Spartan prince Ακρότατος), Ῥόδων Τομικράτους Ἀλιάρτιος (LL. 6/7), and Ἀριστίων Καλλιππίδου Ὀρχομένιος (LL. 7/8; possibly a nephew of Τελέσιππος Ἀριστίωνος [see our lemma no. 462]). Νικανδρίδας, one of the Achaian *damiourgoi* (L. 14), should be identified with Νικανδρίδας Νικοδάμου Κορίνθιος, *proxenos* of Oropos around 225 B.C. (*I.Oropos* 162).

440. Aigion? (now in Baltimore). Inscribed bronze oinochoe, ca. 450 B.C. SEG XI 1266, XLIX 493. J. Mylonopoulos, *Poseidon* 35, 374/375, with earlier bibliography, notes that Αἰγίος could be an epithet of Poseidon or a founding hero of the polis.

441. Aigion. Sanctuary of Mithras in a cave. See our lemma no. 447.

442. Ano Mazaraki. Dedication to Artemis Aontia, ca. 500-475 B.C. SEG XLVIII 560, LII 489.\* In BE (2003) 319, S. Minon notes that if the epithet of Artemis, Ἀοντία, derives from ἄω, as has been suggested, then the expected form would be Ἀφοντία. She proposes a derivation from ἀνδάνω, i.e., Πα<δ>οντία, related to the Doric form of the adjective ἀδούσιος and the name Ἀδούσιος. 'Le sens de l'épiclese serait propitiatoire comme celui d'Ἡμέρα pour l'Artemis de Lousoi.'

443. Keryneia. Inscribed tile, Roman. SEG LII 492. In AAA 35-38 (2002-2005) 129-148, E. Kolia cites this tile, together with evidence from Pausanias and other sources, as proof that the extensive remains of fortification walls, a springhouse, a cemetery, theater, two temples and an altar, fragments of 'Parian' marble pedimental sculpture, a house, a reservoir, and a public building, excavated on the hill of Kato Vouni near Mamousia by the Greek Archaeological Service, are to be identified as the ancient city of Keryneia, a member of the Achaian League. She reports the reading of this tile as KAPYN[ΑΙΩΝ], without discussing its date, and refers to the forthcoming publication of I. Dekoulakou, 'Ταφικό μνημεῖο στην Κερύνεια Αχαΐας' (diss. Univ. of Thessaloniki 1994) 21/22. For the spelling KAPYN(ΕΙΩΝ), see SEG LII 492.

444. Kleitor. Epitaph of Damatra. Fragment of a stele, found on the surface on the left bank of the river Karnesios by G. Alexopoulou; AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 281; no date; no ph.

Δάματρα,  
χαίρει

445. Kynaitha? Kastro Kalavryton/Kastro Orya. Stamped tiles. G. Alexopoulou, AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 264/265, briefly notes the discovery of 2 stamped clay tiles reused as a cover over a child's grave ca. 5 m from the southeast corner of the chapel of Agia Paraskevi in excavations of the Greek Archaeological Service. Height of letters 0.018 m; no ph.

A. AP  
B. N

[The author does not indicate whether there is uninscribed space before or after these letters or whether the inscriptions are complete. Stroud.]

446. Patras. Epitaph of Nikopolis, 1st/2nd cent. A.D. SEG L 477. For this very well-preserved grave stele, see also BCH 127 (2003) 827/828 (ph.).

447. Patras. Inscribed Mithras relief, 2nd/3rd cent. A.D. ILGR 47. E.-I. Kolia, *MDAI(A)* 118 (2003) 417-423 (ph.), provides a detailed description of this relief with its Latin inscription, now in the Patras Museum (inv. no. 19), exploring its cultic iconography and noting that the word *miles* in L. 1 is a cultic designation of the dedicators (cf. *miles pius*, *στρατιώτης εὐσεβής*) and has nothing to do with the Roman legion in Patras. Of interest is the circumstance that the dedicator, I- - - Iesarchus, was Greek, writing in Latin.

In the context of her discussion of this object and the publication of a cult cave at Aigion that was probably a Mithraeum (397-417), Kolia adds a useful account of 'Der Mithraskult in Achaia und im westlichen Griechenland' (423-427).

448. Patras. Latin inscription. In AD 54 (1999) B.1 [2005] 258/259 (ph.), G. Alexopoulou provides an excellent and detailed account of the excavation of a section of road and surrounding structures of the 2nd cent. A.D. in which this marble plaque, inscribed Tector[- - -] (Nominel[- - -]), was discovered. The work was carried out by the Greek Archaeological Service on Corinth Street.

## MEGARIS

449. Kos. Megarian decree concerning the *asylia* of the Asklepieion. See our lemma no. 850B.

## I.A. NAMES OF MEN AND WOMEN

A-- 386.20;  
 Ἀγαθή 332  
 Ἀγαθόκλεια 143 I.33, II.62  
 Ἀγαθοκλῆς 143 I.33  
 Ἀγασικλῆς 180  
 Ἀγίας 143 I.37  
 Ἀδητος 96.3  
 Ἀθήναιος 185 III.11  
 Ἀθηνόδωρος 9  
 Αἰ..ρον? 143 II.58  
 Αἰσ-- 196.13  
 Αἰσχίας 130.9, 19  
 Αἰσχίνης 143 I.28  
 Αἴσων 295?  
 Αἰχμαγώρας 294  
 Ἀκρότατος 439  
 Ἀκρότιμος 130.21  
 Ἀλεξίμαχος 185.4  
 Ἀλκίτης 139.6  
 Ἀλκίδαμος 136  
 Ἀμβρόσιος 146  
 Ἀμειν-- 196.5  
 Ἀμφίθος 185.5  
 Ἀμφίων 185.5  
 Ἀνδρομ-- 196.14  
 Ἀνδρομένης 196.14  
 Ἀνθουσα 320  
 Ἀντίας 237  
 Ἀντίδοτος 133.21  
 Ἀντίδωρος 139.5  
 Ἀντίμαχος 9, 130  
 Ἀντίπατρος 9  
 Ἀντιφών 9  
 Ἀντώνιος cf. s.v. Φαῦστος  
 Ἀνφιάναξ 196.5  
 Ἀνφίας 196.12  
 Ἀπολ[---] 139 app.cr.  
 Ἀπολλόδωρος 196.9/10; 257 A.9, 11  
 Ἀπολλοφάνης 139 app.cr.  
 Ἀπολλωνία 143 II.49  
 Ἀπολλωνίδης 143 I.45

Ἀπολλώνιος 237  
 Ἀργαῖος 187 III.4  
 Ἀρεθούσιος 256.5  
 Ἀριστεύς 300  
 Ἀριστίων 9, 143 I.44; 439  
 Ἀρίστων 143 I.44  
 Ἀριστείδης 62  
 Ἀριστο[---] 187 III.6  
 Ἀριστογείτων 439  
 Ἀριστοκράτης 242  
 Ἀριστόμαχος 130.8; 139.12  
 Ἀριστομένης 439  
 Ἀρχαμένης 257 A.14  
 Ἀριστομήδης 143 II.64  
 Ἀρξίλλος 185.13  
 Ἀρρενείδης 9  
 Ἀρχέδικος 97  
 Ἀρχεῖας 439  
 Ἀρχελαΐδας 439  
 Ἀρχεσίλας 101.6  
 Ἀρχίας 430  
 Ἀρχίππη 234  
 Ἀρχώ 248  
 Ἀσκληπιος 143 II.52  
 Ἀσκληπιιάδης 143 II.54, 60, 63  
 Ἀσκληπιόδωρος 439  
 Ἀστυγένης 121.5  
 Ἀττικὸς 220.2, 4, 17  
 Αὐτοσθένης 92  
 Αὐφιδιάτος 191  
 Ἀχαϊκός; Ἀ. Γράν. Ἀ. 394

Βαροίτας 392  
 Βιότη 243 bis  
 Βίोटτος 143 III.62  
 Βρύχων 439

Γεμινιανός; Τιβ. Κλαύδ. Κρισπιανός Γ.  
 397  
 Γηρόστρατος 143 I.29  
 Γλαυκέτης 256.8

Γοργ[---] 143 III.76  
Γράνιος cf. s.v. Αχαϊκός

Δατράβος 392  
Δαμαλίας 398  
Δαματρα 216, 444  
Δαμοφών 398  
Δάμων 76  
Δαμωνίδης 76  
Δεινόστρατος 133 app.cr.  
Δείναν 133 app.cr., 256.4; 257 A.8/9  
Δεισιθεός 257 A.8/9  
Δεξιθεός 139.14  
Δεξιμβροτος 101.5  
Δερκυλίδης 248  
Δη-- 240  
Δημεινέτος 240, 243 bis  
Δήμαρχος 196.12  
Δημήλιος 216  
Δημονίκη 143 II.59  
Δημοστράτη 143 II.65; 238  
Δημόστρατος 257 A.14  
Δημόφιλος 298 bis  
Δημοχάρης 143 b.7  
Δήμων 216  
Δηρίων 63  
Δηριωνεύς 63  
Διωνητός 9  
Διοδώρα 143 II.52  
Διοκλ[ε-] 196.6  
Διοκλής 139.8, 13; 173; 257 A.2  
Διομέδων 9, 196.6  
Διονυσία 143 I.30; II.60  
Διονυσιος 139.6; 143 I.30, II.61; 187  
II.11, III.3; 233, 240 bis  
Διονυσόδωρος 101.3; 143 b.8  
Διονυσιακός 143 II.59  
Διότιμος 115  
Διοφάνης 139 app.cr.  
Διόφαντος 101.3  
Διοχάρης 126  
Δίφιλος 196.8  
Δίαν 196.8

Δόκιμος 162  
Δρομέας 137.8  
Δρόμιππος 234  
Δωρόθεος 139 app.cr.

"Εκφαντος 9  
"Ελένη 406  
"Ελπιδοφόρος 351  
"Εόρτιος 203  
"Επαινέτος 257 A.6  
"Επαμεινών 196.7  
"Επιγένης 136  
"Επίχαρμος 110  
"Εράσιμος 305  
"Εργέας 93  
Εύβουλος 9  
Εύδαμος 439  
Εύδημος 139.5  
Εύθυκράτης 139.10  
Εύθυμαχος 139.10  
Εύμαρείδης 136  
Εύρυκλείδης 9, 262 A  
Εύρωπας 395  
Ευρώτας 395  
Ευσέβης 187 III.6  
Ευτύχης 187 II.7  
Ευτυχιανός 319  
Ευφάνης 136  
Ευφίλτατος? 191  
"Εχεσθένης 257 A.7

Ζωῖλος 239

"Ηδ[---] 143 III.80  
"Ηδύλος 187 II.6  
"Ηλιώδωρος 9  
"Ηρόστρατος 257 A.15  
"Ηρώδης 220.14

Θαλιαρχίς 402  
Θάλλουσα 297  
Θεμιστοδίκη 143 I.34  
Θεμιστοκλής 75, 143 b.23

Θεογένης 143 I.36  
Θεοδότη 332  
Θεόδωρος 143 I.42; 338  
Θεοτέκνα 332  
Θεόφημος 9  
Θερσίλοχος 9  
Θιοπαλίδας 383  
Θυμοχάρης 9

"Ιαριστεύς 300  
"Ιλαρος 352  
"Ιάσων 9  
"Ιερόκλεια 143 II.61  
"Ιεροφάνης 198  
"Ιέρων 9  
"Ισιός 143 II.64; 250  
"Ισμηνοδωρος 439  
"Ισοδαμής 398  
"Ισχίς 13 bis  
"Ισχυρίας 222  
"Ιωάννης 338

Καικίλιος cf. s.v. Σωτήριχος  
Καλιφάνης 247  
Καλλ[---] 143 II.51  
Καλλίας 139.3; 143 I.43  
Καλλικλῆς 185 III.11  
Καλλιμήδης 9  
Καλλινίκη 143 I.28  
Καλλινόη 143 I.32  
Καλλιπίδης 439  
Κάλιππος 139 app.cr.  
Κάλλιππος 139.14  
Καλλιστρατίδης 110  
Κηφισόδοτος 35, 196.13  
Κηφισόδωρος 202  
Κηφισοφών 196.11  
Κίμων 9, 257 A.3, 8  
Κλαύδιος cf. s.v. Γεμινιανός, Κρισπιανός.  
Κλε-- 140.6  
Κλειωστράτη 250 bis  
Κλειτομάχης 250 bis  
Κλεόμαχος 9

Κλεοπάτρα 143 II.66  
Κλειτόμαχος 250 bis app.cr.  
Κλεότιμος 301  
Κλεώ 143 II.48, 50  
Κόιντος; Κ. Κακίλ. Σωτήριχος 355  
Κόλχος 63 bis  
Κόνων 139  
Κορρινάδης 439  
Κόροιβος 196.1  
Κράτης 203  
Κρισπιανός, Τιβ. Κλαύδ. Κ. Γεμινιανός  
397  
Κυ-- 348/349  
Κυδήνωρ 9  
Κυπριανός 326  
Κυριακός 320

Λαίλιος 187 II.7  
Λάρκιος cf. s.v. Τίτος  
Λαύδα 302  
Λαυδίκη 302  
Λεόντιον 247 bis  
Λεοσθένης 35  
Λεωδάμας 139.12  
Λεώστρατος 101.2  
Ληοντίς 308  
Λούκιος; Λ. Γράν. Αχαϊκός 394  
Λυκομήδης 257 B.1  
Λυανδρος 127  
Λυκαμήδης 126  
Λυκούργος 102  
Λυκόφρων 102  
Λυσαν-- 185.8  
Λυσανδρος 439  
Λυσανίας 9  
Λυσαρήτη 195  
Λυσαρώ 295  
Λυσιάνδης 9, 127  
Λυσίας 9  
Λυσίμαχος 224

Μάρκιος cf. s.v. Τίτος  
Μάρκος; Μ. Αντών. Φαύστος 242





Μεγάλιος 187 III.3; 439  
Μενανδρος 35  
Μενεκλῆς 9  
Μενεκράτης 187 II.14  
Μενέλαος 163 bis  
Μηνόδοτος 143 I.38  
Μικίων 143 I.34; 262 B  
Μνησίδης 9  
Μνησίβιος 196.11  
Μνησιδημος 115  
Μνησώ 143 II.63  
Μυρώ 143 I.42

Νάννιον 223  
Ναύκριτος 97  
Νέετμος 97  
Νεκ[---]ος 187 III.10  
Νικανδρίδας 439  
Νικάνωρ 140.2; 196.15  
Νικαρέτας 303  
Νικηφόρος 305  
Νικήτης 205  
Νικίας 9, 143 II.50; 233, 439  
Νικόδαμος 439  
Νικόδρομος 133.22  
Νικομάχη 143 II.54  
Νικόμαχος 143 II.55  
Νικόστρατος 9, 100, 256.4  
Νίκων 133.22

Ξέναρχος 257 A.6  
Ξένων, Φλάβιος Ξ. 204  
Ξενοκλῆς 232  
Ξενοκράτης 143 II.57  
Ξενοπειθής 196.16  
Ξενοστράτη 143 I.37  
Ξενοτίμος 247, 398?  
Ξενοφίλος 398  
Ξενοφών 232

Οϊνείδης 196.10; 257 A.9, 11  
Ολυμπιόδωρος 9  
Ολυμπιάν 439

Ομοιεύς 426  
Ονήσιμος 162  
Ορανία cf. s.v. Πάλλα  
Ουαλέριος 187 II.5  
Ουεντίδιος 187 II.14  
Ουίβιος cf. s.v. Πόπλιος

Πανκ-- 196.4  
Πανοίλη 143 II.57  
Παρα-- 196.7  
Παταίκιον 257 A.6, 8  
Πάτρων 143 I.40  
Παῦλα 325  
Παυσίμαχος 267  
Πειθαγόρας 63 bis  
Πειθίδημος 9, 115  
Πιστόδωρος 196.9  
Πόλλις 143 I.35  
Πολυάρατος 198, 232  
Πολύευκτος 9  
Πολυκράτης 185.8  
Πόπλιος; Π. Ουίβ. 187 III.6; Π. Σερουίλ.  
187 III.8

Ποπλίκιος 187 III.7  
Πραξιτέλης 35  
Πρόνομος 263  
Προτόμαχος 185.12  
Πυθάρατος 100  
Πυθο-- 185.12  
Πύρρος 143 I.32  
Πάλλα 307; Π. Ορανία 252

Ρόδων 439  
Ρύθμος 162

Σερουίλιος cf. s.v. Πόπλιος  
Σκύθας 63 bis  
Σμικρι-- 73  
Σμικρίας 73  
Σμίκρως 196.15  
Στῆσ-- 133.20  
Στρα-- 185.1  
Στρατοκλῆς 162

Στράτος 200  
Στράτων 185 II.6  
Στροίβος 80  
Σω-- 185 III.10, 13  
Σωκράτης 143.48  
Σώσανδρος 185 III.10  
Σωσίας 185.7  
Σωσίβιος 239 bis  
Σωσικράτης 143.41; 239 bis  
Σωσίστρατος 100  
Σωστράτης 246  
Σωτηρία 319  
Σωτηρίδας 246  
Σωτήριχος; Κόιντος Καικίλ. Σ. 355

Τελεστας 374  
Τελέστα 374  
Τέλεφος 73  
Τηλοκλῆς 9  
Τιβέριος; Τιβ. Κλ. Κρισπιανός  
Γεμινιανός 397; Τιβ. Φλάβ. [--]κρά-  
της 404  
Τίμανδρος 125  
Τίμαρχος 187 III.4  
Τιμυλλος 143 b.9  
Τίτος; Τ. Λάρκ. 394; Τ. Μάρκ. 394  
Τομικράτης 439  
Τριεσπέριος 311 D

Υψικλῆς 143 I.31

Φ-- 185.1  
Φ[....]ΗΝ[....] 96.2, 20  
Φανόμαχος 9  
Φανόστρατος 9, 185.10  
Φαῦστος; Μάρκος Ἀντών. Φ. 242  
Φειδόστρατος 9  
Φύλη 205  
Φιλίνος 9, 127  
Φίλιππος 9, 121.5; 139.9  
Φιλίστα 309

Φιλόθεος 143 II.53  
Φιλοκλῆς 35  
Φιλοκράτης 100  
Φιλόνεως 9  
Φιλόξενος 210  
Φιλόστρατος 9, 257 B.2, 6  
Φιλουμένη 35  
Φίλυλλα 35  
Φίλων 239  
Φιλωτέρα 143 I.29, II.53, 55  
Φλάβιος; cf. s.v. --κράτης, Ξενίων  
Φόβος 350  
Φρανγιών 330

Χα-- 185.4  
Χαιρελείδης 257 A.12/13  
Χαιρέστρατος 120.10  
Χαιρεφάνης 35, 143 II.65  
Χαιρήμων 119  
Χαιριγένης 130.3  
Χαρίας 196.2  
Χάριθος 185 app. et.  
Χαρικλῆς 185.7  
Χαρίνας 9  
Χαρμίδης 99  
Χαρωνίδης 196.4  
Χίων 306

Ψαρία 338  
Ψαυλίμων 162

--δημ-- 254 bis  
--τα 242 bis  
--ιανός 325  
--κλῆς 242 bis  
--κράτης; Τι. Φλάβ. [--]κράτης 404  
--λων 398  
--ταρία 326  
--υλο-- 254 bis  
--ων 257 A.2

## I. C. LATIN NAMES

Tector 448

## II. KINGS, DYNASTS AND THEIR FAMILIES

(Cf. also Index VIII for references to kings etc. without Greek titles)

Alexander III the Great of Macedon:  
βασιλεὺς Ἀλέξανδρος 172.46, 53, 56Antigonos Monophthalmos of Macedon:  
Ἀντίγονος 99

Artaxerxes II of Persia?: βασιλεὺς 93

Attalos I of Pergamon: Ἀτταλος βασιλεὺς  
272Demetrios Poliorketes of Macedon:  
Δημήτριος 99, Σωτήρ 365Dionysios I of Syracuse: Διονύσιος ἄρχων  
τῆς Σικελίας 83

Philip II of Macedon?: βασιλεὺς 93

Ptolemy I of Egypt: Πτολεμαῖος Σωτήρ  
365Roxane, wife of Alexander the Great:  
Ῥωξάνη βασιλίσσας Ἀλεξάνδρου γυνή  
172.46/47, 53, 56

## III. ROMAN EMPERORS AND THEIR FAMILIES

(Cf. the remark of the heading of Index II)

vacat

## IV. A. GEOGRAPHICAL NAMES (EXCEPT ATTICA)

Αἰσίνιος 295

Ἀκράτειος 420 bis

Ἀκταῖαι πόλεις 55

Ἀλασπεῖς 420 bis

Ἀλεξανδρεὺς 16 E

Ἀλιάρτος 439

Ἄλιον 420 bis

Ἀμαζιτὸς 55

Ἄνανδρος 55

Ἀργεῖος 298 bis

Ἄργος 292.8, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 25

Ἀχιλλεῖον 55

Δήλιος 53

Ἑλλαδικός 353 N

Ἑλλάς 353 C

Ἑλλήν 132

Ἐπιδάυριος 288

Εὐπάγιον 420 bis

Ἑρακλεῶτις 243 bis

Ἑφαιστεία 133.6/7, 31/32

Θεσπιεὺς 439

Θηβαῖος 439

Θράσιος 420 bis

Θύμβα 55

Ἰλίον 55

Ἰναχιδαιεὺς 329

Καρυναῖος 443

Κολωναί 55

Κορίνθιος 439

Κορωνεὺς 439

Κυδωνιάτης 140.9, 20

Λακεδαιμόνιος 368

Λάρ(ε)ισα 55

Ἀῆμος 93, 177

Λιβύη 435

Λιμναῖοι ἐν Χερρονήσῳ 58

Λύκιος 59

Μαγνησία 295

Μαραθήσιον 60

Μεγαρεὺς 247

Μύρνια 4, 150

Νάξιος 257 A.1

Νῆσος Πορδοσελήνη 55

Ὀλύνθιος 133.22

Ὀμφαλία, ἄνω 177

Ὀποὺς 420 bis

Ὀρχομένιος 439

Ὀφρύνειον 55

Παλαμήδειον 55

Παριανός 133.19, 21

Περγαμηνός 130.21

Πέτρα 55

Πλαταιεὺς 439

Πορδοσελήνη, Νῆσος 55

Πριηνεὺς 96.3, 17/18

Ῥοῖτειον 55

Σάμος 96.10

Σιγεὺς 133 app. cr.

Σικελία 83

Σικυανία 95, 247 bis

Σικυώνιος 101.9, 13/14, 21

Σινωπεὺς 133 app. cr.

Σκαρφίς 248

Ταναγραῖος 427, 439

Τροζένιος 257 A.7-9

Φύγελα 60

Χαλκιδεῖς 48

Χερρόνησος 58

Χερσονησίτης ἀπ' Ἀγοράς ■

Χυτόν 84

ᾠρώπιος 439

## IV. B. ATTIC TRIBES, DEMES, ETC.

Ἀγκυλῆθεν 143.8; I 44

Ἄγραι 14, 206 bis

Ἄγρυλεὺς 187 III.9

Ἀθένεθεν 192

Ἀθῆναι 14, 96.2, 5/6; 101.19; 150

Ἀθηναῖος 4, 48, 105.2, 4; 121.10; 130 A.2;

133.27-28; 427

Ἀθῆνηθεν 96.11; 192, 267

Ἀθῆνησιν 101.19; 150

Ἀιαντὶς 101.2; 143 III.31

Αἰγεῖς 119, 139.7

Αἰγυλιεὺς 143 II.64

Ἀκαμαντὶς 139.18; 143 II.47

Ἄκονη 220.7

Ἀλαιεὺς 139.10

Ἄλωπεκῆθεν 198.2; 232

Ἀναφλύστιος 127, 257 A.12/13

Ἀντιοχίς 198

Ἀρχαιοσαλαμίνιος 153

Ἀφιδναῖος 73, 143 II.65; 233

Ἀχαρνεὺς 234

Βατῆθεν 143 I.43

Βερενικίδης 143 II.63, 66

Δημητριάς 140.1/2

Διακρίς 185

Ἑκαλῆθεν 143 II.59

Ἑλευσίνιος 162

Ἐρεχθεΐς 97, 139.4; 143 I.27  
Ἐρσιδάδης 143.9; 196.9/10; 257 A.10/11  
Ἐρχιεύς 139.8; 143 I.46  
Εὐώνυμεύς 139.5; 143 I.37; 187 III.14

Ἡρακλεῶτις 243 bis

Θ[ι]--ιος 246  
Θορικός 143 II.50; 200-202  
Θριάσιος 190  
Θυμαϊτάδης 121.5

Ἰπποθοντίς 121.1, 6, 11; 130 B.2; 143 III.67

Κερίαδης 257 A.2.6  
ἐκ Κεραμείων 143 II.48, 53  
ἐκ Κηδῶν 143 I.33  
Κηφισιεύς 139.6; 143 I.28, 30, 34  
Κολωνεύς 185  
Κολωνήθεν 110  
Κολωνός 216  
Κονθυλῆθεν 126  
Κονθυλίδης 188?  
Κορυδαλλεύς 188?  
Κυδαντιδής 143 II.57; 188?

Λαμπρεύς 97, 124, 143 I.29, 31, 32, 36, 38; 133.4; 187 III.1; 237, 238  
Λευκαονεύς 239  
Λευκονο(ε)ιεύς 180, 257 A.3, 5  
Λεωντίς 139.15; 197

Μαραθῶν 220.5  
Μαραθῶνιος 128  
Μελιτεύς 143.8, 11; 239 bis  
Μυρρινούσιος 130 B.4; 222, 257 A.14/15  
ἐκ Μυρρινούττης 143 I.40, 42

## V. RELIGIOUS TERMS

ἄγαλμα 13 bis, 103, 173/174, 220.13  
ἄγιος 336.2

Ἄγρα 14  
Ἀγραία 14

ἐξ Οἴου 185  
Ὀτρυνεύς 9, 143 I.45  
Παιανεύς 101.6  
Παιονίδης 139.11; 196.8  
Παλληγεύς 130 B.7; 240  
Παιμωτάδης 187 III.1  
Πανδισιεύς 139.11  
Πειραιεύς 203, 240 bis, 257.9  
Πειραιικός 196, 257 A.10, 13, 16, B.4/5  
Περγασῆθεν 143 I.35  
Πηληγεύς 185  
Πλωθεύς 139  
Πορθμός 153  
Πρασιεύς 242 bis app. cr.  
Προβάλινθος 130 B.9; 254 bis  
Πτολεμαίς 143 II.56

Σαλαμεινίος 361  
Σαλαμίς 35  
Σκαμβωνίδης 92  
Συβρίδης 35, 187 III.12  
Σφήττιος 143 II.51, 52, 54

Υβιάδης 185

Φαληρεύς 121.4; 140.7  
Φηγαίεύς 143 I.41  
Φηγοῦσιος 101.3  
Φλυεύς 143 II.60, 61, 62  
Φρεάρριος 170

Χολαργεύς 143 II.49, 55  
"Ώαθεν 93

ἄγών cf. Index VII s.v.  
ἄδοξια 257 A.18  
Ἄελιος 298 bis; cf. s.v. Ἥλιος  
Ἄροντία 442 (Ἄρτεμις)  
Ἀθᾶνα 377  
Ἀθηνᾶ 143 II.12, 16  
- Ζωστηρία 153  
- Πολιάς 143 II.25; 172.47, 54, 57  
- Σκιράς 153  
- Σώτειρα 207 bis  
Αἰγέος 440 (Ποσειδῶν)  
Αἴδας 298 bis  
Ἀλεξ(ικακός) 33 (Ἡρακλῆς)  
ἄμην 312, 338.8  
Ἄμφιάραιος 33  
Ἀμφίλοχος 33  
ἄμφιπολεῖον 103  
ἀνάθεσις 143 II.17  
ἀνάθημα 173  
Ἄνακες 69  
ἄναξ 280 (Ποσειδῶν)  
ἀνατίθημι 13 bis, 143 II.15, 19; 157, 172.46, 52, 55; 196.3; 206 bis, 210, 293, 374, 384, 426, 435  
ἀπαρχή 13 bis, 179  
Ἀπόλλων  
- Ζωστήρ 153  
- Μεγιστεύς 83  
ἀπορία 257 B.8  
Ἀπόστολος 312  
ἀρά 257 A.8  
ἀργία 257 A.18  
ἀρνέομαι  
- ἐχέτω τὴν μερίδα (μετὰ) τῶν ἀρνησαμένων τὸν Κύριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστόν 324.4-7  
ἄρον  
- ἔχει τὴν μερίδα τῶν κραξάντων ἄρον ἄρον σταύρωσον αὐτόν 315.11-13  
- ἐχέτω τὴν μερίδα (μετὰ) τῶν ἀρνησαμένων τὸν Κύριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστόν 324.4-7

Ἄρτεμις 150, 266  
- Ἀραντία 442  
Ἀρτεμιταῖον 392  
ἀρχαῖος 103 (νεός), 174 (ἔδος)  
Ἀρχαιοσαλαμίνιος 153  
ἀρχιερεύς 279, 283  
Ἀσκληπιάδαι 33  
ἀσφάλεια 276  
Ἀσφάλειος 361 (Ποσειδῶν)  
ἀφανία 257 A.18  
Ἀφροδίτα 402  
ἄωρος 220.3

Βενδῖς 157  
βῆμα  
- ἔχει πρὸς τὸ βῆμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ 318.6  
βοηθέω 312, 353 A. E. F. G. H. N, R  
Βοικέτας  
- Κάρνειος B. 370 (Ποσειδῶν)  
βοῦς 170.13  
Βραυράνια 86  
βωμός 95, 170.9, 15, 18, 19, 20, 29

Γενάρχης 370 (Ἡρακλῆς)  
γνώμη  
- δαιμόνιος 144

δαίδα 170.24  
δαιμόνιος 144 (γνώμη)  
δαίμων 220.13  
δαινῶ 170.6  
δεκάτη 13 bis  
δεσπότης 327 app. cr. (Θεός)  
Δηλόπτης 33  
Δημήτηρ  
- Θεσμοφόρος 170.1  
Δηρίων 63  
Δηριωνεύς 63  
διδωμι  
- δῶη λόγον τῷ δεσπότη Θεῷ 327 app. cr.  
Διονύσια  
- Δ. μεγάλα 95.26/27

Διόνυσος 266  
- νέος Δ. (M. Antony) 34  
Διονυσιασταί 21, 225  
Διόνη 205  
δοῦλος 353 D  
Δωματείας 370 (Ποσειδών)

ἔδος 174  
Εἰρήνη 86  
εἰσθετήρια 16  
Ἐκάδημος Δ  
ἐκκλησία 336.2  
Ἐλευθέριος 279 (Ζεύς)  
Ἐλευθία 398  
Ἐλευσίνιον, τό 170.9, 18, 23  
ἐναγιστήριον 283  
ἐξάγιος 171  
Ἐπιδιούρι 288 (θεοί)  
ἐπίσκοπος 353 D  
ἐπιχώριος θεός 412 bis  
εὐαγής 336.1  
εὐσέβεια 143 II.16; 279  
εὐσεβής 447  
εὐχή 13 bis  
ἔχω  
- ἔχει πρὸς τὸ βῆμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ 318.6  
- ἔχετο τὴν μερίδα τοῦ Ἰουδα τοῦ  
προδότου 338.7/8  
- ἔχετο τὴν μερίδα τῶν ἀρνησαμένων  
τὸν Κύριον ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦν Χριστόν  
324.4-7

Ζεύς 123, 427  
- Ἐλευθέριος 279  
- Μειλιχίος 206 bis  
- Νάϊος 206/206 bis  
- Κύριος τοῦ κόσμου 279  
- Ὀλύμπιος 426  
- Σωτήρ 95.29  
- Φράτριος 210

Ζωστήρ 153 (Ἀπόλλων)  
Ζωστηρία 153 (Ἀθηνᾶ)

Ἡλῖος 279; cf. s.v. Ἥλιος  
ἡμίκραира 170.16, 17  
Ἡρακλῆς  
- Ἀλεξίκακος 33  
- Γενάρχας 370  
- Παγκράτης 33  
ἥρας 255, 404  
- ἱατρός 33  
ἦτα 257 A.19  
Ἡώ 211

θεοί 97, 143 II.21  
- ἄλλοι 173.11, 21/22; 123  
- Ἐπιδιούριοι 288  
- Μήτηρ (τῶν) θ. 123  
- πάντες 397, 399  
- σύμβιοι 207 bis  
Θεός 317, 353 R, 336.4  
- δεσπότης 327 app.ct.  
θεός 374  
- ἐπιχώριος θ. 412 bis  
θεός, ἡ 143 II.16; 173.11  
- ταμίαι τῆς θ. 173.12, 19/20  
Θεομοφόρος 170.1 (Δημήτηρ)  
Θεοτόκος 353 H  
Θησεῖον 85  
θρόνος 163  
θυσία 121.9, 11; 158  
θύω 121.7, 9, 12; 123, 170.7, 12

Ἰακχος 170.26  
Ἰατρός 33  
ἰερά, τά  
- πρῶτος μετὰ τὰ ἰ. 96.7/8  
ἰέρεια 170.11, 20  
ἰερεῖον 170.21  
ἰερεὺς 162, 210  
ἱερεῖα 170.5, 19  
ἱερὸν, τό 65, 69, 150, 157, 163 ter.7, 11;  
221, 281  
ἱερὸς 121.6  
ἱεροποιός 170.1, 5, 10  
Ἰησοῦς 324.5-7 (Κύριος ἡμῶν Ἰ. Χριστός)

ἱμάτιον 143 II.3  
Ἰουδας  
- ἔχετο τὴν μερίδα τοῦ Ἰουδα τοῦ  
προδότου 338.7/8  
Ἰπποδρόμιος 153 (Ποσειδών)  
ἰσῆθιοι τιμαί 40  
ἰσχίον 170.5, 21

Καλλίνικος 265  
Καλλιστέφανος 33  
Κάρνειος Βοικέτας 370 (Ποσειδών)  
καταδέω 257 A.4, B.7  
καταδιδημι 257 A.17  
κηράς 257 A.17/18  
κήρυξ 170.6  
κοιμάσμαι 406.3  
Κόρη 170.13  
κράζω 32  
- ἔχει τὴν μερίδα τῶν κραζάντων ἄρον  
ἄρον σταύρωσον αὐτόν 315.11-13  
κρίμα τοῦ Θεοῦ 317  
κριός 170.7  
Κρόνια 123  
Κύριος 347, 353  
- Κύριος ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦς Χριστός 324.4-  
7  
- Κύριος τοῦ κόσμου 279 (Ζεύς)  
- τρισάγιος Κύριος 353 D  
κωλή 170.5  
Κῶμος 265

λαμπαδεῖον 170.4  
Λιμναῖτις 384, 392  
λόγος  
- δῶγ λόγον τῷ δεσπότη Θεῷ 327 app.ct.

μακάριος 319 (τὴν μ. μνήμην)  
μακαριότατος 315.8  
μασχαλισματα 170.16, 17  
Μεγιστεύς 83 (Ἀπόλλων)  
Μειλιχίος 206 bis (Ζεύς)  
μερίς

- ἔχετο τὴν μερίδα τοῦ Ἰουδα τοῦ  
προδότου 338.7/8  
- ἔχει τὴν μερίδα τῶν κραζάντων ἄρον  
ἄρον σταύρωσον αὐτόν 315.11-13;  
τῶν λεγόντων 336.4  
Μεσσήνη 389/390  
Μήτηρ 221  
- Θεῶν 123  
μνήμα 257 A.19  
μόλυβδος 257 A.17

Νάϊος 206/206 bis (Ζεύς)  
ναός 143 II.25; 220.9  
Ναύσειρος 153  
Νέμηα 298 bis  
νεώς 103  
Νύμφαι 65

Οἰκέτας cf. s.v. Βοικέτας  
οἶκος 103  
Ὀλύμπιος 426 (Ζεύς)  
ὀργειῶν 156  
ὀργεῶν 156  
ὀργυῶν 156

Παγκράτης 33 (Ἡρακλῆς)  
Παλαμόνιον 283  
Παλλάδιον 63, 142  
Παναθήναια 86, 143 II.23  
παρθένος 143 II.20, 24  
Παρθενῶν 103  
πέπλος 143 I.3, 5; II.2, 12  
πλευρόν 170.5, 20  
Ποιοιδᾶν 381  
Πολιάς 143 II.25; 172.47, 54, 57 (Ἀθηνᾶ)  
πομπή 143 I.6  
πομπεύω 143 II.14  
Ποσειδᾶν 361  
Ποσειδῶν 281; cf. s.v. Ποιοιδᾶν  
- Αἰγέος 440  
- ἄναξ 280  
- Βοικέτας, Κάρνειος 370  
- Ἀσφάλειος 361



- Δωματείας 370  
 - Ἰπποδρομίας 153  
 - Κάρνειος Βουκέτας 370  
 Σαλαμείνιος 361  
 πότος 257 αρ.α.  
 ποτόν 257 Α.18  
 Πραξιεργίδαι 143 II.1  
 προδότης  
 - ἐχέτω τὴν μερίδα τοῦ Ἰούδα τοῦ  
 προδοτοῦ 338.7/8  
 Πυθαεῖον 392  
 πυρριχιστής 192.133-135; 202  
 Ρεῖα 285  
 Σαλαμείνιος 361 (Ποσειδῶν)  
 σέβω 220.13  
 Σεμίλη 211  
 Σκιράς 153 (Ἀθηνᾶ)  
 Σκίρος 153  
 σταυρῶν  
 - ἔχει τὴν μερίδα τῶν κραζάντων ἄρον  
 ἄρον σταυρῶσον αὐτόν 315.11-13;  
 336  
 στρατιώτης (Mithra) 447  
 στρωννύω 163  
 σύννοδος 206, 486 II.5  
 Συνοικία 57  
 σώζω 353 D

Σώτειρα 207 bis (Ἀθηνᾶ)  
 Σωτήρ 95.29 (Ζεύς); 365

ταμίαι τῆς Θεοῦ 173.12, 19/20  
 Τεύκρος 158 (mythological?)  
 Τύχη  
 - ἀγαθὴ 121.8; 130 Α.6, Β.15;  
 133.11; 143 b.17

Υακίνθιον 392  
 ὑποδιάκων 320  
 ὕς 170.2  
 ὑψώω 220.5

Φαίαξ 153  
 φιλόπιστος 406.5  
 Φράτριος 210 (Ζεύς)

Χάριτες 211  
 Χριστός  
 - ἔχει πρὸς τὸ βῆμα τοῦ Χριστοῦ  
 318.6  
 - Χ. Θεοῦ υἱὸς Σωτήρ 336  
 - Κύριος ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦς Χριστός  
 324.5-7

Χρυσός 265  
 χύτρος 170.22

ψυχή 220.10

## LATIN WORDS

miles pius 447

pius 447

## VI. MILITARY (AND PARA-MILITARY) TERMS

### A. GREEK WORLD

ἄρμα 192.101, 104; 435

λιποτάξιον 8

εφηβεύω 139.2

ὀπλίτης 192 II.89

## VI. MILITARY (AND PARA-MILITARY) TERMS

στρατεύομαι 257 Α.10, 12, 15, Β.3/4  
 στρατηγέων 22  
 στρατηγέω 196.2  
 στρατηγικός 435  
 στρατηγός 96.10; 133.5  
 στρατιώτης 196.3  
 - Πειραικοὶ α. 196, 257 Α.10, 13,  
 15/16, Β.4/5

στρατιωτικά  
 - ταμίας τῶν α. 116  
 συνέφηβος 190

τάσσομαι  
 - τεταγμένος 148

φυλακή 9, 130 Α.3, Β.12

### B. ROMAN WORLD

ἀνθύπατος 435

δρουγγάριος 353 Α

πρωτοσπαθάριος 353 F

σπαθάριος 353 M, N

στρατηγός 353 F, R  
 - Ἑλλάδος 353 C  
 - Ἑλλαδικῶν 353 N  
 στρατηλάτης 353 L

ὑπατεία 317.4  
 ὑπατος 353 H, K; 435

### LATIN TERMS

miles 447

## VII. IMPORTANT GREEK WORDS

ἀγαθός 105.6; 121.8  
 ἀγένειος 192.53, 56, 59, 62, 65, 134  
 ἀγορά 95, 257 Α.5; 329.3  
 ἀγοράζω 330, 333  
 ἀγορανομεῖον 22  
 ἀγορανομέω 397  
 ἀγοραστός 333  
 ἀγρός 392  
 ἀγών 95.27; 120, 298 bis

cf. Index V s.v. Διονύσια, Κρόνια, Νέμηα,  
 Παναθήναια, Ρεῖα, Συνοικία

ἀγωνοθετέω 267

ἀδελφός 256.5

ἀδηφάγος

- ἄρμα 192.104

- ζεύγος 192.116

- συνιωρίς 192.110

ἀδικέω 96.12; 156.7, 9

ἀδοξία 257 Α.18  
 ἀεὶ 96.11; 338.4  
 ἀβαλάσσιος 345  
 ἀβλοθέτης 143 I.2, 6; II.22  
 ἄβλον 192, 267  
 αἶρα 88  
 αἰρέω 427  
 αἵτησις 41  
 αἰών 279  
 ἀκολουθεῖω 170.9  
 ἀκοντίζω 192.129 (ἀφ' ἵππου ἀκοντίζων)  
 ἀκρόπολις 95.28, 96.14; 105.15; 116, 130  
 B.28; 133.37; 143 b.25  
 ἀληθώς 338.3  
 ἀλίατα 388  
 ἀμβόλιος 414  
 ἀμείβομαι 279  
 ἄμμιλα 192.139 (νεών)

- ἄριστον 425  
 ἄμπελος 177  
 ἀμπελοστατέω 220.16  
 ἀμφίλλογα 410  
 ἀμφιπλῖξ 220.7  
 ἀμφιχασκω 249  
 ἀμφορεύς 192.36, 39, 42, 45, 48, 51, 54,  
 57, 60, 63, 66, 69, 72, 75, 78, 81, 84,  
 87, 90, 95, 99, 102, 105, 108, 111, 114,  
 117, 121, 124, 127, 130; 257 A.4  
 ἀναγραφή 96.14; 116, 133.38  
 ἀναγράφω 95.27, 29; 96.4, 12; 105.12;  
 120.11; 130 B.27; 133.33; 143 b.22;  
 150  
 ἀνάθεσις 130 B.28  
 ἀναλίσκω 96.16, 105.17  
 ἀνάλωμα 130 B.30  
 ἀναπλήρημι 130 B.26  
 ἀνδραγαθία 365  
 ἀνδρεία 72  
 ἄνευ 338.6; 341 app.c.  
 ἀνεψιός 338.7  
 ἀνήρ 192.14, 17, 68, 71, 74, 77, 80, 83, 86,  
 89, 135, 150, 202  
 ἀνθύπατος 435  
 ἀνοίγωμι 315.10; 317.6; 318.5; 328.5;  
 336.3; 338.6; 341  
 ἀντίδωρον 220.10  
 ἄξιος 105.8  
 ἀξίω 133.7/8  
 ἀπαγγέλλω 101.8  
 ἀπάγω 101.13  
 ἀποβάτης 192.94  
 ἀπογραφή 8, 85  
 ἀπολαμβάνω 105.7  
 ἀπολέγω 298 bis  
 ἀπορία 257 B.8  
 ἀποστέλλω 133.7; 140.11  
 ἀποφέρω 329.4  
 ἀπροφασίστατος 257 A.16  
 ἀργία 257 A.18  
 ἄργμα 220.11  
 ἀργύριον 130 A.4; B.13; 192.9  
 ἀργυρούς 143 b.15  
 ἀρετή 99, 133.25; 403/404, 406.1  
 ἀριστοπολείτης 403  
 ἀριθμός 172.48  
 ἄριστος 338.1 (ζωή); 387  
 ἄρμα 435  
 - ἵππων ἅ. ἀδηφάγον 192.104  
 - ἵππος πωλικός ἄρματι 192.101  
 ἀρόω 220.16  
 ἀρπάζω 298 bis  
 ἀρχαῖος 103, 174  
 ἀρχιτέκτων 12.8  
 ἄρχω 202  
 ἄρχων 9, 83, 97, 99, 101.2; 115, 119.1;  
 121.1; 130 B.2; 139.1; 140.1; 143 b.70;  
 196.1  
 ἀστία 177 (δόξος)  
 ἀστιβής 220.1  
 ἄστυ 329.2  
 ἀσυλία 412, 415, 424  
 ἀσφάλεια 276, 415  
 αὐλή 170.23; 177, 220.17  
 αὐλητής 192.22  
 αὐλωιδός 192.14, 25  
 αὐριον 96.18 (εἰς); 105.12  
 ἀφαιρέω 8 bis  
 ἀφανία 257 A.18  
 ἀφίγημι 170.10  
 ἀφικνέομαι 96.11; 101.11  
 ἄωρος 220.3  
 βάθρον 220.1  
 βαῖνω 220.7  
 βαλανεῖον 63 bis  
 βασιλεῖον 22  
 βασιλεύς 93  
 βασιλικός 353 F, M  
 βία 220.14  
 βίος 329.1; 338.1, 3, 5  
 βοηθῶ 93 app.c.  
 βουλευτήριον 63 bis  
 βουλῆ 41, 93, 95, 96.7, 9, 19; 105.9;  
 119.5; 121.8, 10; 130 A.8, 10, 11, B.8,

- 16, 19, 20, 22; 133.11/12, 16/17; 140.8,  
 11; 143 b.5, 10, 11, 16, 17, 19, 22; 311  
 C; 388  
 βούλομαι 133.33/34; 143b.15; 170.14  
 - ὁ βουλόμενος 362  
 βούς 192.133-137, 140, 142/143  
 βροτός 338.3  
 γαμετή 315.4; 318.3/4, 325/326, 328.3;  
 338.7; 341  
 γένος 153  
 γεωργία 220.5, 11  
 γεωργός 4  
 γῆ  
 - ἔγκτησις γ. 96.8  
 γίνομαι 121.9; 130 A.2, B.11, 29;  
 143 b.26; 410  
 γλυκύς 220.10  
 γνώμη 130 A.10; 130 B.19; 133.15; 143  
 b.13  
 γούνη 249  
 γραμματεὺς 96.13; 105.14; 120.10;  
 133.35; 143 b.23; 158, 162  
 γραμματεὺς 101.3; 119.2; 121.2; 130 B.4;  
 140.3; 143 b.8  
 γραφή 8  
 γράφω  
 - γράφομαι φυλῆς 133.32  
 γυά 220.4  
 γυμνασιάρχης 293  
 γονή 172.47, 53, 56; 252, 248, 252, 257  
 A.7, 21; 316.3  
 δαμιουργός 410  
 δαμος 298 bis  
 δαμόσιος 377/378  
 δέομαι 105.9  
 δεξιόομαι 220.19  
 δευτερός 192.4, 10, 16, 20, 24, 27, 30, 33,  
 37, 40, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55, 58, 61, 64,  
 67, 70, 73, 76, 79, 82, 85, 88, 91, 96,  
 100, 103, 106, 109, 112, 115, 118, 122,  
 125, 128, 131, 142, 147, 151 (second  
 winner)  
 δέχομαι 121.8; 220.6  
 δῆμος 4, 48, 65, 93, 95, 96.2, 5, 7, 10, 17,  
 19, 101.6, 21; 105.2, 4, 5, 8, 14, 16, 18;  
 118, 119.6; 120.7; 121.5, 8, 10; 130  
 A.1, 3, 5, 8, 11; B.8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 20,  
 22; 133.3, 6, 17, 27, 31; 140.3/4, 8, 10,  
 20; 143.14; 11.5, 10, 13, 17, 19, 22; 388  
 δημόσιος 228 (ψηφός), 259  
 δημότης 170.8  
 δῆρις 63  
 διάγω 338.4  
 διαίρεω 143 b.4  
 διαίρεσις 143 b.4  
 διάλιθος 173.19  
 διατελέω 130 A.1, B.10; 133.26; 152  
 δίαυλος 192.74  
 διαφέρω  
 - κοιμητήριον διαφέρον 315.1/2;  
 316.2; 318.1; 319, 328.2; 330, 336.1;  
 341  
 δίδωμι 95.30; 96.15; 105.16; 130 B.23;  
 133.30/31; 170.25  
 δίκαιος 143 b.13  
 δικαστήριον 130 B.26, 27  
 δίκη 8  
 διοίκησις 6, 10, 130 B.29; 133.39  
 δίκους 178  
 δοκέω 93, 119.5; 121.5, 8; 125, 130 A.6,  
 B.8, 15, 20; 133.17; 143 b.10, 17; 388  
 - δεδόχθαι 133.2/3, 11; 140.19  
 - ἔδοξε(ν) 93, 101.6; 140.8  
 δοκιμάζω 87  
 δοκιμασ[... ] 181  
 δοκιμασία 87, 130 B.25  
 δοκιμαστής 87  
 δόκιμος 87  
 δόλιχος 192.35, 68  
 δόξα 329.4  
 δραπέτης 49  
 δραχμή 96.4, 15/16; 105.17; 130 A.4; 143  
 b.15

- δρόμος 3  
 δρουγγάριος 353 A  
 δύναμαι 105.6  
 δυνάτος 130 A.6, B.15  
 δωδεκάτη 88  
 δωρεά 118, 130 B.25; 133.10, 30; 279  
 δωρόμαι 220.9  
 δῶρον 220.6
- ἐγγονος 130 B.23; 315.7/8  
 ἐγγράφω 181  
 ἐγγνώμη 257 A.7  
 ἐγκλησις 25, 96.8; 130 B.24  
 ἐβέλω 338.6  
 εἰσαγγελία 362  
 εἰσάγω 114, 130 B.24; 362  
 εἰσορά 298 bis  
 εἰσφορά 164, 392  
 ἐκγονος 96.6, 105.3  
 ἐκκλησία 41, 94, 121.3, 7; 130 A.10,  
 B.19; 140.5  
 - κυρία 101.5; 130 B.6; 143 b.9  
 - πρώτη 133.13/14  
 ἐκκολάπτω 8 bis  
 ἐκτενώς 338.4  
 ἐκτημορος 1  
 ἑλαιο 13 bis; 192.36, 39, 42, 45, 48, 51,  
 54, 57, 60, 63, 66, 69, 72, 75, 78, 81,  
 84, 87, 90, 95, 99, 102, 105, 108, 111,  
 114, 117, 121, 124, 127, 130  
 ἐλευθερία 279  
 ἐλευθερικός 175  
 ἐμφανίζει 143 b.12  
 ἔμπυρος 328.7  
 ἔνγιςτος 320 (τά ἔ.)  
 ἐνγράφω 181  
 ἐνθάδε cf. α.ν. κοιτάειμαι  
 - ἔ. κοιμάται 406.3  
 ἐνὸραν? 72  
 ἐξάγω 143 b.3  
 ἐξαιτέω 414  
 ἐξαλείφω 8 bis  
 ἐξετασμός 173
- ἐξήκω 130 A.8, B.17  
 ἐπαγγέλλω 130 A.4, B.13  
 ἐπαγκρούω 365  
 ἐπαινέω 93.20; 96.2; 101.20/21; 120.9;  
 130 A.11, B.20; 133.17/18; 143 b.20  
 ἑπαρχος 353 E  
 ἐπιράτος 329.1  
 ἐπί  
 - τῇ δικαιοσύνῃ 133.39?  
 ἐπιβουλεύω 256.7, 9  
 ἐπιδομιουργός 388  
 ἐπιδίδωμι 130 A.3, B.11  
 ἐπίδοσις 130 A.2, B.11  
 ἐπιθρόσκω 220.18  
 ἐπικρίνω 362  
 ἐπιμελέομαι 96.9; 105.9  
 ἐπιμελητής 162, 311 D; 388  
 ἐπιπαρκαλουθέν 143 b.25  
 ἐπιστέλλω 256.1  
 ἐπιτόνια, τά 180  
 ἐπιχωρέω 143 b.17, 19  
 ἐπιψηφίζω 101.5; 119.4; 121.4; 130 B.6;  
 140.6; 143 b.9  
 ἔπος 221 (φθέγγομαι)  
 ἐπόψιος 220.13  
 ἐργάζομαι 143 b.12  
 ἔριον 143 b.12  
 ἐσθής 143 I.9  
 ἐστία 220.3  
 ἐστίασις 192.141, 146  
 ἐσχατιά 177  
 ἔτος 317.3; 397, 406.8  
 εὐανδρία 192.136  
 εὐεργετέω 105.6; 133.8; 279  
 εὐεργέτημα 105.8  
 εὐεργέτης 96.5; 105.3  
 εὐνοία 101.10; 130 B.21; 133.25/26; 279  
 εὐνους 121.10; 130 A.1; B.9  
 εὐρημα 220.4  
 εὐρίσκω 338.3  
 εὐσημνός 143 b.14  
 εὐτυχία 279  
 ἐφάμιλλος 148

- ἐφέτειος 143 b.2  
 ἐφηβεύω 139.1  
 ἐφήμι 220.15  
 ἔχω 220.19; cf. Index V
- ζεύγος 192.123  
 - ἀδηφάγον 192.116  
 - πομπικόν 192.126  
 - πωλικόν 192.113
- ζήω  
 - ζήσας/ζήσασα 406.7
- ζωή 338.1
- ἡγέομαι 298 bis  
 ἡμέρα 85, 130 A.9, B.18  
 ἡχώ 220.2
- θαλλός 120.10; 133.24; 143 I.4, II.21;  
 192.8; 220.16  
 θάνατος 298 bis  
 θαυμασιώτατος 315.3  
 θέα 120.9  
 θέατρον 140.6; 143 b.9; 375  
 θεσμοθετέω 22  
 θεσμοθέτης 130 B.25  
 θηκάριον 320  
 θήρ 220.18  
 θαλερός 329.3  
 θάλος 22  
 θυγάτηρ 238, 257 A.6/7; 317.2
- ἴδιος 143 b.15; 317.6  
 ἱμάτιον 143 b.3  
 ἰνδικτιών 315.9; 317.4; 338.5  
 ἵππος  
 - ἀφ' ἵππου ἀκοντίζων 192.129  
 - ἱ. κέλης 192.98, 120  
 - ἱ. πωλικός ἄρματι 192.101  
 - ἵππων ἄρμα ἀδηφάγον 192.104  
 - ἵππων ζεύγος 192.123  
 - ἵππων ζεύγος ἀδηφάγων 192.116  
 - ἵππων πωλικόν ἄρμα 192.101  
 - ἵππων πωλικόν ζεύγος 192.113
- ἵππων συνωρίς ἀδηφάγος 192.110  
 - ἵππων συνωρίς πωλική 192.107  
 ἴσος 220.8  
 ἰσοτέλεια 130 B.23  
 ἴσσημι 95.28; 96.14; 105.14; 130 B.28;  
 116, 133.37  
 - ἑστηκός ἄγαλμα 174
- καθαίρεσις 173  
 καθαιρέω 8 bis  
 καθαρός 88, 329.4  
 καθήκω 121.12  
 καθίστημι 120.9  
 καινός 220.11  
 καινωτός 220.11  
 καλέω 220.17  
 - ἐπὶ ξένη 96.17/18; 105.11  
 καλός 80, 87  
 καλῶς 121.12; 143 I.3, II.13  
 κάμνω 329.2  
 καρποδέγμων 220.3  
 κατακείμαι  
 - ἐνθάδε κατάκειται 315.6; 317.1  
 κατανέμω 120.9  
 κατασκευάζω 143 b.14, 20  
 κατοικέω  
 - οἱ ἐν Λήμνῳ κατοικοῦντες  
 93.19/20  
 - οἱ ἐν τῇ νήσῳ κατοικοῦντες  
 133.28/29  
 κειμήλιον 173  
 κείμαι 329.2  
 κέλης 192.98  
 κεφάλαιον 172.50  
 κήρυξ 170.6  
 κιβώτιον 257 A.4  
 κιθαριστής 192.17, 28  
 κιθαριδός 192.6  
 κλειτός 220.7  
 κλήζω 220.2  
 κληρονόμος 315.10/11; 318.6; 328.6; 341  
 κληρουχία 220.12  
 κληρούχος 4, 93.20

- κοιμητήριον 315.1; 316.1; 318.1; 319, 325/326, 328.1; 330, 333, 336.1; 341  
 κοινός 101.14  
 κολλάω 365  
 κοσμιώτατος 315.5  
 κόσμος 279  
 κοτύλη 79  
 κούρος 298 bis  
 κράτιστος 387  
 κριθή 130 B.12  
 κύριος 101.5 (ἐκκλησία); 133.10, 30.  
 λαγχάνω 130 A.7, B.16; 133.13; 143 b.17  
 λαμπαδεῖον 170.4  
 λαμπαδηφόρος 192.138  
 λαμπάς 192.137  
 λαμπρός 317.5  
 λέγω 133.5/6  
 - εἶπει(ν) 92, 101.7; 119.6; 121.5; 130 B.9; 133.4; 140.9; 143 b.11  
 λυγρός 143 I.5  
 λίθινος  
 - ἔδος 174  
 - στήλη 95.27/28; 105.13; 130 B.23; 133.36; 143 b.24  
 λιθοκόλλητος 172.55  
 λίθος 55  
 λιποδαμία 410  
 λιπών, ἐς τό 130 A.5, B.14  
 λιποτάξιον 8  
 λυκόπας 406.7  
 λωποδύτης 49  
 μακάριος 328.4  
 μεγαλοφροσύνη 279  
 μέδιμνος 130 B.12  
 μερίζω 116, 130 B.29; 133.38/39  
 μερίς 85; cf. Index V  
 μετέρχουμαι 256.3  
 μῆν 315.9; 338.5  
 μισθωτής 180  
 μνήμα 298 bis, 257 A.19, B.7/8; 332, 338.6  
 μνημεῖον 210  
 μνήμη  
 - μακαρία μ. 319, 328.5  
 μνησικακέω 24  
 μονάδιος 333.1, 4  
 μορφή 220.14  
 μουσική 170.28  
 μοχθέω 220.15  
 ναέτης 329.2  
 ναῖς 192.139 (νεῶν ἄμιλλα)  
 νέος 34 (Διόνυσος), 404 (Ιπλάτων)  
 νεοχμός 220.4  
 νῆσος 133.28/29  
 νικάω 192.35, 38, 41, 44, 47, 50, 53, 56, 59, 62, 65, 68, 71, 74, 77, 80, 83, 86, 89, 94, 98, 120, 123, 126, 136-138, 140, 145, 150, 152, 197, 202, 298 bis; 435  
 νικητήρια 192.139  
 νόμιμος 170.14  
 νόμισμα 330  
 νόμος 7, 8, 130 A.8, B.17  
 - κατὰ τὸν ν. 96.8/9; 120.6  
 νοτάριος 328.2  
 ξένια, τά 96.16; 105.11  
 ξένος 48  
 ξύλα 170.21  
 ξυμβάλλομαι γνώμην 130 A.10, B.19; 133.15/16; 143b.19  
 ὁδός 177 (ἀστία), 194  
 οἶδα 105.7  
 οἰκεῖος 101.10  
 οἰκειότης 101.14; 140.15  
 οἰκέω 4  
 οἰκία 130 B.24  
 - ἔγκτησις γῆς/γάς καὶ οἶ. 96.8  
 οἶκος 103, 153  
 οἶνονόχης 172.45  
 ὀκτώβολος 392  
 ὄνομα 120.11; 143 b.24; 338.4

- ὀπλίτης 192 II.89  
 ὀρθός 174 (ἄγαλμα)  
 ὀρίζω 63 bis  
 ὄρος 1. 194/195  
 ὄρχος 177  
 οὐθαρ 220.8  
 παγκράτιον 192.50, 65, 86  
 παῖς 192.25, 28, 35, 38, 41, 44, 47, 50, 133, 152; 210, 220.12; 257 A.21  
 παλαιστής 192.44, 59, 80  
 πανόλβιος 220.12  
 παραγραφή 8  
 παραδίδωμι 143 b.3  
 παρακαλέω 140.14; 143b.16  
 παρακολουθέτω 143 b.12  
 παραλαμβάνω 143 I.2; 362  
 παράνομος 8  
 παράστασις 92  
 παρεκτός 341?  
 παρέχω/ομαι 180  
 - χρεῖαν/ας 133.8/9; 152  
 παρθένος 143 II.20, 24  
 παροδένω 329.1  
 πασιφίλητος 406.6  
 πατήρ 143 b.11; 220.9, 18  
 πατρίκιος 353 F, G, R  
 πάτριος 123 (πάτριον)  
 πατρώος 220.6, 14  
 πέδον 220.8  
 πέμπτος 192.13 (fifth winner)  
 πένταθλον 192.41, 56, 77  
 πεντακοσιομεδίμοι 85  
 πενηνκοστή 88  
 πείρα 220.8  
 περιτραχηλίδιον 172  
 πιμεντάριος 315.3  
 πίνω  
 - χαῖρε καὶ πῖε 80  
 πλάγιος 173  
 πλούσιος 1  
 ποιέω 143 I.3, II.11, 13; 170.11  
 - ἐποίησε/αν 298 bis  
 πολεμιστήριος 192.119  
 πόλεμος 93.21  
 πόλις 9, 56, 101.12/13, 15; 120.8; 130 A.3; B.12, 140.16; 397, 399  
 πομπικός 192.126 (ζεῦγος)  
 πόνος 220.19  
 πότος 257 app. et.  
 Πράσινοι 343  
 πράττω  
 - πεπραγμένα 140.12  
 πρεσβεία 133.7  
 πρεσβεύω 414  
 πρέσβυς 96.17; 101.7, 11  
 πρόβουλος 388  
 προγίγνομαι 54  
 προσγίγνομαι 54  
 προσδρεῦω 130 A.7, B.16; 133.13  
 πρόεδρος 119.4; 121.3; 130 A.7, B.6; 133.12; 140.6; 143 b.9, 18; 362  
 προΐστημι 170.3  
 πρόνοια 279  
 πρόξενος 96.4/5; 105.2  
 πρόσκαιρος 338.2  
 πρόσσοδος 96.6/7; 143 b.11  
 προστάτης 388  
 προστάτω 143 b.14  
 προστελέω 256.11  
 προσφερής 220.5  
 προτελευταῖος 315.6/7  
 πρυτανεία 96.13; 101.2-5; 119.2, 4; 121.1, 3; 130 B.3, 5; 133.35/36; 140.2, 5; 143 b.7, 9, 24  
 πρυτανεῖον 22  
 - καλέω ἐπὶ ξένια εἰς τὸ π. 96.18; 105.12  
 πρυτανικόν 22  
 πρύτανις 121.6, 11; 133 app. et.  
 πρωτεύω 311 D  
 πρώτος 133.13/14 (ἐκκλησία); 192 passim  
 - π. μετὰ τὰ ἱερά 96.7/8  
 πύκτης 192.47, 62, 83  
 πυρριχιστής 192.133-135; 202  
 πωλητήριον 22



- παλικός  
   - ἔρμα 192.101  
   - ζεύγος 192.113  
   - συνωρίς π. 192.107  
  
 ραψφός 192.2  
 ρυτόν 172.52  
  
 σανίδα 8  
 σανίς 8  
 σιάνης 120.12  
 σήμα 73  
 σοφία 406.1  
 σπαυλαστής 293  
 σπουδή 143 b.26  
 στάδιον 192.38, 53, 71; 298 bis  
 σταθμός 172.50; 338.2  
 στάσις 410  
 στέφανος 143.14, II.21; 192.3, 7, 15, 19,  
   23, 26, 29, 32  
   - ἀπὸ χιλίων δραχμῶν 96.4  
   - θαλλοῦ 120.11; 133.24/25; 143 a.4;  
   b.21  
   - χρυσός 93.23; 96.3/4; 99, 101.21;  
   120.6  
   - χρυσοῦς 172.46, 49, 50, 52, 55,  
   192.8  
 στεφανῶν 93.22; 96.3; 99, 101.21; 119.7;  
   120.10; 133.23/24; 143 b.20; 196.3  
 στήλη 95.27, 30; 96.15; 105.13, 15; 116,  
   130 B.27; 133.36, 38; 143 b.24, 150,  
   173.20, 22  
 στήλιδιον 173.11  
 στοά 22 (Βασίλειος)  
 στρατηγεῖον 22  
 συμμαχία 101.1, 15  
 σύμμαχος 54  
 συμμορία 88  
 σύμπας 101.20  
 συμπρόεδρος 101.6; 119.5; 121.4; 130  
   B.7; 133.2, 140.7; 143 b.10  
 συναυλιωδός 192.31  
 συνεπιμελέομαι 143 b.4  
  
 συνέφθιος 190  
 συνόμαιμος 406.2  
 συντελής 59  
 συνωρίς  
   - ἀδηφάγος 192.110  
   - παλική 192.107  
 σούριγξ 257 A.4/5  
 συρινγοποιός 257 A.3  
 συστάς 177  
 σωτηρία 9, 121.9  
 σωφροσύνη 147  
  
 ταμίας 95.30; 96.15; 105.16; 162  
   - τῶν ἄλλων θεῶν 173.11, 21/22  
   - τῶν στρατιωτικῶν 116  
 ταμιεύω 262  
 ταπιτάριος 318.2  
 τεῖχος cf. s.v. τῖχος  
 τεκνῶν 320 (τὰ τεκινωμένα)  
 τέκτων 257 A.3, 11  
 τελευτάω 317.3  
 τέλειος 435  
 τελέω 48  
 τέταρτος 192.12 (fourth winner)  
 τίθημι 120.8; 310; 329.3  
 τιμή 130 B.24  
 τιμωρέω 256.2  
 τῖχος 392  
 τολμάω 316.4; 318.5; 324.2; 328.5; 336.2;  
   341  
 τρηχύς 220.8  
 τριβούνος 325, 330  
 τρίτος 192.5, 11, 21, 143, 148 (third winner)  
 τροφή 220.10  
 τυγχάνω 338.1  
 τύχη  
   - ἀγαθὴ τύχη 121.8; 130 A.6, B.15;  
   133.11; 143 b.17  
   - νικᾷ ἡ τύχη 343  
  
 ὑαλᾶς 407  
 ὑγίεια 121.9  
 ὑδρία 192.138

- υἱός 341; 353 I  
 ὑπατικός 353 O  
 ὑπατεία 317.4  
 ὑπατος 353 H, K; 435  
 ὑπόνημα 143 b.16  
 ὑπόω 220.5  
  
 φαίνω 143 b.5  
 φανερός 118  
 φέρω 257 A.5  
 φημί 121.9; 257 A.6  
 φθέγγομαι 221  
 φιάλη 143 b.15, 17  
 φιλάδελφος 406.5  
 φιλία 101.14; 140.15  
 φιλομήτωρ 406.4  
 φιλοπάτωρ 406.4  
 φιλόπιστος 406.5  
 φιλοπονία 143 b.21  
 φίλος 220.9  
 φιλόσοφος 404  
 φιλοτιμέω 105.5  
 φιλοτιμία 120.6; 143 b.21  
 φιλοτιμῶς 121.12  
 φρατρία 133.33; 272  
 φρήν 220.6  
 φυλακή 9, 130 A.3, B.12  
 φυλέτης 198  
 φυλή 133.32; 192.136/137, 140, 145, 150,  
   152, 198  
 φυλοβασιλικά 57  
 φυτεύω 220.16  
 φωνή 221  
  
 χαίρω  
   - χαῖρε 295/296, 298 bis, 299.1-5;  
   302, 305-309, 444  
   - χαῖρε καὶ πῖε 80  
   - χαίροις 406.6  
 χαμαί 173  
 χάρις 105.7  
 χαρτυλάριος 353 P  
 χεῖρ 329.3; 279  
 χορηγία 164  
 χορός 202  
 χράομαι 257 A.20; 338.5  
 χρεια 133.8/9; 152  
 χρεονόμος 410  
 χρήματα 173  
 χρηματίζω 130 A.9, B.14; 133.14; 143  
   b.18  
 χρήσιμος 93.20/21; 130 A.5, B.14  
 χρηστός/ή 250, 298 bis, 299.1/2; 307/308  
 χρυσός  
   - στέφανος 93.23; 96.3/4; 99, 101.21;  
   120.6  
 χρυσοῦς 172.46, 49, 50, 52, 55,  
   192.8 (στέφανος)  
 χώρα 9  
   - τῶν Νοβάδων 6/7  
 χωρίον 392  
 χωρίς 315.10; 341 app.c.  
 χώρος 220.1  
  
 ψηφίζω/ομαι 95, 143 b.13  
 ψήφισμα 95.27; 96.12/13, 16; 105.13, 17;  
   120.11; 130 B.27; 133.34/35; 140.4;  
   143 b.24; 150  
 ψήφος 114, 133 app.c.; 228 (δημοσίαι)  
 ψυχή 220.10  
  
 ὠνεομαι 80, 88

## VII. LATIN WORDS

nomen 448

tector 448

## VIII. SELECTED TOPICS

- Academy 3  
 acclamation 343  
 account 63, 177-179  
 actor 263; cf. s.v. Dionysiac artists  
 age  
   - at death 315, 317, 338, 406  
 agoranomos 97, 397  
 agriculture 4, 85, 88, 179  
 Aischines  
   - 1125 216  
 Aitolians 154  
 Alexander the Great 216, 417  
 Alkamenes 212  
 alliance 54, 101  
 alphabet  
   - Lakonian 374  
 altar 170, 211  
 amnesty 24, 410  
 Amphiktyony  
   - Kalaureian 358  
 amphora cf. s.v. dipinto  
 anchor 224  
 Antigonos Gonatas 9, 40, 110, 132, 148  
   bis, 149, 159  
 Antigonos Monophthalmos 96  
 Antinoos, mysteries 412 bis  
 Aphrodite 402  
   - Hegemone 214  
   - Pandemos 18  
 Apollo 213  
   - Delios 29, 209  
 archive 7, 19  
 arktoz 103  
 army  
   - Roman 447  
   cf. s.v. cavalry  
 Artemis 103  
 Asklepieia  
   - 207 Athens  
   - Peloponnesos 270, 286, 361, 364/365  
 Athens  
   - council 5, 12, 110  
   athlete 192, 285, 298 bis, 433; cf. s.v. boxer,  
   pankratist  
   axones 7  
   barley 179  
   beehive 229  
   Bendis 31, 156-158  
   booty 281  
   border dispute 391  
   boundary stone 1, 64-66, 193, 194 (of  
   road), 195, 273/274, 289, 393  
   boxer 298 bis  
   bronze  
     - statuette 69, 426  
     - stele 7  
     - tablet 411, 425  
     - token 227  
     - vase 374/375, 440  
     - voting ballot 228  
   building inscription  
     - building contract 180/181  
     - building cost 180  
     - building projects in Athens 50  
   carpet-weaver 318  
   catalogue of prizes 192  
   cavalry 19  
   Chalkis 48  
   chariot 435  
   Charites, cult of in Athens 16, 141, 214  
   choregic monument 199-201  
   Chremonidean War 6, 9, 132, 148 bis, 149,  
   159  
   Christianity 311-342, 346/347, 353, 467  
     - curse 393  
     - dipinto 347  
     - epitaph 315, 318-327, 330, 332,  
     334/335, 337-342  
     - funerary imprecation 315, 318, 324,  
     327, 338

- table 313  
 Christogram 347  
 citizenship 82  
   - grant 25, 108, 114, 424  
 city  
   - public buildings 7, 22  
 civil strife 82  
 clothes, dedication of 174  
 codification 7  
 coinage 74, 87, 180  
 constitutional change 47  
 contest  
   - equestrian 408  
   - hoplite 408  
 contract 180-181  
 copy of document 174  
 council 5, 12, 110  
   - in Athens (prytaneia) 22, 110 (three  
   terms in council)  
 Crete  
   - wine trade 345  
 crime cf. s.v. murder  
 cure inscription 364  
 curse 11  
   - Christian 393  
   - curse tablet 225, 257, 257 bis, 450  
   cf. s.v. funerary imprecation  
 cylinder, terracotta well lining 438  
 Daippos 429  
 day Athens  
   - δεκάτη ὑστέρᾳ 101.4  
   - δεκάτη τῆς πρυτανείας 143b.7/8  
   - εἰκοστῇ τῆς πρυτανείας 130 B.5  
   - ἐνδεκάτῃ 130 B.4/5  
   - ἐν καὶ νεᾷ 140.4  
   - --κοστῇ τῆς πρυτανείας 119.3-4  
   - μιᾷ καὶ εἰκοστῇ 130 B.5  
   - τρίτῃ καὶ εἰκοστῇ τῆς πρυτανείας  
   101.4/5  
   - indicated with numerals 317, 338  
 death  
   - in childbirth 174  
   - in sport 298 bis  
 decree  
   - publication of 23  
   - ratification 133  
   - reliefs 36  
 Delphi 154  
 Demetrios 390  
 Demetrios Poliorketes 99, 151, 154, 196  
 democracy 51 (in Samos), 82  
 Demos  
   - cult of in Athens 16, 214  
   - personification 36  
 Demosthenes 216  
 destruction of inscription 95  
 Dionysia, City 2  
 Dionysiac artists 164/165  
 dipinto  
   - on amphora 345, 347  
 drama 2, 263, 267  
 economy cf. s.v. agriculture, land, taxes, trade  
 Eggesta 54  
 Eileithyia 398  
 Eleusis 28, 43, 154, 180  
 elite 25 (Athens)  
 embassy 133  
 emperor, see imperial cult  
 ephebe, ephebeia 134, 139, 142, 189-191,  
   214  
   - ephebic catalogue 190/191, 395/396  
 epigram 452  
   - commemorative 15, 71  
   - funerary 72, 249, 249 bis, 255, 310,  
   405  
 epigraphists  
   - E. Mastrokostas 46  
 eponymous  
   - archon in Athens 9, 27, 100, 154  
 equestrian contest 408  
 equestrian ordo 271  
 era  
   - Actian 355, 397

- erasure 8 bis, 92, 298 bis  
 Erythrai 47  
 Euripides  
   - *Helen* 1301-1352 362  
   - *Iphig. Taur.* 1462-1467 103, 174  
 Eurykleides 16  
 exiles 24, 47  
  
 factions, circus 343  
 family cf. s.v. marriage  
 farmstead 4  
 festival 86 (introduction of)  
 fine 362  
 food supply, Athens 4, 85, 88  
 foreigners 25 (intellectuals in Athens)  
 fortification 443  
 fugitive 47  
 funeral  
   - public 25  
 funerary imprecation 315, 318, 324, 327, 338  
  
 Germani 330  
 graffiti  
   - on terracotta well lining cylinder 438  
   - trade 79  
   - on vase 276  
 grain  
   - trade 120, 133  
 grave  
   - precinct 4  
   - protection of 315, 318  
 guard 49  
 gymnasium 20, 178  
  
 Hadrian 166, 208  
 Harpalos 216  
 healing cult/deity 33  
   - miracle 364  
 Hebe 147  
 Hektemoroi 1  
 Hellenic League 322  
 helots 380  
  
 Herakles 20, 129, 419  
 herm 268, 371, 414, 418  
 Hermakopidai 63bis  
 hero, heroine 440  
   - healing h. 33  
   - hero cult 33, 63  
   - heroization 357  
 Herodes Atticus 220, 278  
 hieropoioi 147  
 Homer  
   - *hymn to Demeter* 367  
 hoplite contest 408  
 hymn 365-367  
  
 imperial cult 34, 369  
 inscriptions cf. s.v. destruction, erasure, stoichedon, syllabification  
 inventory 171-174  
 Ioulis 90  
 Iphigeneia 103, 174  
 Isthmian Games 282  
 Isthmian sanctuary 281, 283  
 Italians in Greece 26, 271, 278, 386  
  
 jewelery 171, 172-174  
 judge  
   - foreign 390  
  
 kalos-inscription 80  
 Kerameikos 193  
 Kimon 15, 71  
 king cf. s.v. Alexander the Great, Antigonos Gonatas, Antigonos Monophthalmos, Demetrios Poliorketes, Philip II, Ptolemy III  
 kinship  
   - between cities 54  
 Klazomenai 84  
 klerouchia, klerouchos 4, 85, 93, 96, 150, 255  
 knights, Roman 271  
 Kybele 163, 367  
 Kydonia 140  
 Kythnos 28

- kyrbeis 7  
  
 label  
   - on vase 263  
 Lachares 196  
 Lakonia  
   - sculpture workshop 426  
 lamp  
   - signature 348-352  
 land  
   - confiscated 63 bis, 85  
   - dispute 85, 391  
   - lease 91, 392  
   - ownership 177  
 Latin inscription 447/448  
 law 7, 103  
   - codification 7  
   - publication 7  
   - revision (Athens) 7, 56  
 lawsuit 11, 362, 391  
 lead  
   - anchor 224  
   - sling bullet 258  
   - tablet 225, 256, 257 bis, 450  
   - token 259  
 lease  
   - of land 91, 392  
 leather-dresser 293  
 Lemnos 4, 61, 85, 88, 93, 177, 179, 241  
 Lesbos 55 (Peraia)  
 letter 256  
 letter-cutter, identification of 39/40, 100, 102, 107, 110-112, 114, 116, 128, 138/139, 154, 156, 160, 190, 255  
 lex sacra 423  
 list 298  
   - of magistrates 188  
   - of prizes 192  
   - of victors 192, 437  
 literacy 13 bis  
 loomweight 379  
 Lucian  
   - *Iupp. Trag.* 9 281  
  
 Lykia 59  
 Lykourgos 173  
 Lysandros 241  
 Lysippos 281  
  
 Macedonia, Macedonians  
   - garrison in Athens 16, 154  
 magistrates  
   - buildings for 7  
   - headquarters 22  
 maiden 103, 143  
 manumission 292  
 Marathon 220, 354  
 Marc Antony νέος Διόνυσος 34  
 marriage  
   - mixed 248  
 measuring table 347  
 Megalopolis 391  
 Menander 35  
 Meter Theon 155, 163, 367  
 Metonic cycle 9, 27  
 Metroon 7  
 Mikkion 16  
 milestone 289, 420  
 Mithras 447  
 month  
   - Athens  
     - Βοηδρομιών 140.4  
     - Θαργηλιών 121.2  
     - Μουνιχιών 130 B.4  
     - Σκιροφοριών 101.3/4  
   - Argos  
     - Ιούλιος 317  
     - Οκτώβριος 338  
     - Σεπτέμβριος 315  
 Mother of the gods 155, 163, 367  
 Mousaios 221  
 murder 90  
 Muses 221  
 myth 142  
  
 name  
   - hypocoristic 295, 302

navy 176  
Nero 279, 401

occupations cf. s.v. αὐλητής, αὐλοῦχος,  
γεωργός, κηθαριστής, κηθαριώδης,  
πλοκιστής, πιμεντάριος, πύκτης,  
κυρριζιστής, βασιφάδης, σπατολεσστής,  
συναυλοῦχος, συνιγγοποιός, ταπιτάριος,  
τέκτων, ὑαλῆς, φιλόσοφος, actor, carpet-  
weaver, leather-dresser, pharmacist,  
philosopher, sailor

olive oil 192  
oracle 221, 412  
orphans 81  
ostraka 75-77  
owner's inscription 374

Panathenaia 57, 192, 197/198, 202/203,  
262

Panathenaic amphora 261, 267

Panhellenion 204

pankratist 298 bis

Pankrates 160

parthenos 143

Patara 141

Pausanias  
- 1.23.7 174  
- 1.25.8 42  
- 5.23.7 374

peace 211

Peisistratos 2/3

Peloponnesian War 241

peplos of Athena 143

Peraia  
- of Klazomenai 84  
- of Mytilene 55

Perikles 50

periorkoi 380

Persia, Persian

- king 93

- Wars 71

personification 203, 265

pharmacist 315

Philip II 93  
philosopher 25, 404  
phratry 57  
pinax, wooden 216

Plato

- Platonist philosopher 404

Plutarch

- *Moralia* 852 C/D 41

- *Perikles* 14 50

portrait

- Demetrios Poliorketes 151

- Menander 35

Poseidon 153, 269, 273/274, 276/277, 280-  
283, 285, 356-361, 370/371, 380,  
382/383, 400, 408, 413, 416, 418, 440

Praxiteles 174

prayer 312

- for justice 257 bis

Priene 96

priest, priesthood 136, 370

prizes 192

prostitution 18, 175

proxeny

- p. decree 408, 439

- murder of a proxenos 90

Ptolemy III 16

publication

- of law 7

relief

- dedicatory 38, 68, 197, 202, 205,  
207, 211, 216, 382, 447

- documentary 36/37, 94, 143, 205,  
356

- funerary 226/227, 229-231, 234, 236,  
239/240

reprisals 90

revision of laws 7

reworking of sculpture 231

Rhodes 357

Rhyme, Thea 16

road 194

Roman citizenship

- in Athens 27, 187  
Roma, Dea 16

sacrifice 170, 269

- sacrificial calendar 57

sailor 224

Salaminiot 153

Samos 51 (and Athens), 96

sanctuary

- access 49

- protection 49

cf. s.v. inventory

sculptor 212 (Alkamenes), 35

(Kephisodotos), 429 (Daippos), 384,

398, 399, 413 (Damophon), 281

(Lysippos), 175 (Praxiteles), 374

(Telestas)

sculpture 231, 426; cf. s.v. relief, statue,  
statuette

seal 346, 353

secretary cycle (Athens) 9

sekoma 247

sexuality cf. s.v. prostitution

signature, sculptor's 13 bis, 283/284, 429;  
cf. s.v. lamp

sitonai 120

slave 175, 380; cf. s.v. helots, manumission

Smyrna 389

society cf. s.v. elite, family, slave

Solon 1, 18

Sounion 17

stasis 90

statue 103, 173/174, 212, 293, 398,

403/404, 430-432

statuette 69, 426

stoichedon 13 bis

syllabification 116

sympotic

- greeting 80

tablet

- bronze 411

- lead 225-257 bis, 450

- wooden 7  
taxes 48, 53, 88  
territory

- dispute about 391

theater 443

- token 227

cf. actor, Dionysia artists, drama, Euripides,  
Menander, tragedy

Themis 32

Themistokles 15, 71, 75

Theseion 216

Thucydides

- 6.6 54

Thyateira 166

tile 221

- stamp 260, 272, 373, 377/378, 385,  
443, 445

Timoleon 281

token 259

- theater 227

trade cf. s.v. graffiti, grain, wine

tragedy 2

treaty 54, 101; cf. s.v. alliance

tribute

- Tribute-quota list 47, 58-62

vases

- inscriptions on 80, 261-267, 374/375,  
383, 440

cf. s.v. amphora, dipinto, graffiti, label

victory

- athletic 192, 433, 437

- commemoration of 71

- in war 15, 71, 281, 428

virtue 72

voting ballot 228

war cf. s.v. alliance, booty, Chremonidean War,  
Peloponnesian War, Persian Wars, victory

water

- supply 50

weapons, dedicated 428

well 438



- wheat 179  
 wine  
   - trade 345  
 woman 13 bis, 18, 26/27, 35, 68, 103, 136,  
   143, 171-175, 205, 223, 225, 234/235,  
   238, 241 bis, 242 bis, 243 bis, 244/245,  
   247 bis, 248-253, 253 bis, 257, 292,  
   299, 302, 306/307, 309, 315-323, 325/  
   326, 328, 332/333, 337-340, 374, 386,  
   398, 402, 405/406, 444  
   cf. s.v. maiden, parthenos, prostitution  
 wooden  
   - pinax 216  
   - tablet 7  
 Zeus  
   - Meilichios 206 bis  
   - Naïos 205/206  
   - Phratrîos 210

IG I <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG I <sup>3</sup>	SEG LIII	IG I <sup>3</sup>	SEG LIII
1082	231	314/315	39	967	68
		341/342	39	972	153
IG I <sup>3</sup>	SEG LIII	369	14, 63, 123,	983	3
1	85		153	986/987	68
4	13	375	13	1023	13 bis
11	54, 67	377	13	1065	65
14	47	379/380	39	1087-1090	64
35/36	13	382	39	1091	3
40	48	383	31, 123	1098	13
45	49	386/387	28	1132	231
46	8 bis	392	28	1164/1165	4
48	51	394	28	1199	3
49	50	403	174	1200	72
52	13	406	39	1260	73
52A	52	410B	39	1382	13
71	55, 62	420	63 bis	1407 bis	86, 211
76	24	435-451	13	1453	59, 74
77	55	453-460	13	1481-1490	66, 273
78	13	470	39	1492-1499	67
82	3	474-476	13		
101	8 bis	475	205	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII
106	39	501	13 bis, 42	1	13
117	39	503/504	15, 71	2	39
124	205	505/506	13	6+add.	8 bis
125	39	507	13 bis	10	82
130	29, 53	511	42	17	39
136	31	515	39	18	83
137	365(12)	596	13	24	39
138	123	728	13/13 bis	28	84
179	39	784	13	30	85, 88
229	8 bis	832	18	31	39
234	123	835	42	43	8 bis
236-241	57	847	42	47	365(13)
237	39	876	42	49	13
257	20	880	13	50/51	39
259-290	58-62	883	42	52	8 bis, 39
261	61	892	42	54	39
268	61	895	13	80	39
281	58	948	13 bis	85	39

IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII
91	39	541	40	777	126
98	8 bis	550	4, 40	779-781	40
101	13	564	96, 112	784	40
103	83	580	40, 105/106	787	139
105	83	622/623	101 bis	788	40, 126,
111	8 bis, 24, 90	641	104, 109		130/131, 134,
116	8 bis	643	40, 107/108		136, 139, 161,
120	13	650	40, 110		169 bis, 190,
127/128	37	657	40, 100, 115,		217, 255
130	37		128, 169, 184	791	9, 25, 262A
133	37	659	18	792	40, 120
153	39	660	105	794	40
168	39	662/663	113	797	40
169	126	665	189	802	130
171	216	666/667	101 bis	803	9
186	39	668	40	806	116
212	37	672	101 bis	818	109
226	37	673	118	833	40
236	104, 582	675d	111	834	262B
239	37	678	139	844	16B, 140
334	13	681	134, 139	845	159
337	365(4)	682	9, 127	847	105
349	37	683	40	896	25
367	37	685	110	908	16E
369	37	686	105	909	16D
380	97	689/690	40	928	136
399	140	698	40	987/988	16F, 141
410	92	700	189	1006	25, 142
411	91	706	40	1008	19, 142
441	126	717	114	1011	16, 142, 151
442	130	729	130	1013	105
448	8 bis, 37, 95	735	4, 133	1034	143
457	41	741	118	1036	143
478	40	745	140	1043	27
479/480	101 bis	748	107	1046	25
484	106	766	139	1060	143
493/494	101	768	130	1069	27
495/496	99	769	126	1071	34
507	99	772	365(5)	1072	27
513	102	774	9, 159	1075	12
524	40, 105/106	775	9, 40	1086	27
525	111	776	9, 40, 133, 137	1088	166

IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII
1090	166	1388	171	1817	123
1099	27	1399	39	1927	73
1102	20	1400	39, 171	1938	25
1108	27	1401	171	1942/1943	143
1109	142	1403	171	1945	27
1118	27, 144	1407	172	1947/1948	27
1119	27, 145, 147	1408a/b	171	1950	27
1130	140	1424	13	1952b/c	39
1146	365(3)	1425	13	1954	196, 257
1163	40	1445	171	1963	19
1222	4, 40	1453	171	1965	27
1223	133	1473	172	1973	27
1224	150	1492/	172	1975	27
1225	159	1492A		1989	27
1232	153	1498-	173	1990	209
1236	16G	1501A		1992	27
1252	110	1514-1531	13, 174	1995-1999	27
1253	40, 110	1523	103	2001/2002	27
1256	31	1544	171	2014	27
1262	9, 40, 98/99,	1553-1578	175	2015	27, 191
	101, 109, 154	1595	202	2017/2018	27
1273	9, 127, 155	1609	4	2020-2024	27
1283	31	1627-1629	176	2026	27
1284	156	1629	35	2029-2033	27
1303	105	1632	4, 35	2037/2038	27
1317	31, 157	1635	29	2041-2043	27
1325/1326	21	1665	20, 178	2046	27
1328	163	1666	180	2050-2052	27
1330	163 bis	1672	179	2054	27
1332	163 ter, 165	1682/1683	180/181	2056	27
1338	164	1688	39	2058-2060	27
1341	164	1693	39	2063	27
1351	27	1700	45, 182	2066	27
1357	57	1706	40, 140	2073	27
1368	27	1713	27	2075	27
1370/1371	39	1723	27	2077	27
1373	39	1736	27	2079	27
1376	39	1739	27	2081	27
1380	39	1742	19	2083	27
1384	39	1743	39	2085/2086	27

IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII
2087	13, 27	2302	27	3416	27
2089/2090	27	2311	13, 39, 192	3455	35
2094	27, 191	2313-2317	192	3470-3472	136
2096	27	2318	2	3474	13
2098	27	2325	2	3513	25
2103/2104	27	2336	8 bis	3524	27
2106	27	2339/2340	27	3531	27
2109-2113	27	2345	153	3535	27, 209
2115/2116	27	2361	27	3542/3543	27
2118-2120	27	2403	82	3546	271
2124/2125	27	2461	27	3547	16
2127-2133	27	2471-2473	27	3558	27
2136	27	2472	209	3571	16
2140	27	2483	27	3575	208
2145	27	2486	27	3590	27
2147	27	2773	27	3593	27
2150-2152	27	2776	27	3609/3610	27
2155	27	2791	16, 151	3618	27
2159/2160	27	2798	16A, 214	3621	27
2163	27	2800	136	3623	27
2167	27	2893	27	3627	204
2169	27	2898	27	3632	27
2176	27	2948	21	3642/3643	27
2179	27	2963	27	3647	27
2191	27	2974	3	3656	27
2193-2197	27	3009	27	3658/3659	27
2199-2203	27	3011	27	3681	27
2205-2208	27	3019	3	3691	27
2210/2211	27	3023	3	3694	27
2214	27	3025	13, 199, 202	3704/3705	27
2217	27	3089	35	3707/3708	27
2219-2224	27	3106	199	3715	27
2226-2228	27	3112	27	3732	27
2232-2235	27	3114	27	3734	27
2237-2239	27	3134	13	3737	27
2241-2243	27	3157	199	3739	27
2245	27	3185	27	3745	27
2247/2248	27	3194	27	3762-3764	27
2253/2254	27	3198	27	3769	27
2274	27	3266	27	3777	35
2280/2281	27	3271	209	3781	25
2291	27	3404	16	3786-3789	27

IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII
3798	27	4630	205	6320	13
3801/3802	27	4643	205	6358	231
3804/3805	27	4644	38	6572	231
3812/3813	27	4671	38	6582	231
3815	27	4676	16	6876	231
3818	13	4682	14	6972	231
3838	13	4683	205	7006	27
3864	16C, 136	4707	206	7180	241
3935	27	4752	27	7272	231
3945	27	4775	16	7312	231
3952-3954	27	4813	27	7423	231
3962	27	4842	3111	7447	27
3968	27	4870	260	7505	242
3981	27	4949	27	7523	231
3989	27	4960/4961	13, 207	7557	231
3992	27	4963	207	7816	231
4025	35	4969	207, 216	7823	231
4043	27	4994	207 bis	7864	231
4054	27	5029	16	8358A	243
4069-4071	27	5045	216	8596	243 bis
4075	27	5047	16	8679	244
4076	204	5052	29	8710	245
4083	27	5068	216	9687	27
4099	27, 251	5099	14	10091	231
4117	215/216	5113	205	10106	27
4193	27	5134	123	10569	231
4285-4288	136	5239	231	10637	231
4390	25	5302	27	10680	27
4421	215/216	5377	231	10756	231
4423	13	5574	232	10765	231
4481	27	5600	231	11037	231
4497	27	5633	231	11069	231
4536	216	5641	231	11422	231
4539	216	5701	231	11470	231
4546/4547	68	5755	231	11579	231
4589	38	5767	73	11734	250
4595	123	6052	231	11783	231
4596	18	6199	231	11793	231
4608	35	6217	236	11883a	251 bis
4627	38	6288	163	11991	231

IG II <sup>2</sup>	SEG LIII	IG IV	SEG LIII	IG V.2	SEG LIII
12007	231	666	3111	73	416
12025	231	698	355	94-97	416
12129	231	748	356	262	412
12350	27	797	357	281	412 bis
12431	27	841/842	358	312	412 bis
12432	27	847	360	454	413
12501	27	1484	822	1450	386
12521	231	1608	311B	1469	386
12568	27				
12569	27	IG IV <sup>2.1</sup>	SEG LIII	IG VII	SEG LIII
12839	231	40	365(6)	246	439
12846	231	47	365(10)	252/253	439
12851	231	68	362	256	439
12880	27	83	27	280	439
12887	27	121-124	364	290	439
13037	231	128	365	387	439
		130	366	2713	279
IG III	SEG LIII	131	123, 367	2809	439
55	12	150	361	4260	439
3985	166	555/556	361		
			IG IX.1	SEG LIII	
IG III App.	SEG LIII	IG V.1	SEG LIII	100	439
pp. 43/44	450	213	408		
94	11	469	370		
98	257 bis	497	370	IG IX <sup>2.1</sup>	SEG LIII
100	257 bis	559	371	138 + add.	420
102/103	257 bis	589	370	p. 84	
107	11	608	370	609	424/425
120	257 bis	851	377A	717	424
158	257 bis	861	377B	1233	388
		955	372	1475	388
IG IV	SEG LIII	1161	369		
35-38	273/274	1172	278	IG XII.1	SEG LIII
202/203	283	1218	382	677	365(8)
210-345	280	1228-1233	380		
428	285	1387	408		
436	286	1432/1433	392	IG XII.6	SEG LIII
568	374	1450	401	51	96
581	293			238-247	67
596	271	IG V.2	SEG LIII	262	4, 8 bis
628	338	p. xxxvi-		306/307	27
663	339	xxxvii	417		

IG XII.8	SEG LIII	SEG XII	SEG LIII	SEG XXI	SEG LIII
2-11	150	80	13	52	31
3-7	4	87	37, 87, 205	298	37
		110	191	370	100
IG XII.9	SEG LIII	212	231	372	123
192	365(1)			376	128
194	365(7)	SEG XIII	SEG LIII	380	132
		245	311A	392	9
IG XIV	SEG LIII			499	27
2543	283			525	19
		SEG XIV	SEG LIII	526	16
SEG I	SEG LIII	61	189	527	153
108/109	439	64	122	672	214
		82	110	695	203
				738	38
SEG II	SEG LIII	SEG XV	SEG LIII	777	68
8	156	62	72	801	27
9	9, 40, 156-159,	114	39	843	235
	162	245	427	1006	249
10	159, 162	261	439		
53	299	269	439	SEG XXII	SEG LIII
				271	355
SEG III	SEG LIII	SEG XVI	SEG LIII	339	420 bis
115-117	20	55	86, 211		
		262	311B	SEG XXIII	SEG LIII
SEG X	SEG LIII	264	311D	86	45, 183
64	31			98	25
463	73	SEG XVII	SEG LIII	207	386
		131	283		
SEG XI	SEG LIII			SEG XXIV	SEG LIII
5	272	SEG XVIII	SEG LIII	112	112
126a	281	18	96, 112	156	9, 146, 154
274	286	19	39	200	27
329	305	51	178	230	27
341	311 M	61	27	304/305	428
350	335			311/312	428
351	334	SEG XIX	SEG LIII		
922/923	369	49	37	SEG XXV	SEG LIII
1084	414	133	177	94	122
1107	415	211	27	112	41
1266	440				



SEG XXV	SEG LIII	SEG XXIX	SEG LIII	SEG XXXIII	SEG LIII
136	144	395	384	93	101 bis
149	151			103	96
155	149	SEG XXX	SEG LIII	115	13, 153
159	163	61	180	117	138
186	188	79	16, 141	254	191, 204
431	383, 408	104	202	258	273
447	410	127	201	329	431
		128	200-202		
SEG XXVI	SEG LIII	246	249	SEG XXXIV	SEG LIII
72	87	284	249 bis	10	50
93	100	342	280	86	126
163	19	369	334	126	182
221	201/202	421	417	136	27
350	253 bis			213	243 bis
410	283	SEG XXXI	SEG LIII	259	83, 90
434	323	84	102	260	116
435	322	86	101	282	287
436	321	96	9	293	323
437	337	108	145	1690	101 bis
438a/b	311E	132	27		
624	420 bis	267 (4)	258	SEG XXXV	SEG LIII
		271	114, 116, 141	63	93
SEG XXVIII	SEG LIII	316	337	83	103, 174
45	82	360	424	106	204
46	81			113	170
148	45, 185	SEG XXXII	SEG LIII	158	204
164	27	52	83	239	95
170	27	60	90	257	280
182	27	90	95	264	283
189	187	116	126	385	435
		143	147	1820	214
SEG XXIX	SEG LIII	149	154		
10	50	159	172	SEG XXXVI	SEG LIII
87	87	163	182	5	47
88	86	348	126, 154, 163,	146	88
105	128		214	150	93
118	16	375	339	164	99
191	207 bis	383	362	165	183
291	207 bis	431	439	213	27
334				216	183
367	311T	SEG XXXIII	SEG LIII	217	184
372	340	90	97	220	186

SEG XXXVI	SEG LIII	SEG XXXIX	SEG LIII	SEG XLI	SEG LIII
301	47	244	208	262	273
389	409	308	113, 116	268	280
442	83	324	83, 356	277 ter	414
		340	283	353	401
SEG XXXVII	SEG LIII	400	426	380	413
66	83	862	2	382 bis	414
90	107	1334 app.cr.	285	1883	208
126	143	1547	417		
215	257	1770	243 bis-245	SEG XLII	SEG LIII
263	283			19	47
282	311K	SEG XL	SEG LIII	23	84
314	356/357	63	83	66	73
361	431	73	91	84	273
447	390	83	106	96	113
728	13 bis	86	107/108	100	123
1782	47	93	134	101	126/127
		122	143	106	44
SEG XXXVIII	SEG LIII	145	149	113	44
12	19	151 ter	200	229	86
71	113	275	260	236	178
78	126	294	50	250	84
85	130	295	40, 133, 141	252	273
90	111	356	380	294	366
133	164	391	433	300	371
276	19	1650	72	383	428
299	285	1693	362	388	435
305	311C			396	428
306	311B	SEG XLI	SEG LIII	475	271
456	285	50	101, 362	1745	417
		75	148 bis	1750	256
SEG XXXIX	SEG LIII	83	160	1751	371
73	90	85	151	1762	130
104	106	90	214	1776	164
120	120	115	192, 267		
122/123	126	121	221	SEG XLIII	SEG LIII
131	9	122	193	45	150
132	40, 120, 128	144	208	64	214
170	29	159	191	118	251
190	2	232	221	160	386
210	31	244	44	584	150
222	191	247C	160		

SEG XLIV	SEG LIII	SEG XLVI	SEG LIII	SEG XLVII	SEG LIII
5	51	12	80	446	424
34	82	56	64	489 app.cr.	183
58	9	119	90	512	439
60	9, 157-159,	127	50	1028 app.cr.	82
	162	136	184	1325	150
79	216	148	19	1568 app.cr.	127
89	82	154	147	2304	424
128	200	159	148 bis	2313	83
136	35	167	19, 182	2337	367
168	27	292	241 bis		
220	44	338	280	SEG XLVIII	SEG LIII
232	228	400	375	9	243 bis-245
309	283	418	401	36	179
389	433	444	412	55	50
505	365(2)	536	439	58	74
1689	409	1073	214	96	88
1732 bis	150	1137	148 bis	98	83
1735	424	2261	178	103	47
1736	414	2263	412	140	172
		2311	127	158	179
SEG XLV	SEG LIII	2340	362	211	209
41	81			262	212
49	83	SEG XLVII	SEG LIII	297	186
91	101	7	150	321	251 bis
113	16D	13	150	421	355
115	16D	30	68	453	370
134	17	33	137, 185	490	387
137	177	59	101 bis	500	386
139	177	68	127	507	400
143	108	83	273	522	409
216	68	92	68	549	430
221	40	132	100	550	433
231	83/84	144	167	553	435
305	400	151	9	560	279, 442
400	418	163	166	708	148 bis
412	437	165	121	906	113
1162	4	237	157, 159	1205	344
1456	428	341	366/367	1940	47
2299	111, 127, 439	342/343	366	1135 app.cr.	84
SEG XLVI	SEG LIII	410	380		
3	12	416	405	SEG XLIX	SEG LIII
		423	383, 408	4	148 bis/149

SEG XLIX	SEG LIII	SEG XLIX	SEG LIII	SEG L	SEG LIII
7	251	2482	257	477	446
8	9, 82			481	439
12	102, 127	SEG L	SEG LIII	710	264
14	163		9, 127	810	67
35	46	3	63	1685	150
69	75	8	94	1687	364
93	87	9	15	1697	176
107	41, 102	13	52, 55, 63	1716	137, 148 bis
112	134	17	17	1717	424
113	127	18	137	1747	364
133	145	21	179	1755	179
141	9, 146, 154	22	177	1759	414
147	149	25	68		
159	110	35/36	55	SEG LI	SEG LIII
164	174	38	52, 63	6	52, 55, 63
171	176	41	55	8	63
220	110	45	176	9	199
261	249	61	63	17	68, 95, 214
315-317	11	87	65	31	53
358	311K	94	67	47	68
361	310	134	85	55	55
362	342	137	88	76	92
363	311	139	85	79	179
377	364	141	87, 94	92	126, 130
378	365	142	94	119	152
379	366/367	144	134	130	148
399	376	146	9	142	180
424	392	154	167	144	188
435	405	169	179	159	195
443	410	170	170	165	155
449	415	176	173	192	202/203
462	424	177	175	233	207
473	428	208	213	248	231
493	440	211	242	263	235
2401	178	273	221	287	251 bis
2410 bis	179	331	274	303	253
2436	424	351	414	330	419
2440	380	362	291	359	288
2458	51	441	416	425	70, 354
2471	371	449	90	434	364
		458	424	435	365-367



SEG LI	SEG LIII	SEG LII	SEG LIII	SEG LII	SEG LIII
466	388	189	231	1931	142
472	394	200	186	1950	26
505	412	203	236	2002	364
518	424	294	281	2004	179
532	425	299	283		
541	437	309	290	<i>CIG</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
2279	163 bis, 165	314	342	1542	439
2302	172/173	373	379	2042	328
2312	47, 55	380	387		
2342	365-367	383/384	389/390	<i>Agora X</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
		385	395	L 252	259
<i>SEG LII</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	386	396		
4	54	406	404	<i>Agora XV</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
6	47/48, 52	407	403	13a	185
8	192	410	399	43	182
12	130	413	398	61	183
17	177	415	399	68	186
21	142	418	393	72	40, 184
33	164/165	423(11)	405	79	40, 121
37	48	430	406	81	123
38	49	432	406	84	128
39	85	433	407	89	126/127
44	48, 54	469	419	91	40, 110
48	57	477	423	110/111	112
50	58-62	478	425	129/130	139
52	63 bis	489	442	154	123
54	71	492	443	285	27, 187
65	74	501	26	290	27
67-73	75-77	505	439	292	27
86	82	587	26	297	27
96	119 app. cr.	645	364	299	27, 187
104	103	705	179	307	27
111	140	754	26	310	27
114-116	142	762	26	312/313	27
117	143	799	87, 179	317	27
134	153	872	364	321-323	27
140	174	1050	26	329	27
143	176	1886	94, 380	334-337	27
144	192	1894	85, 95	339/340	27
147	179	1900	364	350	27
155	193	1906	97	358-360	27
172	192	1910	179	363-366	27

<i>Agora XV</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	<i>Agora XVI</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	<i>Corinth 8.1</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
368	27	197	132	23	281
372	27	216	9, 40		
374/375	27	217	9	<i>Corinth 8.2</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
381-385	27	270	16	131	277
387/388	27	330	164		
390/391	27	337	27, 145	<i>Corinth 8.3</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
394/395	27		23		281
398-402 a	27	<i>Agora XVII</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	199	283
405-407	27	78	27	200/201	283
410-414	27	926	27	212	278
420	27	1030a	255	223	282
423-426	27		306	283	
428/429	27	<i>Agora XIX</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>		
432	27	H 30/31	193	<i>IvO</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
437	27	L 2	63 bis	11	424
440/441	27	L 3	85	22	422
445/446	27	L 4a/b	153	55	434
449-453	27	P 9	4	143	42, 436
455	27	P 4	177	158	42
458/459	27	P 24/P 25	194	166	42
466	27			221	421
468/469	27	<i>Agora XXI</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	233	433
472/473	27	G 21	266	236	435
482-484	27			258	420 bis
491	27	<i>Agora XXVIII</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	315	421
		82-90	228	331	421
<i>Agora XVI</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>			335	421
41	85	<i>Agora XXIX</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	363	421
50	39	54-56 no.	266	365-367	421
56	180	271		369-371	421
72	93	1167	225	426	421
110	112			436/437	271
111	96, 112			447/448	386
114		<i>I. Eleusis</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	627/628	27
115	40	141	180	913	421
119	101	142	181		
162/163	40, 105/106	185	131	<i>IPArk</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
183	40, 107/108	682	217	24	410
187	117			8	412
189	122	<i>Corinth 8.1</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>		
194	100	14	282		
	138				

<i>I.Oropos</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	<i>MAMA VIII</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	<i>CEG</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
35-37	439	574	315 app.cr.	19	72
49	439			72	73
57	439	<i>I.Erythrai</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	598	255
59	439	4	47		
83	439			<i>GV</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
107	439	<i>I.Magnesia</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	1572-1599	298 bis
162	439	38	409		
176	439			<i>Clairmont,</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
186/187	439			<i>CAT</i>	
197	439	<i>I.Portos</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>		
		69	19	1.210 a	231
<i>F.Delphes</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>			2.445 b	241 bis
<i>III.2</i>		<i>Syll.<sup>3</sup></i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	3.382 b	231
23	136	306	24, 416/417		
65/66	27	519	439	<i>ILGR</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
		718	143	47	447
<i>F.Delphes</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	814	279		
<i>III.3</i>		840	435	<i>Rhodes-</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
68	27			<i>Osborne,</i>	
		<i>CIJ<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	<i>GHI</i>	
<i>CIRB</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	98	333	4	82
6a	83	100	332	10	83
9	83			18	84
18	83	<i>LSAG<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	39	90
75	83	p. 199 no. 7	374	101	24, 417
113	83				
825	83	<i>LSCG</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	<i>Tod, GHI</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
974	83	31	365(3)	202	417
1036	83	34	365(4)		
1043	83	40	365(5)	<i>Staatsverträge</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
1046	83	60	365(6)	146	424
		93	365(7)	289	90
<i>I.Delos</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>	136	365(8)		
1628/1629	27	152	365(11)	<i>ISE</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>
1891	25	158	365(9)	31	105
2535/2536	27			33	41
2538	27	<i>LSCG Suppl.</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>		
		11	365(13)		
<i>TAM V.2</i>	<i>SEG LIII</i>				
1180	166				